

From: Michael Junge
To: D. Ashley
Date: 11/07/2006 1:34:30 PM
Subject: 2 more questions

Donnie,

I got a couple more questions from our contractor on the OC LRA subcommittee

1) Since water collected from the drywell sand bed region drains should be sampled prior to discarding the contents of the collection containers--Did the analysis of the containers that were discarded during the recent NRC inspection suggest that the leakage is anything other than water from the reactor cavity region during the refueling flood up?

2) It was stated by the applicant at the ACRS Subcommittee meeting on October 3, 2006, that the commitment to seal the reactor cavity region with strippable coating was not carried out during two refueling outages because it was assumed at that time that the plant was to be decommissioned (1998-2000). Is it possible that the contents of the collection bottles was approximately 8 years old? Is it possible that the leakage is occurring even though you are now (>2000), coating the cavity region during refueling outages? Where could it be from?

Mike

Mail Envelope Properties (4550D1AF.EC8 : 18 : 9982)

Subject: 2 more questions
Creation Date 11/07/2006 1:34:23 PM
From: Michael Junge

Created By: MXJ2@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov
 OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 DJA1 (D. Ashley)

Post Office

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1494	07 November, 2006 1:34:23 PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is not eligible for Junk Mail handling
 Message is from an internal sender

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
 Junk List is not enabled
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
 Block List is not enabled