

NOV 1 7 2006

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: NRC Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Serial: HNP-06-125 10CFR50.73

# SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNIT 1 DOCKET NO. 50-400/LICENSE NO. NPF-63 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2006-003-00

# Ladies and Gentlemen:

The enclosed Licensee Event Report 2006-003-00 is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73. This report describes an automatic turbine/reactor trip due to a generator lockout signal. Event notification EN# 42848 previously reported this event on September 19, 2006 in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Mr. Dave Corlett, Supervisor - Licensing/Regulatory Programs, at (919) 362-3137.

Sincerely,

Eric A. McCartney
Plant General Manager
Harris Nuclear Plant

EAM/sfm

**Enclosure** 

c: HNP Senior NRC Resident NRC-NRR Project Manager NRC Regional Administrator, Region II Serial: HNP-06-125

Page 2

bc:

Mr. G.E. Attarian

Mr. D. Bailey

Mr. D.T. Conley

Mr. D.C. DiCello

Mr. J.W. Donahue

Mr. R.J. Duncan II

Mr. S.D. Ebneter

Mr. D.G. Eisenhut

Mr. J.P. Fulford

Mr. C.J. Gannon

Mr. R.T. Garner

Mr. J.M. Given, Jr.

Mr. J.W. Gurganious

Mr. C.S. Hinnant

Mr. E.D. Hux

**INPO** 

Mr. M.T. Janus

Mr. C.S. Kamilaris

Mr. C.W. Kornegay

Mr. R.D. Martin

Mr. E.A. McCartney

Mr. H.J. Miller

Mr. S.T. O'Connor

Mr. E.L. Wills

Licensing File(s) (2 copies)

**Nuclear Records** 

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 06/30/2007									
(6-2004)  LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)										Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and RegulatoryAffairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.						
1. FACILITY NAME Harris Nuclear Plant - Unit 1									2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 05000400 1 OF 4							
4. TITLE	_	ai Fian	it - Offic	-						000+00		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		leactor	Trip Du	e to Gener	ator L	ockout S	Signal								•	
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER					₹	7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FAC			ACILITI	DOCKET NUMBER			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	N/A					0500	00	
09	19	2006	2006	- 003 -	00	11	17	2006	FACILITY N/A	YNAME				DOCKET N		
9. OPER	ATING N	ODE	11	. THIS REPO	RT IS	SUBMITT	ED PURS	UANT T	O THE RE	QUIREMI	ENTS OF 1	0 CFR§	: (Check	all that a	oply)	
1 10. POWER LEVEL 100		☐ 20.2201(b) ☐ 20.2201(d) ☐ 20.2203(a)(1) ☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(i) ☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) ☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) ☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) ☐ 20.2203(a)(2)(v)			☐ 20.2203(a)(3)(i) ☐ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) ☐ 20.2203(a)(4) ☐ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) ☐ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) ☐ 50.36(c)(2) ☐ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(iii) ☒ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(vii) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(x) ☐ 73.71(a)(4) ☐ 73.71(a)(5) ☐ OTHER			(B)		
				203(a)(2)(vi)			0.73(a)(2)			50.73(a)			Specify	in Abstrac	t below	
	_				1	2. LICENS	SEE CON	TACT FO	OR THIS L	ER .						
FACILITY NAME Dave Corlett – Licensing Supervisor							,			1		1E NUMBER 12-3137	(Include Are	a Code)		
13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMP						COMPO	NENT F	AILURE [	PESCRIBE	D IN THIS	REPO	रा				
CAU	SE	SYSTEM	СОМРО	NENT FACT		REPOR TO E		C/	USE	SYSTEM	COMPONE		MANU- CTURER		RTABLE EPIX	
Ď		EL	64	AS	EA	Y	,									
		14	. SUPPL	EMENTAL R	EPOR1	EXPECT	ED				KPECTED MISSION	М	ОМТН	DAY	YEAR	
☐ YE	S (If yes,	complete	15. EXP	ECTED SUB	MISSIC	ON DATE)		⊠	NO		DATE	- 1				
ABSTRA	CT (Limi	t to 1400	spaces, i.	.e., approxima	itely 15	5 single-sp	aced type	written li	nes)			<del></del>	······································			
	tripped of this	d from a event t	turbine hat con	otember 19 e trip due to tributed to pproved pr	a ge this e	enerator event. S	lockout	signal.	There v	vas no ir	noperable	equip	oment a	t the sta		
	the 86 reveal	S/G1A M led that	fain Ge no mod	ound that a nerator Lod dule specifi protective	ckout c insp	Relay w pection re	hich init equirem	iated a ents e	turbine kist for t	and rea he gene	ctor trip. rator, ma	Furth	er inves	tigation		
	detect	ion circ	uit. Add	e actions in ditional con sformer an	rective	e actions	are to	establi	sh speci	fic inspe	ction req	uirem	ents for	the	I	

personnel.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE	
	· •.	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Harris Nuclear Plant – Unit 1	05000400	2006	- 003 -	00	2 OF 4

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

## I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

At 09:59 EDT on September 19, 2006, with the reactor at 100 percent power, the reactor automatically tripped from a turbine trip due to a generator lockout signal [EL-86]. There was no inoperable equipment at the start of this event that contributed to this event.

The auxiliary feedwater [BA] system actuated approximately 18 seconds after the reactor trip as expected to stabilize steam generator levels. All systems functioned as required and no other safety systems were actuated. All control rods fully inserted on the reactor trip. The operations staff responded to the event in accordance with applicable plant procedures. The plant stabilized at normal operating no-load reactor coolant system temperature and pressure following the reactor trip.

Post trip, the main generator and the 22kv system were investigated for a possible ground with no grounds found. Post trip investigation revealed that the turbine and reactor trip were caused by a failure of a specific module within the main generator neutral ground fault protective relay [EL-64].

The main generator neutral ground transformer Ground Fault Protection Relay is a non-safety component manufactured by ASEA and is a type RAGEA 64/37 G ground fault protection relay. This relay is used to detect a main generator stator ground at the fundamental frequency (module 107). Post trip discovery revealed that the ground fault protection relay module 107 had degraded and failed due to self heating in a manner that actuated the Main Generator Lockout Relay (86/G1A) which caused the turbine and reactor trip.

This condition is being reported as an unplanned reactor protection system actuation and specified system actuation in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A).

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within brackets [ ].

#### II. CAUSE OF EVENT

An investigation found no evidence of an actual ground. Post trip discovery revealed that the ground fault protection relay had degraded and failed in a manner that actuated the Main Generator Lockout Relay (86/G1A). Specifically, the 107 module of the ground fault relay was found damaged due to self heating. This module is from the original installation. The module's internal components and wiring showed signs of overheating and conductor insulation melting. Output contacts that are designed to close to initiate a generator lockout were also found failed in the made up position due to contact mechanism part failure (the contact actuation mechanism was out of position and holding the contacts closed).

The module's contact mechanism spacers are made from an acetyl polymer (e.g., DuPont Delrin or polyoxymethylene). Although this polymer has very good mechanical properties, it is very susceptible to degradation by acids or bases, and will degrade when exposed to elevated temperatures. The relay is designed with ventilation holes to account for internal heating and has been in service since original installation. The replacement relays obtained from another plant were newer vintage modules with cases that did not possess vent holes and showed no sign of heat stress. The manufacturer's new design incorporated in the replacement relay has eliminated much of the heat production that can shorten relay life.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE
	* .*	YEAR		EVISION UMBER	
Harris Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000400	2006	- 003 -	00	3 OF 4

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

The relays were operated in accordance to their design specifications. The visual and mechanical inspections are governed by procedure MNT-TRMX-00068 which is performed by maintenance technicians. The visual and mechanical inspections required by MNT-TRMX-00068 did not detect the degraded condition. The determination that this activity was not performed adequately is based on the appearance of the 107 module that failed. Had individual relay modules been removed and inspected, it is reasonable to expect that corrective maintenance was warranted. The ASEA Type RAGEA is a solid-state relay panel with enclosed modules. As such, maintenance personnel did not consider the module a serviceable subcomponent requiring post maintenance inspection. Maintenance personnel were not aware of the heat stress that module 107 was operating under and the design deficiency that made failure possible. As a result, the required visual post maintenance inspection was not taken to the module level.

At Harris, this type of module is only used for the generator stator ground fault protection and detection. Further investigation revealed that no specific inspection requirements exist for the generator, main transformer, and auxiliary transformer protective relay modules to detect self heating concerns. The backup generator ground fault relay (64/B) is a GE Type 121AV51D which does not use the ASEA modules.

#### III. SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This event is not safety significant.

# **Actual Safety Consequences:**

The transient was an automatic reactor trip from 100% power generated by turbine trip due to a generator lockout. A turbine trip is analyzed for the Harris Plant and is described in the HNP FSAR Section 5.2, "Decrease in Heat Removal by the secondary system." A turbine and reactor trip is classified as an American Nuclear Society (ANS) Condition II event — a fault of moderate frequency. The plant is designed for these types of events and responded as expected for these conditions. The initial plant conditions prior to the trips were well within the bounding conditions for the plant design. The plant promptly attained normal operating no-load temperature and pressure, and no unusual conditions were observed for plant equipment following the reactor trips. All safety equipment functioned as required including AFW in response to low steam generator water levels in "A" and "C" steam generators. No additional or compensatory measures were required for this event.

The operating staff performed the required actions for the trip. Other than the transient induced by the trip, there are no adverse safety consequences.

#### **Potential Safety Consequences:**

The potential safety consequences under alternate conditions would have been bounded by the FSAR Chapter 15 events.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Harris Nuclear Plant – Unit 1	05000400	2006	- 003 -	00	4	of 4

<sup>17.</sup> NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

#### IV. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There are no previous HNP trips related to a failure the main generator neutral ground protection relay.

### V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Completed corrective actions include replacing the failed relay module in the main generator stator ground detection circuit. Additional corrective actions are to establish specific inspection requirements for the generator, main transformer and auxiliary transformer protective relays and to brief appropriate maintenance personnel.

#### VI. COMMITMENTS

This document contains no new regulatory commitments.