

September 7, 2006

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager, ESBWR
General Electric Company
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 57 RELATED TO
ESBWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Hinds:

By letter dated August 24, 2005, General Electric Company (GE) submitted an application for final design approval and standard design certification of the economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) standard plant design pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed design.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosures to this letter. Enclosure 1 includes proprietary information which is indicated in brackets and underlines. We have prepared a non-proprietary version of the RAI (Enclosure 2) that does not contain proprietary information.

The RAI question is related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 2, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Anticipated Transient Without Scram Analysis." The RAI question was sent to you in draft form via electronic email on April 4, 2006, and June 26, 2006, and was discussed with your staff during a telecon on August 30, 2006. You agreed to respond to the RAI on September 15, 2006.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-4115 or mcb@nrc.gov or you may contact Amy Cubbage at (301) 415-2875 or aec@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Martha Barillas, Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-010

Enclosures: 1. Request for Additional Information (Proprietary)
2. Request for Additional Information (Non-Proprietary)

cc: See next page (w/o enclosure 1)

September 7, 2006

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager, ESBWR
General Electric Company
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 57 RELATED TO
ESBWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Hinds:

By letter dated August 24, 2005, General Electric Company (GE) submitted an application for final design approval and standard design certification of the economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) standard plant design pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed design.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosures to this letter. Enclosure 1 includes proprietary information which is indicated in brackets and underlines. We have prepared a non-proprietary version of the RAI (Enclosure 2) that does not contain proprietary information.

The RAI question is related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 2, "TRACG Application for ESBWR Anticipated Transient Without Scram Analysis." The RAI question was sent to you in draft form via electronic email on April 4, 2006, and June 26, 2006, and was discussed with your staff during a telecon on August 30, 2006. You agreed to respond to the RAI on September 15, 2006.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-4115 or mcb@nrc.gov or you may contact Amy Cubbage at (301) 415-2875 or aec@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Martha Barillas, Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-010

Enclosures: 1. Request for Additional Information (Proprietary)
2. Request for Additional Information (Non-Proprietary)

cc: See next page (w/o enclosure 1)
ADAMS ACCESSION NO. ML062480225

| | | |
|--------|------------|------------|
| OFFICE | NESB/PM | NESB/BC(A) |
| NAME | MBarillas | JColaccino |
| DATE | 09/05/2006 | 09/07/2006 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution for DCD RAI Letter No. 57 dated September 7, 2006

Hard Copy (w/o enclosure 1)

PUBLIC
NESB R/F
JColaccino
MBarillas

E-Mail (w/o enclosure 1)

JDanna
MGavrilas
ACRS
KWinsberg
OGC
ACubbage
JGaslevic
LRossbach
LQuinones
MBarillas
TKevern
VKlein
RLandry

ESBWR

cc:

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager
ESBWR
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

Mr. George B. Stramback
Manager, Regulatory Services
GE Nuclear Energy
1989 Little Orchard Street, M/C 747
San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. David Lochbaum, Nuclear Safety
Engineer
Union of Concerned Scientists
1707 H Street, NW., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter
Nuclear Information & Resource Service
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 404
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James Riccio
Greenpeace
702 H Street, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Adrian Heymer
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Paul Leventhal
Nuclear Control Institute
1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 410
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Ron Simard
6170 Masters Club Drive
Suwanne, GA 30024

Mr. Brendan Hoffman
Research Associate on Nuclear Energy
and Environmental Program
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20003

Mr, Jay M. Gutierrez
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Glenn H. Archinoff
AECL Technologies
481 North Frederick Avenue
Suite 405
Gaithersburg, MD. 20877

Mr. Gary Wright, Director
Division of Nuclear Facility Safety
Illinois Emergency Management Agency
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Charles Brinkman
Westinghouse Electric Co.
Washington Operations
12300 Twinbrook Pkwy., Suite 330
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Ronald P. Vijuk
Manager of Passive Plant Engineering
AP1000 Project
Westinghouse Electric Company
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager
Projects
PBMR Pty LTD
PO Box 9396
Centurion 0046
Republic of South Africa

Mr. Russell Bell
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Ms. Sandra Sloan
Areva NP, Inc.
3315 Old Forest Road
P.O. Box 10935
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Mr. Robert E. Sweeney
IBEX ESI
4641 Montgomery Avenue
Suite 350
Bethesda, MD 20814

Mr. Eugene S. Grecheck
Vice President, Nuclear Support Services
Dominion Energy, Inc.
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Mr. George A. Zinke
Manager, Project Management
Nuclear Business Development
Entergy Nuclear, M-ECH-683
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

E-Mail:

tom.miller@hq.doe.gov or
tom.miller@nuclear.energy.gov
sfrantz@morganlewis.com
ksutton@morganlewis.com
jgutierrez@morganlewis.com
mwetterhahn@winston.com
whorin@winston.com
gcesare@enercon.com
jerald.holm@framatome-anp.com
erg-xl@cox.net
joseph_hegner@dom.com
mark.beaumont@wsms.com
steven.hucik@ge.com
patriciaL.campbell@ge.com
bob.brown@ge.com
david.hinds@ge.com
chris.maslak@ge.com
james1beard@ge.com
louis.quintana@gene.ge.com
wayne.massie@ge.com
kathy.sedney@ge.com
mgiles@entergy.com
tansel.selekler@nuclear.energy.gov or
tansel.selekler@hq.doe.gov
george.stramback@gene.ge.com

Request for Additional Information (RAI)
ESBWR Design Control Document (DCD) Chapter 21
Related to NEDE-33083P, Supplement 2,
“TRACG Application for ESBWR Anticipated Transient Without Scram Analysis”

| RAI Number | Reviewer | Summary | Full Text |
|------------|---------------------|--|---|
| 21.6-36 | Landry R Klein V | Provide additional information on your uncertainty analysis. | <p>Provide additional information regarding the uncertainty analysis described in NEDE-33083P Supplement 2 by addressing the following questions:</p> <p>(a) In Section 8.3, you show figures of how each of the highly ranked phenomena effect the safety parameters on a +/-1 σ level. Provide additional information explaining how you determined the response in the safety parameters (peak power, peak vessel pressure, peak clad temperature, etc.).</p> <p>(b) Clarify the statement in Section 7.3 that states: <i>“Section 7.6 of Reference 12 provides a statistical analysis of selected AOO events. Since there is no ATWS transient event to compare to, these events provide the best possible evaluation of TRACG’s accuracy. They provide a general confirmation that the code uncertainty determined by varying PIRT parameters is consistent with the event measurements.”</i> How are the uncertainties from the AOO events used in the ATWS uncertainty evaluation?</p> |

| RAI Number | Reviewer | Summary | Full Text |
|-------------------|----------|---------|---|
| 21.6-36 Cont'd | | | <p>(c) In Section 7.2 you state: [[</p> <p style="text-align: right;">]] Clarify this process. GE may consider outlining this process using a table or a diagram and referencing the portions of the topical which explain each step in the process.</p> <p>(d) Describe the methodology for selecting which conservatism were selected to be included into the application methodology. In regard to the information provided on pages 8-28 to 8-33 in Sections 8.2.1 and 8.2.2, was there a threshold in change in the safety parameters that warranted inclusion of the specific phenomena as a conservatism into the application methodology?</p> |