Industry Perspective on Final Part 52 Rule

Industry Briefing of NRC Commission November 9, 2006



Industry Panel

- Marvin Fertel, Chief Nuclear Officer, NEI
- Scotty Hinnant, Chief Nuclear Officer,
 Progress Energy & Chairman of NEI New Plant
 Working Group
- Marilyn Kray, President, NuStart Energy



Part 52

- After 8 years the rule needs to be issued
 - COL applications will be at the final review stage in six months
- Recommendation: Issue final rule with a few specific changes
 - Avoid misinterpretation
 - Increase certainty
 - Improve implementation efficiency



Issues

- Environmental finality
- Design certification change process
- Section 52.99 -- new requirements
- International operating experience
- Regulation by generic communication
- Severe accident issues



Environmental Finality

- Environmental finality is critical issue
- Use COL environmental report to define issues
- Use of NEPA scoping process to provide opportunity for public comment would improve process
- NRC Staff evaluates public comments
 - Determines if significant new information has been identified
 - Issues Commission-approved notice on scope of proceeding



Design Certification Change Process

- Rule should support concept of design certification finality
- Rulemaking for design changes beyond those permitted by existing regulations should only be initiated based on insights from:
 - First-of-a-Kind Engineering
 - Construction



Construction Inspection (§52.99)

- NRC October 25 new rule language
 - Does not reflect the intense NRC construction inspection program, Sign-As-You-Go approach
 - Does not reflect detailed industry & NRC schedules for supporting ITAAC activities
 - Impractical for implementation
- More public dialogue is critical before rule is finalized



Evaluation of International Operating Experience (OE)

- The rule should not require COL applicants to monitor and evaluate international OE comparable to NRC generic letters and bulletins
 - NRC Office Instruction LIC-400 requires the staff to factor international OE into NRC programs



Regulation by Generic Communication & Guidance

- Applicants should not be required to demonstrate how generic letters and bulletins have been incorporated into plant design
- Insight from generic communications must be incorporated into rules, SRP and guidance as issues are resolved



Severe Accident Issues

- Severe accidents are not §50.2 design bases
- Change process for severe accident design features is unclear and inconsistent with SOC and standard regulatory change processes
- Rule change needed to:
 - Make the regulation consistent with standard regulatory practices
 - State the requirement only applies to a specific set of ex-vessel severe accident events that are not design bases accidents

Limited Work Authorizations

- Proposed rule has many positive aspects
- Remaining issues requiring resolution
 - Excavation
 - Scope of facilities subject to LWA



Licensing/Hearing Process Enhancements

- Industry supports issuance of October 20 Draft Policy Statement
- Further process improvements for consideration
 - Establish target milestones for SER & EIS
 - Start ESP and COL hearings based on draft SER & EIS
 - Focus hearing on application-specific issues



In Addition...

- Eliminate the uncontested part of the mandatory ESP and COL hearing
- Specify use of informal, legislative-type hearings under Section 52.103 (ITAAC)



Summary

- Need to finalize the rule but...
 - Changes are needed to avoid misinterpretation, improve certainty and effectiveness
- Need timely dialogue with NRC staff on §52.99
- Industry will provide written amplification of these comments



FINAL RULE PART 52 LICENSES, CERTIFICATIONS AND APPROVALS

November 9, 2006
Luis Reyes, Executive
Director for Operations

Agenda

- Background
- Purpose
- Outcomes of Final Rule
- Interactions
- Finality in Environmental Area
- Design Certification Amendments
- Other Process Enhancements
- Optimize Closure Process for ITAAC

Background

- January 30, 2006 SRM on SECY-05-0203 approved proposed rule publication
- Proposed rule published March 13, 2006
- Comment period ended May 30, 2006

Purpose

- Update 10 CFR Part 52
 - lessons learned during design certification & ESP reviews
 - interactions with stakeholders on COL process
- Enhance efficiency/effectiveness
- Clarify applicability of requirements

Outcomes of Final Rule

- Clear statement of application requirements for each licensing/approval process
- ITAAC closure process
- Flexibility in regulatory processes
- Clear applicability of technical and administrative requirements for each process

Interactions

- Stakeholder meetings
- Public comments
- Range of staff views considered
- Supplemental proposed rule on limited work authorizations published October 17, 2006 as directed by SRM dated October 2, 2006

Finality in Environmental Area

- Clarifying environmental finality at ESP Stage
- Enhancing concept of new and significant information
- Describing process for complete EIS at ESP Stage
- Range of staff views considered

Design Certification Amendments

- In proposed rule, Commission raised questions about amendment provisions
- Many commenters encouraged NRC to adopt a process
- NRC added three criteria
- Amendments would apply to all referencing plants

Other Process Enhancements

- Several process changes made in response to stakeholder comments
- Retain provisions for identical designs at multiple sites reviews
- Allow COL applications to be submitted in two parts

Optimize closure process

- Proposed rule raised questions about ITAAC completion
- Purposes of rule provisions
 - meet statutory requirements
 - facilitate inspection process
 - address roles of NRC and licensee in information availability
 - -provide stability in process

Requirements on ITAAC Completion

- Submittal of ITAAC schedule
- ITAAC completion notifications
- Sufficient information for ITAACs not completed before hearing notice
- Notice of opportunity for hearing

Acronyms

- COL combined license
- EIS environmental impact statement
- ESP early site permit
- ITAAC inspections, tests, analyses and acceptance criteria
- SRM staff requirements memorandum