



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

BRANCH TECHNICAL POSITION 6-1

pH FOR EMERGENCY COOLANT WATER FOR PRESSURIZED WATER REACTORS

REVIEW RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary - Organization responsible for the review of component integrity issues related to engineered safety features

Secondary - None

A. BACKGROUND

To establish the minimum value of pH in postaccident containment sprays in pressurized water reactors (PWRs), the NRC staff has reviewed the available information and recommended the criteria listed in the branch technical position below.

The minimum pH value of 7.0 follows from the Westinghouse report conclusion that, in ECCS solutions adjusted with NaOH to pH 7.0^{*} or greater, no cracking should be observed at chloride concentrations up to 1000 ppm during the time of interest. Figure 7 of the Westinghouse report shows that the time for initiation of cracking of sensitized and nonsensitized U-bend specimens of Type 304 austenitic stainless steel in solutions of 7.0 pH having 100 ppm chloride was 7-1/2 months and 10 months, respectively.

^{*}All pH values are at 25°C.

March 2007

USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

This Standard Review Plan, NUREG-0800, has been prepared to establish criteria that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff responsible for the review of applications to construct and operate nuclear power plants intends to use in evaluating whether an applicant/licensee meets the NRC's regulations. The Standard Review Plan is not a substitute for the NRC's regulations, and compliance with it is not required. However, an applicant is required to identify differences between the design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for its facility and the SRP acceptance criteria and evaluate how the proposed alternatives to the SRP acceptance criteria provide an acceptable method of complying with the NRC regulations.

The standard review plan sections are numbered in accordance with corresponding sections in Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)." Not all sections of Regulatory Guide 1.70 have a corresponding review plan section. The SRP sections applicable to a combined license application for a new light-water reactor (LWR) are based on Regulatory Guide 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)."

These documents are made available to the public as part of the NRC's policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. Individual sections of NUREG-0800 will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information and experience. Comments may be submitted electronically by email to NRR_SRP@nrc.gov.

Requests for single copies of SRP sections (which may be reproduced) should be made to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section, or by fax to (301) 415-2289; or by email to DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov. Electronic copies of this section are available through the NRC's public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/>, or in the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, under Accession ## ML063190011.

The great majority of tests reported in the Oak Ridge report were performed with pH of 4.5, and only two tests were conducted with pH values other than 4.5. Some cracking was observed at pH 7.5 in the sensitized 304 stainless steel U-bend specimens after 2 months exposure to pH 7.5 and chloride concentration of 200 ppm. All of the 316 stainless steel specimens showed no evidence of cracking. Considering the fact that in U-bend specimens the material was sensitized, stressed beyond yield, and plastically deformed, we conclude that the reported test conditions were much more severe than the stress conditions likely to exist in the postaccident emergency coolant systems.

We agree with the Oak Ridge conclusion that absolute freedom from failure of any complex system such as a spray system can never be guaranteed, but, by proper design, fabrication, and control of the corrosive environment, the probability of failure can be significantly reduced. Our recommended minimum pH is somewhat higher than the Oak Ridge recommendation of a minimum of 6.5.

B. BRANCH TECHNICAL POSITION

The criteria for pH level of postaccident emergency coolant water to reduce the probability of stress-corrosion cracking of austenitic stainless steel components, nonsensitized or sensitized, nonstressed or stressed, are as follows:

1. Minimum pH should be 7.0.
2. For the spray water recirculated from the containment sump, the higher the pH in the 7.0 to 9.5 range, the greater the assurance that no stress corrosion cracking will occur. See SRP Section 6.5.2 for additional water chemistry requirements related to fission product removal.
3. If a pH greater than 7.5 is used, consideration should be given to the hydrogen generation problem from corrosion of aluminum in the containment.

C. EVALUATION FINDINGS

The controls on the pH and chemistry of the reactor containment sprays and ECCS solutions meet the staff positions on postaccident chemistry requirements for PWR emergency coolant water. It also meets the requirements of GDC 14 for assuring the low probability of abnormal leakage or failure of the reactor coolant pressure boundary and safety-related structures. We conclude that the proposed pH for emergency coolant water is acceptable.

D. REFERENCES

1. D.D. Whyte and L.F. Picone, "Behavior of Austenitic Stainless Steel in Post Hypothetical Loss of Coolant Environment," WCAP-7798-L, Westinghouse Nuclear Energy Systems, (NES Proprietary Class 2).
2. J.C. Griess and E. E. Creek, "Design Considerations of Reactor Containment Spray Systems - Part X, The Stress Corrosion Cracking of Types 304 and 316 Stainless Steel in Boric Acid Solutions," ORNL-TM-2412, Part X, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT

The information collections contained in the Standard Review Plan are covered by the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50 and 10 CFR Part 52, and were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0011 and 3150-0151.

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.
