From:	David Vito
To:	A. Randolph Blough
Date:	10/6/03 2:33PM
Subject:	Fwd: Call from Salem/HC Alleger (RI-2003-A-0110)

1

## ALLEGATION INFORMATION - PLEASE TREAT SENSITIVELY - DO NOT DISCLOSE OF FORWARD

Randy,

٠,,

I forgot to include you on this e-mail. Also need to speak with you on something that is not discussed here. Will be down shortly.

information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions  $\underline{72}$ FOIA- $\underline{2005-0194}$ 



From:David Vito♥<sup>Y</sup>To:Eileen Neff; Ernest Wilson; Glenn Meyer; Karl Farrar; Raymond Lorson; Scott BarberDate:10/6/03 1:49PMSubject:Call from Salem/HC Alleger (RI-2003-A-0110)

## ALLEGATION INFORMATION - PLEASE TREAT SENSITIVELY - DO NOT DISCLOSE OF FORWARD

The alleger just called. She wanted to let me know that she appreciated the call from Hub on Friday. She informed me that all parties had been served (her civil suit), except for the served, who lives in the served (apparently, there is more red tape involved in serving the suit out of state).

She asked if I had heard Hub say that we would not be referring the matter to PSEG. I told her that I did. She then asked if she could know how we are approaching the review. I told her that I couldn't give a lot of detail but noted that the review would obviously involve talking to a number of people. I told her that Eileen Neff and others were making arrangements to begin the review and that I trusted their judgement on how to approach things. I reminded her that the fact that her civil suit is now on the public record will likely cause reaction from the licensee, and arranging discussions with people may become more difficult. She stated that she understood.

She asked if I knew that Hope Creek had scrammed this weekend (during the conversation, I learned that she had heard about the scram from the occurrence. She didn't have any particular concern about it....just wanted to know if we were on top of things.

<u>She stated that she also had learned of another issue that she thought our technical guys mught want to keep an eye on.</u> She referred to a NOED, but wasn't sure of the subject. Said it may have something to do with welds....or possibly with something Dave Lochbaum is pursuing with now. I told her I didn't have personal knolwedge of a current NOED working @ Salem or HC related to what she was talking about, but since I don't know everything that's happening at all the plants, it is possible that such a review is in progress. I told her I would ask about it.

I mentioned that our acknowledgment letter would be a bit later than usual because of the amount of review we were doing related to all of the documentation she provided. She indicated that the timing of that letter was not an issue for her. I thanked her for understanding, and reminded her that the letter would give her an opportunity to see how we have articulated her concerns. She asked if we could send the letter to her via e-mail, in addition to hard copy. I told her that we could do that.

CC:

Daniel Holody; Hubert J. Miller; James Wiggins; Leanne Harrison; Sharon Johnson