

Doris Mendiola - Comment on Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station GEIS

From: "Robert Scro" <bscro@ocean.edu>
To: <OysterCreekEIS@nrc.gov.>
Date: 09/07/2006 10:22 AM
Subject: Comment on Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station GEIS

Dr. Robert Scro, Director
Barnegat Bay National Estuary Program
Ocean County College
College Dr., P.O. Box 2001
Toms River, NJ 08754-2001
732.255.0472

6/16/06
71FR34969
9

RECEIVED

2006 SEP 11 AM 11:45

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
EIS/EC

Attention: Comment on Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS)

Dear Sir:

As was brought to your attention in our letter to you dated November 15, 2005, the Barnegat Bay National Estuary Program (BBNEP) is the principal steward of the natural resources of the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary. It is composed of federal, state, county, municipal, academic, business, and citizen organizations committed to restore, maintain, protect, and enhance this "estuary of national significance".

The BBNEP is herein submitting comment on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) draft supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS). The GEIS contained misconstrued information based on an extensive review of published information (academic journals or other sources) that led to a finding by NRC staff of no significant impacts on aquatic populations in the bay by the OCNGS. In fact, this is not the case.

This information (found on pages 4-15, 4-21, and 4-51 of the GEIS), contains citations from Kennish, M. J. 2001. State of the Estuary and Watershed: An Overview. *Journal of Coastal Research*, Special Issue 32, pp. 243-273. **The information from the aforementioned publication does conclude that there is no significant impact of the OCNGS on Barnegat Bay aquatic populations, however it is specifically referring to the results of impingement, entrainment, and thermal discharge effects determined for the 1975-1977 period, the only period when impingement and entrainment data were collected concurrently with data population surveys in the bay.**

Dr. Kennish contested the use of the these quotes from (pages 4-15, 4-21, and 4-51 of the GEIS) at the public hearing for the GEIS held on Wednesday, July 12, 2006, in Toms River, New Jersey. Therefore, the GEIS has based its conclusions on significantly out-of-date and out-of-context information, rendering their conclusions on OCNGS's impacts on the aquatic populations of Barnegat Bay irrelevant.

During the past 35 years of OCNGS operation, significant concerns have existed regarding impingement, entrainment, and thermal impacts on estuarine and marine life. As a result, the Science and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC) of the BBNEP convened a meeting on November 1, 2005, and developed the following recommendations for the NRC regarding the OCNGS.

SONSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = M. Masnik (MTH2)

- An independent, scientific body (similar to the National Academy of Science) must be assembled to coordinate and oversee surveys and studies on the impacts of the OCNGS on the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary.
- There have been very few peer-reviewed studies during the past 30 years of the impact of OCNGS on the population of aquatic communities in central Barnegat Bay. Additional studies *must* be conducted in the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor to accurately assess these impacts and they *must* be done concurrently with entrainment and impingement studies.
- The NRC *must* require the OCNGS to focus on remediation of its *direct* impacts on estuarine and marine organisms in the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary.
- The use of wetlands restoration as a mitigation measure *must not* be implemented in place of remediation efforts targeting bay populations and communities of organisms.

The BBNEP recommends strongly that the renewal permit include a condition that charges the BBNEP with the role of the independent scientific body whose purpose is to coordinate research efforts in the Barnegat Bay relating to the effects of the OCNGS. The BBNEP's Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP) recognizes the need for such an entity. Action Item 5.15 of the CCMP charges the BBNEP with establishing this technical group for the examination and coordination of data in order to understand OCNGS's role in the overall ecological health of the bay.

Program partners agree that the BBNEP can and should have the lead role in coordinating and overseeing much-needed surveys and studies regarding OCNGS's effects on the Barnegat Bay ecosystem.

In conclusion, the position of the BBNEP is that regardless of the option pursued by the NRC regarding Oyster Creek's license renewal, without question, the OCNGS absolutely must be required to conduct detailed, comprehensive studies of the communities of bay organisms to determine what the overall impact of the power plant is on Barnegat Bay.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Scro
Director
Barnegat Bay National Estuary Program

Mail Envelope Properties (45002B18.8E8 : 6 : 63720)

Subject: Comment on Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station GEIS
Creation Date 09/07/2006 10:21:58 AM
From: "Robert Scro" <bscro@ocean.edu>

Created By: bscro@ocean.edu

Recipients

nrc.gov.
OysterCreekEIS

Post Office

Route
nrc.gov.

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4962	09/07/2006 10:21:58 AM
TEXT.htm	21140	
OysterCreekDraftEIS_NRC_comment.doc		58880
Mime.822	110107	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: High
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled

September 6, 2006

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Mailstop T-6D59
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C., 20555

Attention: Comment on Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS)

Dear Sir:

As was brought to your attention in our letter to you dated November 15, 2005, the Barnegat Bay National Estuary Program (BBNEP) is the principal steward of the natural resources of the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary. It is composed of federal, state, county, municipal, academic, business, and citizen organizations committed to restore, maintain, protect, and enhance this "estuary of national significance".

The BBNEP is herein submitting comment on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) draft supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS). The GEIS contained misconstrued information based on an extensive review of published information (academic journals or other sources) that led to a finding by NRC staff of no significant impacts on aquatic populations in the bay by the OCNGS. In fact, this is not the case.

This information (found on pages 4-15, 4-21, and 4-51 of the GEIS), contains citations from Kennish, M. J. 2001. State of the Estuary and Watershed: An Overview. *Journal of Coastal Research*, Special Issue 32, pp. 243-273. **The information from the aforementioned publication, does conclude that there is no significant impact of the OCNGS on Barnegat Bay aquatic populations, however it is specifically referring to the results of impingement, entrainment, and thermal discharge effects determined for the 1975-1977 period, the only period when impingement and entrainment data were collected concurrently with data population surveys in the bay.**

Dr. Kennish contested the use of the these quotes from (pages 4-15, 4-21, and 4-51 of the GEIS) at the public hearing for the GEIS held on Wednesday, July 12, 2006, in Toms River, New Jersey. Therefore, the GEIS has based its conclusions on significantly out-of-date and out-of-context information, rendering their conclusions on OCNGS's impacts on the aquatic populations of Barnegat Bay irrelevant.

During the past 35 years of OCNGS operation, significant concerns have existed regarding impingement, entrainment, and thermal impacts on estuarine and marine life. As a result, the Science and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC) of the BBNEP convened a meeting on November 1, 2005, and developed the following recommendations for the NRC regarding the OCNGS.

- An independent, scientific body (similar to the National Academy of Science) must be assembled to coordinate and oversee surveys and studies on the impacts of the OCNGS on the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary.
- There have been very few peer-reviewed studies during the past 30 years of the impact of OCNGS on the population of aquatic communities in central Barnegat Bay. Additional studies *must* be conducted in the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor to accurately assess these impacts and they *must* be done concurrently with entrainment and impingement studies.
- The NRC *must* require the OCNGS to focus on remediation of its *direct* impacts on estuarine and marine organisms in the Barnegat Bay/Little Egg Harbor estuary.
- The use of wetlands restoration as a mitigation measure *must not* be implemented in place of remediation efforts targeting bay populations and communities of organisms.

The BBNEP recommends strongly that the renewal permit include a condition that charges the BBNEP with the role of the independent scientific body whose purpose is to coordinate research efforts in the Barnegat Bay relating to the effects of the OCNGS. The BBNEP's Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP) recognizes the need for such an entity. Action Item 5.15 of the CCMP charges the BBNEP with establishing this technical group for the examination and coordination of data in order to understand OCNGS's role in the overall ecological health of the bay.

Program partners agree that the BBNEP can and should have the lead role in coordinating and overseeing much-needed surveys and studies regarding OCNGS's effects on the Barnegat Bay ecosystem.

In conclusion, the position of the BBNEP is that regardless of the option pursued by the NRC regarding Oyster Creek's license renewal, without question, the OCNGS absolutely must be required to conduct detailed, comprehensive studies of the communities of bay organisms to determine what the overall impact of the power plant is on Barnegat Bay.

BARNEGAT NATIONAL ESTUARY PROGRAM

Bob Scro, Director

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MARINE
AND COASTAL SCIENCES, JACQUES COUSTEAU
NATIONAL ESTUARINE RESEARCH RESERVE

Michael P. DeLuca

Michael DeLuca
Co-Chair, STAC

cc: Michael Masnik, Senior Project Manager, NRC

Congressman James Saxton

BBNEP Policy Committee Members:

Lisa P. Jackson, NJ DEP Commissioner

Tom Fote, Citizen Liaison to the BBNEP

The Hon. David Siddons, Island Heights Mayor

Allen J. Steinberg, USEPA Region II Administrator

Joseph Vicari, Ocean County Freeholder