

PA-LR

**From:** "Mogolesko, Fred" <fmogole@entergy.com>  
**To:** "Ram Subbaratnam" <RXS2@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 8/23/2006 9:29:11 AM  
**Subject:** Questions

After reviewing the 2 recent rai's, we thought you might wan to consider a few wording changes. Please see attached.

Fred

**Mail Envelope Properties** (44EC581E.D52 : 16 : 40274)

**Subject:** Questions  
**Creation Date** 8/23/2006 9:28:47 AM  
**From:** "Mogolesko, Fred" <[fmogole@entergy.com](mailto:fmogole@entergy.com)>

**Created By:** [fmogole@entergy.com](mailto:fmogole@entergy.com)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
 TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
 RXS2 (Ram Subbaratnam)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	129	8/23/2006 9:28:47 AM
TEXT.htm	1481	
Additional AMR questions-suggested wording changes_1 WayneandErach08212006.doc	26112	
Mime.822	1	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
 This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
 Junk List is not enabled  
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
 Block List is not enabled

Additional AMR questions

1) The piping of the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System is subject to flow accelerated corrosion. The PNPS LRA Table 3.2.1-19 - ESF and Table 3.2.1 credit the plant-specific Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program for the management of loss of material (wall thinning). The GALL report recommends using the Flow-Accelerated Corrosion Program, XIM17, to manage wall thinning. The Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program provides for inspection for wall thinning every 5 years but no Monitoring and Trending activities to predict areas of high wall thinning rates or for trending of thinning as does XIM17. Please provide justification for not providing for monitoring and trending of wall thinning for RCIC piping.

**Comment [a1]:** Suggest deleting the first sentence. Since the system is normally in standby, why should it be subject to FAC? The question stands alone without the first sentence.

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:**

**Deleted:** a

2) In Table 3.2.1 Item 18 of the PNPS LRA, it is stated that none of the ESF system components are within the scope of the BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking Program. Please provide the details that justify this statement.

**Deleted:** t

**Deleted:** not