

From: Allegations Allegations Region III
To: Berson, Bruce; Kunowski, Michael; Loudon, Patrick
Date: Thu, Aug 18, 2005 10:10 AM
Subject: Re: RIII-2005-A-0062 (Point Beach)

Bruce,


The CI called me today (8/18/05). DOL's letter did not have any explanation of why they did not accept.

The CI informed me that he received (this month) a letter dated 7/7/05 from NMC reversing the CI's site access denial. However, the CI is still concerned that he lost approximately [redacted] in the interim.

7c


The CI did not write the condition report, the CI reported the dropped wrench in accordance with company policy, to report anything that goes wrong.

ex 5



Paul

>>> Bruce Berson 07/22/05 1:36 PM >>>



ex 5


>>> Allegations Allegations Region III 07/22/05 11:51 AM >>>

At the July 18, 2005 ARB it was agreed that EICS (pelke) and technical staff (Kunowski) will call the CI to obtain the information due July 21, 2005. Mike and I tried to call the CI and the CI was not home. The CI subsequently called me on 7/22/05. We were to ascertain from the CI whether the CI felt access was denied because they raised a safety issue (NRC jurisdiction), or whether access was denied for an insignificant mistake (DOL issue).

The CI stated that DOL did not accept the CI's concern.

The CI stated that it is the policy to report any issue they identify at the plant.

The access denial letter from the licensee stated that access was denied because the CI failed to secure a tool with a lanyard causing a potential significant safety event.



ex 5

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 5, 7C
FOIA 2006-113

0-33 (9)



) Ex 5

CC: Heller, James