

Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0110
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
ARB Date: 10/2/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: No
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: What NRC Actions are to be Taken

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? _____

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Wilson, Neff RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Walker, Holody, Lorson

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

- 1) Complete review of all the issues.

Responsible Person: Meyer/SAC ECD: 10/10/2003
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

- 2) Review of drafted acknowledgment letter prior to issuance. Blough to concur on acknowledgment letter.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Walker/Farrar/Neff/Lorson ECD: 10/17/2003
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

- 3) Provide insert for acknowledgment letter discussing the basis for allowing continued operation of plants while SCWE review is in progress (see DRP draft Salem/Hope Creek allegation approach).

Responsible Person: Meyer ECD: 10/6/2003
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

- 4) OI to open Assist to Staff (1-2003-051 F) on SCWE. OI staff to initially conduct joint interviews of senior managers (week of 10/6/03). Subsequently interview selected individuals from the list of personnel provided by the alleger as appropriate. OI to interview Shift Managers (6 at Salem - 6 at Hope Creek) and Determine additional interviews that may be warranted.

Responsible Person: Wilson/Meyer ECD: TBD
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

- 5) Obtain survey results from recent ECP SCWE surveys, Gallup Q12 survey and Winston and Strawn assessment of SCWE after alleger's letter to Make requests for information after initial interviews are conducted.

Responsible Person: Wilson/Neff ECD: TBD
Closure Documentation: _____ Completed: _____

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 7C
FOIA-2005-0194

R-64

- 6) DRP (resident staff) to review technical issues to determine if further technical review is warranted. Provide summary of technical review(s) to SAC for file.

Responsible Person: Meyer
 Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 11/15/2003
 Completed: _____

- 7) DRP/OI to review results of interviews and ECP investigation for any indications of wrongdoing. As part of those initial interviews, followup on concern related to suppression of notifications generated in response to the [REDACTED] at Salem. Provide documentation of review to SAC for file, and repanel if indications of wrongdoing are found.

Responsible Person: Meyer
 Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: TBD
 Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: SCWE Review

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: High

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)