

From: <Ellens.Newton@epamail.epa.gov>
To: Matthew Blevins <mx6@nrc.gov>
Date: 10/05/2006 11:44:29 AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: RE: phone message

Matt,

In response to your comments, we have checked with OEPA about the status of Little Beaver Creek. OEPA sent us information showing that Little Beaver Creek is impaired. However, the creek's impairment is not caused by sedimentation or siltation, as we previously stated. Therefore, we retract the concerns (written in our comment letters for the DEIS and FEIS) about cumulative erosion and sedimentation impacts caused by the construction of Cylinder Storage Yard X-745H.

Newton Ellens
Environmental Protection Specialist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (B-19J)
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 353-5562

Matthew Blevins
<mx6@nrc.gov>

10/03/2006 10:09 AM To
Newton Ellens/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
Kenneth Westlake/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
TStribley@icfi.com

Subject
Re: Fwd: RE: phone message

Newton,
Your comment stated that Little Beaver Creek is impaired. This is not the case as evidenced by your link. Simply because Little Beaver flows into an impaired section of the Scioto does not make Little Beaver Creek impaired. This goes back to my point of stretching the cumulative impact analysis beyond what is intended in the CEQ guidance (i.e., it sounds like you're changing your comment to say we need to do a cumulative impact analysis on the Scioto River). I'll pass this along to the lawyers and the water expert but I don't see our position

Mail Envelope Properties (45252851.E53 : 6 : 36435)

Subject: Re: Fwd: RE: phone message
Creation Date 10/05/2006 11:35:46 AM
From: <Ellens.Newton@epamail.epa.gov>

Created By: Ellens.Newton@epamail.epa.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

MXB6 (Matthew Blevins)

epa.state.oh.us

Trinka.Mount CC

icfi.com

TStribley CC

epamail.epa.gov

westlake.kenneth CC

Post Office

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

epa.state.oh.us

icfi.com

epamail.epa.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	7182	10/05/2006 11:35:46 AM
Mime.822	9351	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled

changing (i.e., we have done a complete cumulative impacts analysis relative to the proposed action).

Matt

>>> <Ellens.Newton@epamail.epa.gov> 10/03/06 10:44 AM >>>

Matt:

This is the link:

http://oaspub.epa.gov/tmdl/enviro.control?p_list_id=OH05060002%20120

From the first link you sent me, the waterbody name is:

SCIOTO RIVER (DOWNSTREAM PEE PEE CREEK TO UPSTREAM SUNFISH CREEK);
EXCLUDING SCIOTO R. MAINSTEM

Let me know if you have any questions.
Take care,

Newton Ellens
Environmental Protection Specialist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (B-19J)
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 353-5562

Matthew Blevins
<MXB6@nrc.gov>

10/03/2006 07:51
AM

To
Newton Ellens/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
cc

Also, here is a link to the water bodies in the Lower Scioto River Watershed that are on the 303(d) list -
http://oaspub.epa.gov/tmdl/huc_rept.control?p_huc=05060002&p_huc_desc=LOWER%20SCIOTO

The PORTs facility is in the Lower Scioto River Watershed -

This is the information that I used in my assumption that EPA may be referencing the incorrect Little Beaver Creek.

thanks

Todd Stribley
Phone - 703-934-3227
TStribley@ICFI.com

From: Matthew Blevins [mailto:MXB6@nrc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2006 7:37 AM
To: ellens.newton@epa.gov
Cc: Stribley, Todd
Subject: phone message

Newton,
I received your message, I'll touch base with USEC re: the potential mitigation measure. Relative to Little Beaver Creek, I thought the question was whether we were discussing the same creek. ICF indicated that the "impaired" Little Beaver Creek was on the eastern side of the state while the Little Beaver Creek relative to Piketon is in the south-central part of the state. I'll follow up with ICF.
Matt

CC: <westlake.kenneth@epamail.epa.gov>, <TStribley@icfi.com>, <Trinka.Mount@epa.state.oh.us>