

**From:** "BELL, Russ" <rjb@nei.org>  
**To:** "Nanette Gilles" <NVG@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 07/19/2006 5:27:07 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Question on 5/30 Comment #32

Thank you for bringing this to our attention. We have been unable to recreate the basis for the extra words you highlighted in our May 30 recommended language for 52.17(a)(i)(xii). For purposes of dispositioning our comment #32, you should ignore them.

One other clarification re: this comment: The last (3d) paragraph of our Comment #32 is reinforcing the second.

I hope this helps. Let me know if something more than this e-mail is necessary to close this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nanette Gilles [mailto:NVG@nrc.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2006 9:14 AM  
To: BELL, Russ  
Subject: RE: Question on 5/30 Comment #32

I'll try again. Here it is:

For applications submitted after [insert date of final rule], a description of the quality assurance program applied to site-related activities PERFORMED BY THE APPLICANT OR ITS CONTRACTORS THAT PROVIDE AN INPUT FOR the future design, fabrication, construction, and testing of the safety-related structures, systems, and components of a facility or facilities that may be constructed on the site. Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, sets forth the requirements for quality assurance programs for nuclear power plants. THE APPLICANT MAY UTILIZE, WITHOUT VERIFICATION, DATA GENERATED BY THIRD PARTIES SUCH AS GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND RESEARCHERS. The description of the quality assurance program for a nuclear power plant site shall include a discussion of how the applicable requirements of appendix B of this part will be satisfied for the scope of activities to be conducted by the applicant;"

>>> "BELL, Russ" <rjb@nei.org> 07/11/2006 10:06 PM >>>

Sorry, but your bolding did not come thru in your message. Could you try all caps to highlight so I'm clear on the words you're asking about?

Thx.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nanette Gilles [mailto:NVG@nrc.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2006 3:05 PM  
To: BELL, Russ  
Subject: Question on 5/30 Comment #32

Russ - I need some help with one of NEI's comments from the 5/30/06 letter. It is item #32 in the enclosure, "New Requirements for an Applicant for an ESP or Design Certification to Describe its Quality Assurance (QA) Program." My question relates to the suggested rule language for § 52.17(a)(1)(xii). In there, I found some new language that was not identified as new language and that doesn't seem to be addressed by the discussion. The language I'm referring to is indicated in bold below:

For applications submitted after [insert date of final rule], a description of the quality assurance program applied to site-related activities performed by the applicant or its contractors that

provide an input for the future design, fabrication, construction, and testing of the safety-related structures, systems, and components of a facility or facilities that may be constructed on the site. Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, sets forth the requirements for quality assurance programs for nuclear power plants. The applicant may utilize, without verification, data generated by third parties such as government agencies and researchers. The description of the quality assurance program for a nuclear power plant site shall include a discussion of how the applicable requirements of appendix B of this part will be satisfied for the scope of activities to be conducted by the applicant;"

Can you tell me whether it was or was not your intention to suggest that the text in bold be added to § 52.17(a)(1)(xii) even though it is not discussed in your comment?

Nan

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message.

**Mail Envelope Properties** (44BEA39C.C1F : 5 : 48159)

**Subject:** RE: Question on 5/30 Comment #32  
**Creation Date** 07/19/2006 5:28:38 PM  
**From:** "BELL, Russ" <rjb@nei.org>

**Created By:** rjb@nei.org

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
NVG (Nanette Gilles)

**Post Office**

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

| <b>Files</b> | <b>Size</b> | <b>Date &amp; Time</b> |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE      | 4107        | 07/19/2006 5:28:38 PM  |
| Mime.822     | 6506        |                        |

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled