### DOCKETED 09/27/06

#### Comment No. 2

# From:<norris.johnson@srs.gov>To:<SECY@nrc.gov>Date:Tue, Sep 26, 2006 11:37 AMSubject:RIN 3150-AH40 Comments

Sir,

The following comment is made as a member of the public, not as a representative of the Washington Savannah River Company or the Department of Energy.

Federal Register/Vol. 71, No. 184 / Friday, September 22, 2006, page 55392, section 3.3.4, Cumulative Occupational Radiation Dose

The cost savings from the proposed change are under-reported. You have consider the savings to the facility that has previously been required to request a new employee's exposure history from their previous employers. You have neglected to include the cost savings that will be realized by the facilities from whom those records are being requested. Prior employers will no longer have to expend time and effort to research their records and respond to the new employer's request. If the employee moves to another job, this process is repeated.

If the new employee has worked at an average (my guess) of two previous radiological companies and the average cost is \$10.00 per company (\$20.00 total) to research and respond to a request, then an additional savings of \$44 million to the industry will result.

Sincerely, Norris Johnson Savannah River Site Washington Savannah River Company Building 730-1B Aiken, SC 29808

Regulatory and Radiological Technology Group Radiological Protection Services Section (803) 952-9894

#### **Mail Envelope Properties** (45194920.F2A : 8 : 16170)

Subject:	RIN 3150-AH40 Comments
Creation Date From:	Tue, Sep 26, 2006 11:36 AM <norris.johnson@srs.gov></norris.johnson@srs.gov>

**Created By:** norris.johnson@srs.gov

**Recipients** nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 SECY (SECY)

## **Post Office**

Security:

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date &
MESSAGE	1329	Tuesda
TEXT.htm	1914	
Mime.822	4572	
Options		
<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None	
Priority:	Standard	
<b>ReplyRequested:</b>	No	
<b>Return Notification:</b>	None	
<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No	
Socurity.	Standard	

Standard

#### **Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling This message was not classified as Junk Mail

## Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator Junk List is not enabled Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled Block List is not enabled

& Time ay, September 26, 2006 11:36 AM