

From: "James Salsman" <jsalsman@gmail.com>
To: John F. Cordes, Jr. <JFC@nrc.gov>
Date: 8/15/2006 5:05 PM
Subject: Fwd: Petition Review Board for 2.206 Petition Concerning Depleted Uranium

John Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor
Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Dear Mr. Cordes:

Please be advised of the following message and the attached draft complaint, which refers to the petition intended as an attachment which you can find at:

www.bovik.org/du/du-petition-2006.txt

I urge you to ask Ms. Federline and her Petition Review Board to agree to use a standard dictionary definition of the word "significant" and reconsider review of the petition so that we may avoid this litigation.

Please let Ms. Federline know how the courts will see her crafting a new definition for an established word from whole cloth.

Sincerely,
James Salsman

----- Forwarded message -----

From: James Salsman <jsalsman@gmail.com>
Date: Aug 15, 2006 1:52 PM
Subject: Re: Petition Review Board for 2.206 Petition Concerning Depleted Uranium
To: Jack Strosnider <JRS2@nrc.gov>
Cc: Paul Goldberg <PFG@nrc.gov>, Donald Cool <DAC@nrc.gov>, Vincent Holahan <EVH@nrc.gov>, George Mulley <GAM@nrc.gov>, Giovanna Longo <GML@nrc.gov>, Joseph DeCicco <JXD1@nrc.gov>, Margaret Federline <MVF@nrc.gov>, Robert O'Connell <RLO@nrc.gov>, Tim Harris <TEH@nrc.gov>, Thomas Essig <THE@nrc.gov>, William Borden <WXB1@nrc.gov>

Dear Mr. Strosnider:

As I wrote last week, your Deputy Director Margart Federline's Petition Review Board rejected my 2.202 petition of July 12, 2006 on the basis of Ms. Federline's arbitrary definition of the word "significant" which bears no relation to any dictionary definition or to any NRC documentation. This behavior does not conform to the NRC values published on your web site.

Unless I hear by Thursday afternoon that Ms. Federline's PRB is reconsidering the rejection of the petition, and has agreed to use a standard, dictionary definition of the word "significant" in doing so, I will file the attached draft complaint in court. (Attached at ML062490073)

Please reply by email to jsalsman@gmail.com.

Sincerely,
James Salsman

On 8/15/06, Paul Goldberg <PFG@nrc.gov> wrote:

> Dear Mr. Salsman,
> We have received your e-mail communication of August 11, 2006, addressed to Margaret Federline. Pursuant to Management Directive 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions", Part I, the claims in your e-mail of wrongdoing involving NRC employees have been forwarded to the NRC Office of Inspector General.

CC: "Jack Strosnider" <JRS2@nrc.gov>, "Vincent Holahan" <EVH@nrc.gov>, "Margaret Federline" <MVF@nrc.gov>, "Paul Goldberg" <PFG@nrc.gov>

Mail Envelope Properties (44E236EF.147 : 10 : 12615)

Subject: Fwd: Petition Review Board for 2.206 Petition Concerning Depleted Uranium
Creation Date 08/15/2006 5:04:22 PM
From: "James Salsman" <jsalsman@gmail.com>
Created By: jsalsman@gmail.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
PFG CC (Paul Goldberg)
MVF CC (Margaret Federline)

nrc.gov
OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
EVH CC (Vincent Holahan)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
JRS2 CC (Jack Strosnider)

nrc.gov
OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01
JFC (John Cordes)

Post Office

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 2298 | 8/15/2006 5:04:22 PM |
| draft-nrc-complaint.pdf | 117847 | See ML062490073 in ADAMS |
| Mime.822 | 165870 | |

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No

Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

| Docket numbers | License numbers |
|----------------|-----------------|
| 040-06394 | SMB-141 |
| 040-07086 | SUB-743 |
| 040-08814 | SUB-1411 |
| 040-08838 | SUB-1435 |
| 040-07354 | SUB-834 |
| 040-08779 | SUC-1391 |
| 040-08767 | SUC-1380 |
| 040-08850 | SUB-1440 |
| 030-29462 | 45-23645-01NA |
| 030-28641 | 42-23539-01AF |