

From: <Bob_Specht@blm.gov>
To: <jrp@nrc.gov>
Date: 04/24/2006 2:20:16 PM
Subject: Fw: Comments on PRI Reynolds Ranch EA

James,

I've just received the Range Land Specialist for the EA.

(See attached file: Comment on PRI's SmithRanch.doc)

Bob Specht
Mineral Geologist
Casper Field Office
Bureau of Land Management

(307) 261-7534
bob_specht@blm.gov

----- Forwarded by Bob Specht/CFO/WY/BLM/DOI on 04/24/2006 12:11 PM -----

Charlie	
Fifield/CFO/WY/BL	
M/DOI	To
	Bob Specht/CFO/WY/BLM/DOI@BLM
04/24/2006 12:08	cc
PM	Joe Meyer/CFO/WY/BLM/DOI@BLM
	Subject
	Comments on PRI Reynolds Ranch EA

Bob,

Attached are my comments to this EA. If you have questions please see me.

Charlie

(See attached file: Comment on PRI's SmithRanch.doc)

Mail Envelope Properties (444D16C6.B35 : 21 : 60213)

Subject: Fw: Comments on PRI Reynolds Ranch EA
Creation Date 04/24/2006 2:14:12 PM
From: <Bob_Specht@blm.gov>

Created By: Bob_Specht@blm.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

JRP (James Park)

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1593	04/24/2006 2:14:12 PM
Comment on PRI's SmithRanch.doc		223744
Comment on PRI's SmithRanch.doc		223744
Mime.822	615663	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled

NRC Draft EA
 Comment Response Form
 Power Resources, Inc. Reynolds Ranch-Highland Uranium Project *In Situ*

Comment Number	Page Number	Line Number	Commentor Name	Comment
1	20		Fifield	On page 20 it identifies a total of 325 acres that would be fenced to exclude grazing. Is this the total acreage of disturbance as it applies to all activities, including roads, pipelines, facilities, wells, and holding pits if needed?
	20		Fifield	On many of these wells or facilities will be on public surface?
2	21		Fifield	Land Use Impacts: Spills. How toxic are the mining related solutions that are being discussed? It seems to me a 62,400 gallon or 20,700 gallon spill is significant. What is involved in clean up? Are there any residual impacts associated with these spills? Under impacts on ecological systems there is no mention of these. It seems this should be included here also.
3			Fifield	What are the cumulative impacts of this as related to previous mining operations?
4			Fifield	What is the recommended seed mixture?
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				