

**From:** James Park  
**To:** Paul Michalak  
**Date:** 09/11/2006 3:19:53 PM  
**Subject:** Reynolds Ranch cultural resource survey coordinates

Paul,

I double-checked the coordinates for the cultural resource survey conducted by Pronghorn Archaeological in 1997 for the Reynolds Ranch area and relocated them on Plate 1 of PRI's application. As I told you earlier, it appears that the entire RR area did not get covered by Pronghorn's survey.

Here's township/range/section coordinates for the survey (this is from Appendix D-3 of PRI's application):

T36N R73W: Section 6 all  
T36N R74W: Section 1 all; Section 2 all; Section 3 all; Section 11 N1/2; Section 12 N1/2  
T37N R73W: Section 30 S1/2, NW; Section 31 all; Section 32 S1/2 NE, S1/2 NW  
T37N R74W: Section 23 SE, S1/2 SW; Section 25 S1/2; Section 26 all;  
Section 27 E1/2 NE, E1/2 SE, SW SE; Section 34 E1/2; Section 35 all;  
Section 36 all

This would leave the southern most portion of RR area not surveyed by Pronghorn in 1997. At question though is if this portion was surveyed by PRI at another time. That needs to be followed up. I'll try to search ADAMS for any other surveys.

A concern is Section 30 in T37N R73W. If I've got it correct, there is scant room between the edge of the survey area and the proposed location of Mine Unit 25. This could pose problems for PRI.

**Mail Envelope Properties** (4505B6D5.44B : 15 : 35266)

**Subject:** Reynolds Ranch cultural resource survey coordinates  
**Creation Date** 09/11/2006 3:19:49 PM  
**From:** James Park  
  
**Created By:** JRP@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

PXM2 (Paul Michalak)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1846	09/11/2006 3:19:49 PM
TEXT.htm	1892	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard