

From: [REDACTED]
To: <DJV@nrc.gov>
Date: 10/28/03 9:41AM
Subject: Re: PSEG

Dave,

I appreciate your timely response.

I have briefly read the extensive letter and will get back to you with specific comments. Overall, it is on target. There are a few factual matters for me to correct/clarify.

I remain confident in the NRC's attention to concerns I have raised.

Thank you,

Kymn

In a message dated 10/28/2003 8:42:39 AM Eastern Standard Time, DJV@nrc.gov writes:
Kymn,

I received this and your other e-mails from over the weekend. I have provided your comments about the OSHA recordables and the comment in the e-mail about the rollout of the "standdown" meeting to the people who are taking part in the SCWE review.

I have provided appropriate technical staff with the information about the recent Notification written on SORC member training. Please understand that we don't normally process such items through the NRC Allegation Process, as the resident inspectors are made aware of recently submitted Notifications on a routine basis. It is our expectation that conditions potentially adverse to quality be identified, documented, and processed via an established corrective action program, which appears to be what has been done in this instance. If the individual who provided you the information feels or knows that this matter will not be addressed appropriately within the CAP, we would consider such an assertion as an allegation, and process it accordingly. As indicated in your e-mail, the individual may speak with me or the residents, if that have such a concern.

Per your request, an electronic version of our acknowledgment letter which was sent to you via Certified Mail, is attached. This represents our understanding of the concerns you provided. It's rather extensive, so please contact me with any questions.

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA- 2005-0194

R-12-2

Dave, I appreciate your timely response. I have briefly read the extensive letter and will get back to you with specific comments. Overall, it is on target. There are a few factual matters for me to correct/clarify. I remain confident in the NRC's attention to concerns I have raised. Thank you, Kymn In a message dated 10/28/2003 8:42:39 AM Eastern Standard Time, DJV@nrc.gov writes: Kymn,

I received this and your other e-mails from over the weekend. I have provided your comments about the OSHA recordables and the comment in the e-mail about the rollout of the "standdown " meeting to the people who are taking part in the SCWE review.

I have provided appropriate technical staff with the information about the recent Notification written on SORC member training. Please understand that we don't normally process such items through the NRC Allegation Process, as the resident inspectors are made aware of recently submitted Notifications on a routine basis. It is our expectation that conditions potentially adverse to quality be identified, documented, and processed via an established corrective action program, which appears to be what has been done in this instance. If the individual who provided you the information feels or knows that this matter will not be addressed appropriately within the CAP, we would consider such an assertion as an allegation, and process it accordingly. As indicated in your e-mail, the individual may speak with me or the residents, if that have such a concern.

Per your request, an electronic version of our acknowledgment letter which was sent to you via Certified Mail, is attached. This represents our understanding of the concerns you provided. It's rather extensive, so please contact me with any questions.

Received: from igate.nrc.gov
by nrcgwia.nrc.gov; Tue, 28 Oct 2003 09:40:40 -0500
Received: from imo-m03.mx.aol.com (imo-m03.mx.aol.com [64.12.136.6])
by smtp-gateway ESMTPE id h9SEZI6n015578
for <DJV@nrc.gov>; Tue, 28 Oct 2003 09:35:48 -0500 (EST)
Received: from [REDACTED] 7c
by imo-m03.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v36_r1.1.) id f.a4.3e8364c5 (3866)
for <DJV@nrc.gov>; Tue, 28 Oct 2003 09:40:28 -0500 (EST)
From: [REDACTED]
Message-ID: <a4.3e8364c5.2ccfd9dc@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 28 Oct 2003 09:40:28 EST
Subject: Re: PSEG
To: DJV@nrc.gov
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="-----1067352028"
X-Mailer: 9.0 for Windows sub 5003

-----1067352028
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dave,

I appreciate your timely response.

I have briefly read the extensive letter and will get back to you with specific comments. Overall, it is on target. There are a few factual matters for me to correct/clarify.

I remain confident in the NRC's attention to concerns I have raised.

Thank you,

Kymn

In a message dated 10/28/2003 8:42:39 AM Eastern Standard Time, DJV@nrc.gov writes:
Kymn,

I received this and your other e-mails from over the weekend. I have provided your comments about the OSHA recordables and the comment in the e-mail about the rollout of the "standdown" meeting to the people who are taking part in the SCWE review.

I have provided appropriate technical staff with the information about the recent Notification written on SORC member training. Please understand that we don't normally process such items through the NRC Allegation Process, as the resident inspectors are made aware of recently submitted Notifications on a routine basis. It is our expectation that conditions potentially adverse to quality be identified, documented, and processed via an established corrective action program, which appears to be what has been done in this instance. If the individual who provided you the information feels or knows that this matter will not be addressed appropriately within the CAP, we would consider such an

assertion as an allegation, and process it accordingly. As indicated in your e-mail, the individual may speak with me or the residents, if that have such a concern.

Per your request, an electronic version of our acknowledgment letter which was sent to you via Certified Mail, is attached. This represents our understanding of the concerns you provided. It's rather extensive, so please contact me with any questions.

-----1067352028
Content-Type: text/html; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<HTML><HEAD>
<META charset=UTF-8 http-equiv=Content-Type content="text/html; charse
t=utf-8">
<META content="MSHTML 6.00.2800.1264" name=GENERATOR></HEAD>
<BODY style="FONT-SIZE: 10pt; FONT-FAMILY: Arial; BACKGROUND-COLOR: #ffff
f">
<DIV>
<DIV>Dave,</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>I appreciate your timely response.</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>I have briefly read the extensive letter and will get back to you with
specific comments.&nbsp; Overall, it is on target.&nbsp; There are a few fac
tual matters for me to correct/clarify.</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>I remain confident in the NRC's attention to&nbsp;&nbsp;concerns I have raise
d.</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>Thank you,</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>Kymn</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>
<DIV>In a message dated 10/28/2003 8:42:39 AM Eastern Standard Time, DJV@nrc
.gov writes:</DIV>
<BLOCKQUOTE style="PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; BORDER-LEFT: blue
2px solid"><FONT face=Arial>Kymn,<BR><BR>I received this and your other e-
mails from over the weekend.&nbsp; I have provided your comments about the O
SHA recordables and the comment in the e-mail about the rollout of the "stan
ddown " meeting to the people who are taking part in the SCWE review.&nbsp; 
<BR><BR>I have provided appropriate technical staff with the information abo
ut the recent Notification written on SORC member training.&nbsp; Please und
erstand that we don't normally process such items through the NRC Allegation
Process, as the resident inspectors are made aware of recently submitted No
tifications on a routine basis.&nbsp; It is our expectation that conditions
potentially adverse to quality be identified, documented, and processed via
an established corrective action program, which appears to be what has been
done in this instance.&nbsp; If the individual who provided you the informat
ion feels or knows that this matter will not be addressed appropriately with
in the CAP, we would consider such an assertion as an allegation, and proces
s it accordingly.&nbsp; As indicated in your e-mail, the individual may spea
k with me or the residents, if that have such a concern.&nbsp; <BR><BR>Per y
```

our request, an electronic version of our acknowledgment letter which was sent to you via Certified Mail, is attached. This represents our understanding of the concerns you provided. It's rather extensive, so please contact me with any questions.

-----1067352028--