

Gil Johnson notes/comments on the [REDACTED] interview (10/24/2003) 7C

The following are my observations/questions on the [REDACTED] interview (10/24/03)

ASSESSMENT QUESTION	YES/NO	AMPLIFICATION (WHY, WHY NOT, ETC.)
Will raise concerns and has done so before?	YES	Uses Notifications all the time (4) System cumbersome to use (7)
Raises concerns for others?	NO	No need to even though he is a shop steward (6)
Believes others raise concerns without hesitation?	YES	I've seen individuals jump over levels of management to get things addressed (6)
Knows of someone who has experienced retaliation for raising concerns?	NO	Not that I am aware of (5)
Technical Issues identified	YES	Control room indicators stay broken a lot. Maybe you get a little complacent about it. No body wants to commit resources to really address it (2) Generator voltage regulator alarm card (3)
Production over Safety?	NO	Never seen an issue where I have questioned the company's attitude about nuclear safety (1) However, he did mention, during his discussion of the stuck BF-19 issue, that management held @ 25% for turnover for "business reasons" (addendum-Ted Wingfield, 1/29/04) (8)

- (1) Page 6, Lines 14-22; page 7 lines 4-12
- (2) Page 11, lines 10-19; page 12 lines 1-8
- (3) Page 14, lines 1-19
- (4) Page 15, lines 4 & 5
- (5) Pages 22 and 23
- (6) Pages 25, 26, and 27; Page 44, lines 5, 6
- (7) Pages 16 and 17
- (8) Pages 32 through 37 - Discusses the stuck BF-19 (Feed Regulating Valve) issue ... separate view among some in the oncoming shift that the failure could have been due to "stuck" packing ... they allowed additional troubleshooting while reducing power ... made the decision to turnover @ 25% power instead of lower to keep generating more megawatts while they "evaluated" conducting more troubleshooting (this time with the control circuit) ... after the turnover; they decided that troubleshooting the controls systems would add no value and continued the shutdown (addendum-Ted Wingfield, 1/29/04)

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA-2005-0194

R-112