

From: David Vito *RI*
To: Hubert J. Miller
Date: 12/12/03 4:49PM
Subject: My review of RI-2003-A-0110 Alleger's State H&I complaint vs. PSEG response00

See attached. My assessment of pertinent items - will be assessed as part of H&I investigation.

CC: A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; Daniel Holody; Eileen Neff; Ernest Wilson; Glenn Meyer; Scott Barber

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA-2005-0194

R-156

Comparison of RI-2003-A-0110 Alleger's State Court Discrimination Complaint vs. PSEG Response to Complaint

Pertinent items:

Paragraph 14 Her meeting with [redacted] on or about 10/2/02 - discussed union issues, NEO concerns about [redacted] denies - her word against his

7C

Paragraph 16 Her meeting with [redacted] in early 2/03 - [redacted] admits a meeting occurred and a few facts about content, but denies any of the comments about SCWE - her word against his

Paragraph 17 Her meeting with [redacted] on 2/24/03 - [redacted] admits meeting occurred and that there was a staff reduction, and her position was being eliminated - doesn't admit comments she attributes to him about SCWE - her word against his

Paragraphs 21 & 22 Meeting with [redacted] on 3/20/03 - this is the one meeting that we have a tape of - [redacted] doesn't recall a meeting on that day - denies allegations in paragraphs - but admits advising her that she was not effective in promoting relations between union and management.

Paragraph 23 [redacted] denies he decided to accelerate her departure date - also denies another statement that HR contacted him about her meeting with him on 3/20/03.

Paragraph 26 [redacted] denies that he ordered her last day to be moved up to 3/28/03 (in paragraph, she asserted that [redacted] told her this during a 3/27/03 meeting (which was also taped))