

ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN

AMS ..J. RIII-02-A-0114

Licensee: Kewaunee
Docket/License No: 05000305
Assigned Division/Branch: Security/MEB, DRS

T KOZAK PR CANIANO

Allegation Review Board Membership: Chairman -Grant/ Pederson/ Grobe

Paul Berson/ Heller/ Kock/ Clayton

Dapas/ Caniano/ Reynolds

B was not available - he has already reviewed the package & agrees both the COA

Madeira J Greed: DRS DHills, DRS KUNOWSKI GROBE KUNOWSKI Hills GAVOLA

GENERIC CONCERNS: If Yes Explain:

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: No immediate threat to public health and safety. The CI's FFD issue is being investigated by OI and was pursued as RIII 2001-A-0176. The CI's issue related to installation of piping without a procedure is not an immediate issue since the CI did not allege that the piping was incorrectly installed.

OI ACCEPTANCE: YES NO (Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW)

Basis for OI Priority: N/A [Signature]

OI has Accepted Concern(s) No(s). Signature

ARB MINUTES PROVIDED TO: Dyer/Paul/Creed/Hills/Berson

ACKNOWLEDGMENT LETTER: PRINT IN FINAL X REVISE N/A

Inform CI that we are reviewing the information the CI has provided to Jheller 07/15/02

REFERRAL LETTER: A. Licensee YES X NO Concern 2 B. State of YES NO C. DOE YES NO

Table with 4 columns: date received, due date of ACK Ltr, date -120 days old, date -180 days old, due date of 1st ARB, date -90 days old, date -150 day old, date -365 days old, projected date for the 5 yr statute of limitation.

COMMENTS: The CI did not object to having identity released related to concern 1. The CI objected to the release of identity regarding concern 2.

The CI did not object to referral of concern 2 to the licensee.

Allegation Review Board Chairman [Signature] Date 7/29/02

C-12

(2)

SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

AMS No. RIII-02-A-0114

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 1 An individual is concerned that several other craft employees were being unjustly accused that they failed to report the smell of alcohol on a foreman while being employed as craft employees during the steam generator project. The individual stated that these was report to the supervisor, who took no action.

Regulatory Basis: NONE

I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):

- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in \_\_\_\_\_ Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
- B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
- C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within \_\_\_\_\_ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
- ✓ D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW  
Recommended Basis: *(under existing case 01-A-076)*
- XX ✓ E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
- F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
- G. Other (specify) -

~~Whether the licensee had justification to accuse individuals of wrongdoing is not within the NRC's jurisdiction, unless the individuals claim that the accusation is a result of the individual raising safety issue.~~ The wrongdoing issue (not reporting the smell of alcohol on an individual) is being pursued as RIII-2001-A-0176. Inform the CI that we will include the issue of whether the supervisor took no action in our current investigation. Hold the concern open pending the results of OI's investigation under RIII-2001-A-0176.  
Responsible for Action - \_\_\_\_\_ EICS

II. Special Considerations/Instructions:

**SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL**

AMS No. RIII-02-A-0114

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 2 An individual is concerned that during the steam generator project, craft personnel were required to install safety-related service water equipment from a hand-written drawing. The individual believes this was in violation of licensee installation procedures. The equipment installed was several hundred feet of 8" carbon steel pipe from both EDG's discharge piping to the plant's standpipe.

Regulatory Basis: 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V

I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):

- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in 30 Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
- B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
- C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within \_\_\_\_\_ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
- D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW  
Recommended Basis:
- E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
- F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
- G. Other (specify) -

Request that the licensee provide:

-Whether the work performed under DCR 3204 was properly specified per the Quality Assurance Program. Request that the licensee provide the bases for its conclusions and include in the response whether flooding, seismic 2-over-1 and flow reduction due to crimping were addressed.

Responsible for Action - EICS

II. Special Considerations/Instructions:

SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

**NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-02-A-0114**

July 25, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: James Creed, Chief, Security Branch, DRS  
David Hills, Chief, Mechanical Engineering Branch, DRS

FROM: A. Kock, RIII - OAC

SUBJECT: **RECEIPT OF NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-02-A-0114 (KEWANUEE)**

On July 3, 2002, DRP received concerns regarding individuals being unjustly accused of not reporting an FFD issue and installation of piping without a procedure. The CI provided additional information to security, MEB, and EICS on July 3, 2002, July 15, 2002, and July 14, 2002. The information provided to EICS on July 15, 2002 is being reviewed by MEB and security.

Security's July 3, 2002 record of conversation with the CI and MEB's July 16, 2002 memorandum outline the concerns to date, the regulatory bases, and provided recommended actions. I have reviewed this information and agree with your characterization of the concern and proposed actions.

**I have scheduled an Allegation Review Board (ARB) for July 29, 2002. Please review the attached information to prepare for the ARB.**

cc w/attachments:

ARB Copy

OI

RC

DRP Br Chief For Rx Cases-Lanksbury

DRS Division Director For Rx Cases-Grobe

Clayton for H&I or wrong doing