•			OF	RDER FOR	SUPPLIES O	R SERVICE	S			1		F PAGES
IMPORTAN	T: Mark all pa	ckages and papers with cor	tract and/or or	der numbers.		BPA NO. DI	R-03-06-0)46			1	6
1. DATE OF ORDER 2. CONTRACT NO. (II any) GS23F0113L						6. SHIP TO:						
3. ORDER N T001	1 O.	MODIFICATION NO.	a. NAME OF CONSIGNEE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission									
U.S.	Nuclear	ress correspondence to) Regulatory Commi	ssion	-		b. STREET ADDI	RESS					
Two W		nt North - MS T-	c. CITY d. STATE e. ZIP CODE									
	Jennife ngton, D	er A. DeFino, (30 DC 20555	Washington				DC 20555					
		7.	1. SHIP VIA					<u> </u>				
	CONTRACTO							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
b. COMPAN	CORPORAT	ION	<u> </u>					8. TYPE (OF ORDER			
B. CONT AIR	I WAWL		Land Land				DELIVERY					
c STREET A		VE STE 390	Please furnish the following on the terms and conditions specified on both sides of this order contained				ask order is subject to instructions of on this side only of this form and is bject to the terms and conditions					
d. CITY		<u>`</u>	·	e. STATE	1. ZIP CODE					ve-numbered contract.		
BURLI		PROPRIATION DATA		CA	940104058	10. REQUISITION	INC OFFICE	NIÉR :			<u>.</u>	
B&R: (620-15-1 . No. 31	11-104 Job Code		BOC: 252A \$27,613.57		TO REGUISITION	ING OFFICE				-	
		ATION (Check appropriate bo	,						1	B. POINT		
X a. SMA	LL -		OTHER THAN	SMALL	c. DISADVA	g. SERVICE- DISABLED VETERAN-				N/A		
d. WON	MEN-OWNED	e.	HUBZone		I. EMERGIN BUSINESS			OWNED	I	٠		
		13. PLACE OF			14. GOVERNMENT B	B/L NO. 15. DELIVER TO F.O.B. POINT ON OR BEFORE (Date)				16. DISCOUNT TERMS		
a. INSPECTIO	NC	b. ACCEP	TANCE							N/A		
				17	7. SCHEDULE (See reve	rse for Rejections)						
ITEM NO. (A)			SUPPLIES OF				QUANTITY ORDERED (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)		OUNT (F)	QUANTITY ACCEPTED (G)
	Techan	ICE OF MACK OFFER	NO 001									
	ISSUANCE OF TASK ORDER NO. 001 TITLE: TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IN SUPPORT OF THE RESOLUT OF GENERIC SAFETY ISSUE (GSI) 191 FOR SAN ONOFRE TOTAL ESTIMATED COST: \$27,613.57 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: 08/06/2006 - 10/06/2006					ON						
						·						
		•								1		
J												
	•											}
ł	CONTRA	CTOR SIGNATURE R	EQUIRED C	N PAGE 2 O	F 2							
												ļ
.												
		1B. SHIPPING POINT		19. GRO	SS SHIPPING WEIGHT		20. INVOIC	E NO.		\$27	, 613.51	7
21. MAIL INVOICE TO:									4	Ψ21,013.31		
a. NAME				+								
INSTRUC	CTIONS	U.S. Nuclear Division of			sion							(Cont. pages)
ÖN REVERSE		b.STREET ADDRESS (or P.O. Box) Mail Stop: T-7-I-2										17(l).
		c. CITY	·	d. STATE e. ZIP CODE				 		GRAND TOTAL		
		Washington				DC	2055			\$27,613.5	7	<u> </u>
2. UNITED ST BY (Sign	TATES OF AM nature)	IERICA D	r Or	2AL	1		Cont	ald A. Ki		ING OFFICER		

DR-03-06-046 Task Order No. 001 Page 2 of 6

This confirms verbal authorization that was provided to ARES Corporation on 08/02/2006, to begin work under Task Order No. 001, effective 08/06/2006, with a temporary ceiling of \$13,806.79.

In accordance with Section A.10, Task Order Procedures, of blanket purchase agreement (BPA) number DR-03-06-046, this definitizes Task Order No. 001. The effort shall be performed in accordance with the enclosed Statement of Work.

Task Order No. 001 shall be in effect from 08/06/2006 through 10/06/2006, with a cost ceiling of \$27,613.57.

The following individual is considered to be essential to the successful performance for work hereunder: The Contractor agrees that such personnel shall not be removed from the effort under the task order without compliance with Contract Clause A.8. Key Personnel.

The issuance of this task order does not amend any terms or conditions of the subject BPA.

Your contacts during the course of this task order are:

Technical Matters:

Bernard Grenier

Contractual Matters: Jennifer DeFino

Project Officer

Contract Specialist

(301) 415-2726

(301) 415-6714

Acceptance of Task Order No. 001 should be made by having an official, authorized to bind your organization. execute three copies of this document in the space provided and return two copies to the Contract Specialist. You should retain the third copy for your records.

ACCEPTED: Task Order No. 001

Statement of Work for Task Order 1 (JCN J-3304) under Contract No. DR-03-06-046

Title: Evaluation of the Technical Adequacy of New PWR Sump Design of the San Onofre

Response to Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02

Technical Monitor: Leon E. Whitney, office: 301/415-2869; cell 240-483-2285; E-mail:

LEW1@NRC.GOV

TAC Number: MC4715/MC4714

BACKGROUND

In June, 2003, the NRC identified Generic Safety Issue (GSI)-191, involving the potential for clogging of recirculation sump screens in pressurized water reactors (PWRs). Based on the findings of the GSI-191 ("Assessment of Debris Accumulation on PWR Sump Performance") study conducted by the NRC Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on ECCS suction/containment sump clogging in PWRs, the NRC staff issued a Safety Evaluation (SE) and Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 pertaining to the PWR sump design guideline report developed by the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) in conjunction with the PWR Owners group. Through this GL and using the guidance approved by the SE, licensees are requested to proceed to resolve the GSI-191 issue for each PWR plant. The NRC staff, through audit of licensees' responses to GL-2004-02, is reviewing implementation of the GL, specifically of the new sump designs in accordance with NRC requirements.

NRC staff has decided to audit the San Onofre Nuclear Power Station to verify and confirm that their new sump strainer performance is in compliance with the 10 CFR 50.46.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task order is to obtain engineering expertise from ARES Corporation to assist the staff in determining the technical adequacy of the GSI-191 Sump Clogging Issue analysis of the San Onofre Nuclear Power Plant.

TECHNICAL AND OTHER SPECIAL QUALIFICATIONS REQUIRED

One senior level mechanical engineer with extensive experience on PWR LOCA analyses and containment analysis. This includes areas such as LOCA break selection, debris generation, debris characteristics, debris transport (including the emerging area of sump and containment pool "near-field effect"), recirculation water hold-up volumes, strainer design and performance, strainer head-loss and vortexing, and ECCS and containment spray pump net-positive suction head (NPSH).

WORK REQUIREMENTS

Tasks

Completion Schedule

 Based on the guidance in the NRC Safety Evaluation Report for GSI-191 and NRC Regulatory Guide 1.82, Revision 3, review San Onofre's proposed design based on the available documents and identify deficiencies and the need for additional or clarifying information to be obtained from the licensee. Discuss the results by telephone with the Technical Monitor and provide a short summary in the MBLR. August 4, 2006

2. Travel to the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (currently planned first day onsite is August 7, 2006) to assist the NRC in the conduct of an audit of the new San Onofre strainer design in the areas of ECCS and containment spray recirculation and associated analyses. Based on NRC guidance documents referenced above, and any further review guidance provided by the NRC, and information gathered during the audit from the licensee or its contractors or vendors, prepare a technical letter report.

a. Draft.

Within two weeks of the end of trip.

b. Incorporate NRC comments and prepare the final report.

Within one week of receipt of NRC comments.

LEVEL OF EFFORT

The estimated level of effort in professional staff days apportioned among the tasks are as follows:

Tasks	Level of Effort (days)
1.	2.0
2.	<u>16.0</u>
Total	18.0

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The projected period of performance is two months from authorization of work.

<u>DELIVERABLES</u>

Technical Reporting Requirements

NOTE: All reports are to be submitted electronically using WordPerfect 10.0 (Font Arial regular 11 point) or compatible software program to the Technical Monitor with a copy provided to the Project Officer. In all correspondence, include the following information: JCN No., Task No., the applicant, the facility, TAC No., and NRC/NRR Branch: Safety Issue Resolution Branch (SSIB).

DELIVERABLES (CONTINUED)

Technical Reporting Requirements

- 1. At the completion of Task 1., inform the Technical Monitor via telephone of any need for additional information, any specific technical areas to be targeted during the audit, and the basis or bases for these technical opinion(s). Provide a summary in the Monthly Business Letter Report.
- 2. At the completion of Task 2., submit a technical letter report, draft and final as appropriate, that contains a detailed technical adequacy evaluation of the licensee's new ECCS, containment spray, sump and strainer design (Generic Letter 2004-02 corrective actions), including the bases for the conclusions. Provide a list of any outstanding issues ("open items") with the basis or bases clearly articulated, including the significance of these outstanding issues relative to a conclusion of licensee GL 2004-02 corrective action adequacy.

MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

One one-person, six-day trip to the San Onofre reactor site located near San Clemente, CA.

NRC-FURNISHED MATERIALS

The NRC Safety Evaluation Report, "GSI-191 SE, Revision 0, "Pressurized Water Reactor Containment Sump Evaluation Methodology" dated December 6, 2004, and GL-2004-02, "Potential Impact of Debris Blockage on Emergency Recirculation During Design Basis Accidents at Pressurized-Water Reactors," dated September 13, 2004, can be found at the following web site:

http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ops-experience/pwr-sump-performance.html

The licensee's submittal (on CD) will be overnight mailed to the ARES Project Manager upon authorization of this task order.

NOTE: This document contains proprietary information and they must be safeguarded against unauthorized disclosure. After completion of work, the document(s) should either be destroyed or returned to NRC. If they are destroyed, please confirm this in an E-mail to the Technical Monitor with a copy to the Project Officer and include the date and manner in which the document(s) was destroyed.

OTHER APPLICABLE INFORMATION

License Fee Recovery

The work to be performed under this task order is not licensee fee recoverable.

OTHER APPLICABLE INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

Assumptions and Understandings

The level of effort assumption for Task 1 is based on a contractor cursory review of the licensee submitted documentation as well as the scope of the work as described above.

The level of effort assumption for Task 2 is based on one day for travel to the site, five days for the audit and return trip from the site, seven days for completion of the evaluation and report writing, and three days to resolve NRC comments.