NRC FORM 591M PAI	RT 1		U	I.S. NUCLEAR REGULATO	RY COMMISSION
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2 201					
	SAFETY INSPE	CTION REPORT A	AND COMPLIANC	CE INSPECTION	
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION	INSPECTED	12	NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
	Road Commission	[2.	NAME OF THE		
935 East One Mile Road			REGION III		
White Cloud, MI 49349			US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210		
REPORT	2006-001	Ì	LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	<del></del>	5. DATE(S) OF IN	SPECTION
030-33909		21-26667-01		October 30, 2006	
LICENSEE:					
Nuclear Regulatory Cor of procedures and representations of the second s	nmission (NRC) rules and esentative records, intervi- e inspection findings, no volation(s) closed.  n(s), specifically described and corrective action was tion, were satisfied.	ies conducted under your lice diregulations and the condition with personnel, and obsticulations were identified.  It to you by the inspector as no or is being taken, and the renusal was/were discussed involvements.	ons of your license. The in ervations by the inspector n-cited violations, are not to naining criteria in the NRC	nspection consisted of select. The inspection findings at the inspection fi	etive examinations re as follows:  re self-identified, 6-1600, to
1					
being cited. Th		ur activities, as described b VIOLATION, which may be )			
violatione identific	, within 30 days, thed. This statement tive steps already t derstand that no fu	Statement of Correcti e actions described be of corrective actions aken, corrective step rther written respons ed Name	y me to the inspect is made in accorda s which will be take e to NRC will be rec	or will be taken to co	nents of 10
LICENSEE'S	Print	eu Naille	Sigi	nature	Date
REPRESENTATI VE					
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer		PI / Huba	•	10/30/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-2003)

28-1

1. LICENSEE Newaygo REPORT 2006-001  3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-33909 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26667-01 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION October 30, 2006 6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 87124 03.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM 03121 2. PRIORITY 5 John B. Valle Main Office Inspection Field Temporary Job Site	NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201	Docket File SAFETY INSPE AND COMPLIAN	U. CINFORMATION CTION REPORT CE INSPECTION	S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
REPORT 2006-001  3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
030-33909   21-26667-01   October 30, 2006	0000 004		Region III		
87124  SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION  1. PROGRAM 2. PRIORITY 3. LICENSEE CONTACT 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 231.689.6682  Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: October 2011  Field	· ·	ſ	R(S)		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION  1. PROGRAM   2. PRIORITY   3. LICENSEE CONTACT   4. TELEPHONE NUMBER   231.689.6682    Main Office Inspection   Next Inspection Date: October 2011	6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES L	7. INSPECTION FOO	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS		
1. PROGRAM 2. PRIORITY 3. LICENSEE CONTACT 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 231.689.6682  Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: October 2011  Field	87124	03.01 - 03.07	03.01 - 03.07		
03121 5 John B. Valle 231.689.6682  Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: October 2011  Field		SUPPLEMENTAL INSP	ECTION INFORMATION		
Field			ACT		
Temporary Job Site			Next Inspection Date:	October 2011	
	Temporary Job Site			-	

## **PROGRAM SCOPE**

The licensee is a small engineering firm that employs 50 individuals. The licensee possesses one Troxler 3440 moisture density gauge, for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a locked and labeled room in the licensee's facility located at White Cloud, Michigan.

## **Performance Observations**

At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results as noted in the licensee's survey records.