

Russell W. Goyette
7 Strathmere Street
Waretown, NJ 08758
October 4, 2006

Mr. J. E. Dyer, Director
Office of Nuclear Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

RE: Oyster Creek Relicensing
The Hidden Agenda of the NRC

Dear Mr. Dyer:

I called your office last week (on Sept. 27) to get your response to my letter to you dated August 25. You were not available, but in your absence, I spoke to Frank Gillespie. He was unable to allay my fears about the NRC's faulty review process. He did however buy you a week; however, to date, no response.

The fact that the NRC chooses to ignore relevant information and accepts faulty (and outdated) data in its review to "ensure protection of public health and safety and the environment" confirms that the NRC must be pursuing a hidden agenda.

I cite two key considerations: **The Absence of a Realistic Evacuation Plan and Evidence the Plant's Discharge Waters are Harming The Environment.** Hurricane Katrina taught us that an evacuation plan might work on paper, but in reality it may not work in practice. The NJ state police provided a paper evacuation plan, but it was a "best-case" scenario. One thing we can be assured of is that if terrorists are involved, they will be well organized. It is easy to see how one of the three evacuation routes could be blocked by terrorists. No blockage is planned for.

I have irrefutable proof that radiation from the plant has created deformed marine life. No-one from the NRC cares to even see my evidence. Why? A hidden agenda? What safety?

If you are too busy, have someone contact me.

Sincerely,

Russell W. Goyette

Cc: Frank Gillespie (NRC)