

SENSITIVE ALLEGATIONS MATERIAL

From: Paul Krohn
To: James Heller
Date: 7/11/02 4:28PM
Subject: Point Beach Input to Allegation Recieved on 7/2/02

Jim,

As discussed on 7/11/02 here is my input for another conversation with the subject CI on 7/11/02.

Paul Krohn, SRI
Point Beach

CC: Andrea Kock; Michael Kunowski; Roger Lanksbury

From: Paul Krohn, SRI, Point Beach
To: James Heller; OaC3
Date: Wed, Jul 11, 2002
Subject: KEWAUNEE/POINT BEACH ISSUE. Follow-up Conversation with CI by Paul Krohn on 7/11/02 Following Voice Mail Messages Left on 7/9/02 and 7/10/02 on Point Beach RIO Internal Phone Line

Jim,

Following our conversation on 7/11 at ~1400, I called the CI to respond to his voice mail messages to the PB RIO which had been left on 7/9 and 7/10. In the voice mail messages of 7/9/02 and 7/10/02, the CI expressed the following concerns;

- The CI stated that s/he wanted to know which persons were being investigated.
- The CI stated that s/he wanted to know why all the people involved in his concern had not been interviewed.
- The CI stated that it was hard to pursue the issue with [REDACTED] still on-site.

7C

When I talked to the CI on 7/11/02, I provided the following information concerning the allegations process and his concerns;

- the NRC received his concern relating to personnel being untrustworthy and [REDACTED] on 7/2/02. I explained to the CI portions of our Allegations Process and told the CI that the NRC would have an internal review board on his call to us on 7/2/02 within 30 days, no later than 8/2/02.

OUTSIDE
Information in this record was deleted Page 1 of 2
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA- 2006-113

D-8

SENSITIVE ALLEGATIONS MATERIAL

- I told the CI that s/he would receive formal acknowledgment of the NRCs receipt of his concern reported on 7/2/02.
- I told the CI that an investigation was still ongoing concerning interviews with his co-workers and previous concerns.
- I provided the CI with the 1-800-522-3025 RIII number and direct dial numbers for yourself, Jim Heller, and Andrea Kock if further information on the status of investigations or questions on the Allegations process were required.

When I spoke to the CI on 7/11/02, the CI provided the following additional information;

- The CI stated that 5 people had made the same comment on one individual. The names surrounding the CI's issue were;

5 people in Same Work Group	X General Foreman In Question
CI	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED] (the CI stated that [REDACTED])	
[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	

- The CI stated that s/he had not heard about the status of his concern relative to [REDACTED] for over 8 months and was wondering if the investigation was still ongoing.
- The CI stated that 3 of the 5 people in his work group had been denied access to nuclear sites across the country when seeking further contract work. The 3 were [REDACTED] and the CI.

This is all the information provided to me by the CI. My conversation with the CI lasted 20 minutes. Please call if you have any questions.

Paul Krohn, SRI
Point beach
7/11/02