

1-2003 - 045-

OI

From: Ernest Wilson
To: Wiebe, Joel
Date: 4/25/05 8:12AM
Subject: Fwd: Re: 2003-A-0110 *****Sensitive Allegation Material*****

Jeff Teator, the case agent, went thru testimony and specific documentation in evidence after the alleger replied to Dave Vito's letter. He did not see anything that jumped out at him as being in obvious error. For example, the issue regarding her being offered a position (and her declination of that position) is reported in an OI interview conducted with her in Sept/Oct 2003. She now claims she was not offered the position but rather she was offered the "opportunity" to interview for a position which she declined. Bottom line is she was offered something which she declined (the declination is not in dispute). Also, the point she makes about Jeff not interviewing the guy who was contracted to do similar type work that she had done is true. Jeff asked for and received copies of the actual contracts that were let which showed, from an evidentiary standpoint, that the need did not exist for Jeff to interview the guy in question. In evidentiary space, contemporaneous documentation is more credible than a person's recollection. Had we seen the need to interview we would have done so.

Ernie

>>> Joel Wiebe 04/25/05 07:19AM >>>
Ernie,

Does OI have any insights on this that I can give to Gene?

Joel

CC: Teator, Jeffrey

DD-6