

Original Due Date: 09/25/2006

Ticket Number: 020060171

Document Date: 08/25/2006

NRR Received Date: 09/11/2006

From:

Russell Goyette

TACs:

MD2965

To:

J. E. Dyer

*** YELLOW ***

For Signature of:

Routing:

Dyer
Weber
Davis
Holahan
Boger
NRR Mailroom

Description:

Concerns Relating to Response Letter Sent by Dyer 7/17/06

Assigned To:

DLR

Contact:

GILLESPIE, FRANK P

Special Instructions:

Russell W. Goyette
7 Strathmere Street
Waretown, NJ 08758
August 25, 2006

Mr. J. E. Dyer, Director
Office of Nuclear Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Mr. Dyer:

This letter relates to your letter to me, dated July 17, 2006. In your letter you state "NRC licensing reviews are conducted to ensure protection of public health and safety and the environment." Apparently, this has not been so.

In its application review, the NRC apparently has ignored the following:

- The real risk of terrorism, especially after 9/11, and with a plant never designed to thwart terrorist attack.
- The storage of highly dangerous radioactive waste in a vulnerable location on plant grounds.
- The absence of a realistic evacuation plan, jeopardizing the safety of thousands of people, especially seniors who have moved to the area since initial plant approval.
- The dry-wall liner, which protects the public from possible radiation, has suffered serious corrosion and will not receive adequate testing.
- The data submitted to evaluate damage to nearby Barnegat Bay is 35 years old. How about something more current?

Public hearings simply do not take place. The absence of public hearings is undemocratic. Responsible people, like the state governor, local elected officials, the DEP Commissioner, are shoved aside, for fear of what they might say and "to move the approval process" along for the nuclear industry.

The review process is clearly a sham. Public Safety? Not here!

Please respond.

Sincerely,

Russell W. Goyette