

From: Daniel Orr  
To: Jeffrey Teator  
Date: 12/23/03 9:53AM  
Subject: Re: Salem valves Issue - [REDACTED]

Absolutely agree, but if you need me to help out on this one, it will need to be after January 1. Thanks.  
Dan

>>> Jeffrey Teator 12/23/03 09:49AM >>>

Dan, I agree it is not a current technical/outstanding/safety issue - but it may be a potential wrongdoing issue. It may need further review by the tech staff to determine that. If that review shows indications of potential wrongdoing - it needs to be brought to an ARB. Jeff

>>> Daniel Orr 12/23/03 09:46AM >>>

From my research of PSEG's work management documents, I suspected this was the issue and agree that it is not an issue today, it has been resolved. I do not believe we need any followup at this time.  
Dan

>>> Jeffrey Teator 12/23/03 09:21AM >>>

I spoke to [REDACTED] at 9am today. he is not working until Christmas - night shift (7pm-7am). he is also working night shift on 12/26. He is available to talk by phone or in person on those dates, or as an alternative he is scheduled for "admin" in the plant on 12/29 and 12/30 - day shift.

To address the potential immediacy of the technical issue - I asked him a few follow up questions and was told that:

1. the technical issue was resolved approx 2 years ago - when they redesigned the valves
2. the valves in question are not leaking
3. it is not a current safety issue of any sort.

His point with the issue was that when it came up approx 2 years ago they could have handled it better.

I suggest that if you need additional technical info to determine if the right thing was done - please do so in a private manner with him - i.e. not in public where other plant employees can hear your discussion. We want future interviewees to feel they can be open with us - without their contemporaries or mgmt necessarily knowing what they have told us.

If, after talking to [REDACTED] you get indications that the right thing was not done - this issue should be panelled as a potential SSW. Thanks, Jeff

CC: Scott Barber

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions  
FOIA- 2005-0194

B-172