

October 19, 2006

MEMORANDUM TO: Chairman Klein  
Commissioner McGaffigan  
Commissioner Merrifield  
Commissioner Jaczko  
Commissioner Lyons

FROM: Luis A. Reyes */RA/*  
Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: FOLLOW UP TO SRM - SECY-06-0075 - TRANSFER OF  
MISSISSIPPI TO NRC REGION IV

In response to Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) SECY-06-0075, on the transfer of Mississippi to Region IV, the staff is notifying the Commission of results obtained in complying with the SRM. The staff coordinated with the Regional offices to determine as directed,

“...if there are other states in which the materials program and reactor programs respond to different regions and ..... whether these situations present unnecessary complications similar to those identified in [SECY-06-0075].”

The survey results are as follows:

With the transfer of the Callaway plant from Region III to Region IV, in the mid 90's, the State of Missouri (a non-Agreement State) is divided between those two regions (Region IV for the reactor program and Region III for the materials program).

The Commission decision consolidating the Region II materials program into Region I in October, 2004, resulted in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia reporting to Region II for reactor issues and to Region I for materials issues. This second group of States are Agreement States, except for Virginia. (Virginia has notified NRC of its intent to apply for Agreement State status.)

As part of that same Commission decision, oversight of all fuel cycle facilities was consolidated into Region II such that the States of Illinois, Ohio, Washington and soon, New Mexico, report to Region II for the fuel cycle facility issues and to either Region III or IV for all other issues (reactor and/or non-fuel cycle radioactive materials).

CONTACT: Lloyd Bolling, FSME  
301-415-2327

The Commissioners

-2-

From the survey, which included input from staff in the former Office of State and Tribal Programs, the Regional State Liaison Officers, and the Regional State Agreement Officers, these different program oversight alignments have not resulted in any identified “unnecessary complications.”

cc:    SECY  
          OGC  
          OCA  
          OPA  
          CFO

From the survey, which included input from staff in the former Office of State and Tribal Programs, the Regional State Liaison Officers, and the Regional State Agreement Officers, these different program oversight alignments have not resulted in any identified “unnecessary complications.”

cc: SECY  
 OGC  
 OCA  
 OPA  
 CFO

Distribution:

DIR RF (6-117)

EDO RF

TEssig, IMNS\NMSS

**SUNSI Review Complete**

Publicly Available     Non-Publicly Available

Non-Sensitive     Sensitive

**DOCUMENT NAME:** G:\LAB\MS-SRM-reply.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	STP	STP:DD	NMSS:D	NRR:D	RI:RA	RII:RA	RIII:RA
NAME	LABolling:kk	DKRathbun	JRStrosnider (MDapas for)	JEDyer (MWeber for)	SCollins (JNick e-mail)	WTravers	JCaldwell (RLickus e-mail)
DATE	09/5/06	9/5/06	9/13/06	9/12/06	9/18/06	09/6/06	9/20/06
OFFICE	RIV:RA	STP:D	FSME:DD	FSME:D	DEDMRS	EDO	
NAME	BMallett (LWert for)	JRSchlueter	GPangburn	CLMiller (GPangburn for)	MJVirgilio	LAREyes	
DATE	09/8/06	10/6/06	10/13/06	10/13/06	10/19/06	10/19/06	

ML062790330

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**