

POLICY ISSUE  
(Information)

September 22, 2006

SECY-06-0201

FOR: The Commissioners

FROM: Luis A. Reyes  
Executive Director for Operations /RA/

SUBJECT: PERIODIC ASSESSMENT OF THE ACTIVITIES OF THE  
COMMITTEE TO REVIEW GENERIC REQUIREMENTS FROM  
JUNE 1, 2005, THROUGH MAY 31, 2006

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the Commission with a periodic assessment of the activities of the Committee to Review Generic Requirements (CRGR or the Committee). This paper does not address any new commitments or resource implications.

BACKGROUND:

The CRGR consists of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) senior managers from the Offices of the General Counsel (OGC), Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES), Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards (NMSS), Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR), and one of the regional offices on a rotating basis, currently Region IV. The CRGR reports to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO), who appoints the Committee chairman and members. The CRGR conducts its activities in accordance with the Committee's charter, Revision 7, dated November 7, 1999, which describes the Committee's mission, scope of activities, and operating procedures. RES provides technical and administrative support to the Committee.

CONTACT: Sher Bahadur, RES  
301-415-6289

The CRGR mission is to ensure that proposed new or revised generic requirements applicable to agency-licensed power reactor and nuclear materials licensees are appropriately justified based on the backfit provisions of the applicable NRC regulations, the Commission's backfit guidance, and pertinent policy.

The primary responsibilities of the CRGR are to recommend to the EDO either approval or disapproval of the staff's proposed generic actions and to assist the NRC program offices in ensuring consistency with the implementation of the Commission's backfit regulations, directives, and guidance. In addition, the CRGR participates in periodic meetings with stakeholders as part of its responsibility for monitoring the overall effectiveness of NRC's generic backfit management process. As part of its responsibility for regulatory effectiveness, the CRGR may conduct periodic audits of NRC's administrative controls for facility-specific backfitting to assess their effectiveness.

In response to the Commission's direction, the CRGR proposed a process and criteria for periodic assessment of the Committee's activities in SECY-97-052, "Committee to Review Generic Requirement Scope of Review and Periodic Review of Activities," dated February 27, 1997. The Commission approved the recommended process and criteria in a staff requirements memorandum (SRM) dated April 18, 1997. Accordingly, since 1997, the CRGR has been evaluating its activities and reporting annually to the Commission.

#### DISCUSSION:

During this 12-month assessment period, from June 21, 2005, through May 31, 2006, the CRGR reviewed proposed new or revised generic actions and evaluated their potential for improper or unjustified backfits consistent with the Committee's charter. In doing so, the CRGR also identified pertinent technical, procedural, policy, and legal issues and continued to support NRC's move to less prescriptive and more performance-based and risk-informed regulations.

#### CRGR Activities

The CRGR held eight meetings during this assessment period to review 12 proposed generic actions, including 1 rulemaking, 5 generic letters, 4 regulatory guides (RGs), 1 interim staff guidance, and 1 regulatory issue summary (RIS). Of these, NRR sponsored eight actions, and RES sponsored four. A list of the proposed topics is provided in Enclosure 1.

To gain efficiency in the review process, the CRGR chairman conducted a limited review of several RISs and RGs to screen out any potential backfit. This limited review was conducted to ensure that only a RIS or RG that had backfit potential or dealt with key issues was presented to the Committee. The remaining RISs and RGs which did not have any of these concerns were given either a deferral or a waiver from a formal CRGR review. A deferral from a CRGR review required that the staff issued the document for public comment and later resubmitted for CRGR consideration after addressing all the public comments. A document waived from a formal review was issued final by the staff without further CRGR reviews. During this assessment period, the CRGR chairman conducted 45 informal reviews. A list of the topics reviewed is provided in Enclosure 2.

### Stakeholder Feedback

As part of its efforts to meet the strategic goals of openness and effectiveness, the CRGR meets periodically with licensees and other stakeholders. Through these interactions, the CRGR has received feedback from stakeholders regarding a concern that generic communications and certain guidance documents are being used to establish new staff positions. In November 2005, the CRGR chairman and another CRGR member participated in the "Generic Communications and Backfitting" session at the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Licensing Forum held in Baltimore, Maryland. In a panel discussion during this session the CRGR chairman made a presentation on the Committee activities, solicited feedback from the Forum participants on NRC's generic backfit process, and addressed questions posed by audience members. Stakeholder comments mostly addressed the appropriateness of using generic communications, specifically RISs, to establish new staff requirements.

Further, on August 9, 2006, the staff obtained comments, of a similar nature, on CRGR activities from Exelon through a slide presentation given at the 2006 Utility Working Conference held in Amelia Island, Florida. CRGR plans to review these comments at an upcoming committee meeting to determine if any action is warranted.

### Periodic Assessment of CRGR Performance

The CRGR conducts a periodic assessment of the value added by its reviews of the proposed new or revised generic actions based on a self-assessment of its activities and feedback from the program offices as described below.

#### Self-Assessment

The CRGR assesses its value added in terms of the effectiveness in fulfilling the following three categories of chartered responsibilities:

- (1) Identify improper, unjustified, or implicit backfits.

The CRGR primary mission is to ensure that no inadvertent backfits are either imposed or implied by the proposed new or revised generic requirements for NRC-licensed power reactors and nuclear materials facilities and that staff-proposed actions are appropriately justified as required by NRC's regulations and Commission guidance and directives, as well as applicable legislative acts and executive orders. Appendices C and D to the CRGR charter require that the packages submitted for the Committee's review and endorsement include detailed backfit and regulatory analyses, as appropriate. During this assessment period, the NRC staff ensured that the proposals were consistent with the backfit provisions of applicable regulations and that any impact of these proposals on NRC and licensees were assessed and explained. The staff followed CRGR guidance as outlined in the CRGR charter and the associated regulatory requirements.

The staff appropriately identified justifiable backfits and provided the required supporting documents for the CRGR reviews. Consequently, no additional backfits were identified by the Committee.

- (2) Identify technical, procedural, or legal deficiencies or flaws with respect to backfit policy presented to the CRGR.

In addition to technical expertise from the program offices and field experience from the regions, the CRGR membership includes a senior OGC manager to identify legal deficiencies or flaws in proposed staff actions with respect to the Commission's backfit rules, guidance, policies, and directives. The committee notes that the staff improved its proposals and benefitted from the CRGR reviews because CRGR members provided recommendations from a process perspective and ensured consistency with the Commission's backfit regulations, directives, and guidance.

- (3) Consider the significance of the issues raised by the CRGR as compared to the impact on schedules and resources expended to address those issues.

The CRGR continued to provide guidance and consultation to the NRC staff, when needed, to eliminate implications of potential backfits in proposed documents before they were issued for public comment and a formal CRGR review. To prevent unnecessary delays, the CRGR expeditiously scheduled the Committee meetings as requested by the NRC staff. The CRGR scheduled special meetings to meet the schedule demands and provided necessary assistance to the staff before the formal CRGR review. When necessary to expedite the endorsement process, the CRGR staff assisted the sponsoring office staff in satisfactorily resolving the Committee's comments. As a result, the sponsoring office staff generally required minimal effort to respond to the CRGR comments and recommendations.

The results of its self-assessment revealed that CRGR reviews were timely, focused on the priority issues, and beneficial to the NRC staff. Interactions with the NRC staff have been positive and professional, resulting in constructive feedback and useful insights to ensure product completeness.

#### Feedback from NRC Program Offices

The CRGR continues to seek feedback from the sponsoring offices on the value added by the Committee's reviews. The CRGR solicited feedback from sponsoring offices, NRR and RES, in a memorandum dated June 14, 2005, (ADAMS Accession No. ML061650302) regarding (1) the value that the CRGR reviews added to the quality of the product, (2) staff efforts expended to address CRGR comments and recommendations, (3) impact on the staff's schedules, and (4) significance of the issues and associated costs in terms of overall impact on schedules and resources. The Committee did not receive any proposals for review from NMSS and NSIR during this assessment period.

Regarding the value added, the program offices indicated that in most cases the independent reviews by CRGR were good, helpful and beneficial. The CRGR comments and recommendations helped improve the overall quality and completeness of the final products. The CRGR reviews helped focus the backfit section of the generic communications and assist in maintaining consistency with commission policies, rules and regulations, directives, and guidance. At least in two instances, the CRGR reviews were significant and resulted in more refined and much improved generic letters issued by the staff. In one case, the staff said that

only a little value was added by the CRGR review as the product was a very routine rulemaking involving incorporation of ASME Code Cases by reference.

The program offices stated that the staff efforts in responding to the CRGR's comments and recommendations were minimal, with no significant impact on the staff's schedule or resources. The offices also noted that the CRGR's comments were almost always focused and constructive and as such did not pose an undue burden to the staff. Both the CRGR staff and the Committee members were very responsive to the requests by the program offices staff when the staff needed the CRGR to clarify comments or recommendations. Furthermore, the staff said it could utilize a good part of the CRGR presentations when briefing another advisory committee (e.g., the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS)), thereby gaining efficiencies in preparing the briefing material for ACRS.

The program offices reported that there was no significant impact on the staff's schedule or resources. In one instance, the Committee averted an adverse impact on the staff's schedule by agreeing to review the staff's product after (rather than before) the completion of the ACRS review, thereby avoiding several months of delay in the staff's schedule. In another instance, the publication of a rule was delayed as the CRGR process required an office-level concurrence on the review package which took longer than usual, and an ad-hoc CRGR meeting could not be scheduled because of insufficient quorum.

The program offices indicated that the CRGR identified issues that did not significantly impact the associated costs in terms of overall schedule and resources. The program offices stated that the time required for CRGR reviews in most cases were already considered and factored in the schedule in planning stage. There were no associated costs in terms of overall schedule and resources other than those already expended in preparing the package for the CRGR review.

#### CONCLUSION:

The CRGR believes that it has successfully contributed the necessary staff and industry awareness of the applicable NRC regulations and Commission policy regarding backfits. The self-assessment and the program offices' feedback indicate that the Committee has provided its review and evaluation in an efficient and effective manner, added value to the regulatory process, and contributed to the accomplishment of NRC's mission by identifying technical, procedural, and legal issues. The Committee will continue to seek improvements in its workings.

**/RA by Martin J. Virgilio Acting For/**

Luis A. Reyes  
Executive Director  
for Operations

#### Enclosures:

1. Topics Reviewed by the CRGR between June 1, 2005, and May 31, 2006
2. Topics Reviewed by the CRGR Chairman between June 1, 2005, and May 31, 2006

only a little value was added by the CRGR review as the product was a very routine rulemaking involving incorporation of ASME Code Cases by reference.

The program offices stated that the staff efforts in responding to the CRGR's comments and recommendations were minimal, with no significant impact on the staff's schedule or resources. The offices also noted that the CRGR's comments were almost always focused and constructive and as such did not pose an undue burden to the staff. Both the CRGR staff and the Committee members were very responsive to the requests by the program offices staff when the staff needed the CRGR to clarify comments or recommendations. Furthermore, the staff said it could utilize a good part of the CRGR presentations when briefing another advisory committee (e.g., the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS)), thereby gaining efficiencies in preparing the briefing material for ACRS.

The program offices reported that there was no significant impact on the staff's schedule or resources. In one instance, the Committee averted an adverse impact on the staff's schedule by agreeing to review the staff's product after (rather than before) the completion of the ACRS review, thereby avoiding several months of delay in the staff's schedule. In another instance, the publication of a rule was delayed as the CRGR process required an office-level concurrence on the review package which took longer than usual, and an ad-hoc CRGR meeting could not be scheduled because of insufficient quorum.

The program offices indicated that the CRGR identified issues that did not significantly impact the associated costs in terms of overall schedule and resources. The program offices stated that the time required for CRGR reviews in most cases were already considered and factored in the schedule in planning stage. There were no associated costs in terms of overall schedule and resources other than those already expended in preparing the package for the CRGR review.

**CONCLUSION:**

The CRGR believes that it has successfully contributed the necessary staff and industry awareness of the applicable NRC regulations and Commission policy regarding backfits. The self-assessment and the program offices' feedback indicate that the Committee has provided its review and evaluation in an efficient and effective manner, added value to the regulatory process, and contributed to the accomplishment of NRC's mission by identifying technical, procedural, and legal issues. The Committee will continue to seek improvements in its workings.

**/RA by Martin J. Virgilio Acting For/**

Luis A. Reyes  
Executive Director  
for Operations

**Enclosures:**

1. Topics Reviewed by the CRGR between June 1, 2005, and May 31, 2006
2. Topics Reviewed by the CRGR Chairman between June 1, 2005, and May 31, 2006

**WITS No. 199700390**

E:\Filenet\ML062410524.wpd

OAR in ADAMS? (Y or N) Y ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML062410524 TEMPLATE NO. SECY-012

Publicly Available? (Y or N) Y DATE OF RELEASE TO PUBLIC: Norm SENSITIVE? N

OFFICE	CRGR		Tech Editor		CRGR		EDO	
NAME	LCupidon		HChang		SBahadur		LAReyes	<b>MJV for</b>
DATE	8/29/06		08/04/06		8/29/06		09/22 /06	

**TOPICS REVIEWED BY THE  
COMMITTEE TO REVIEW GENERIC REQUIREMENTS  
BETWEEN JUNE 1, 2005, AND MAY 31, 2006  
(CRGR Meeting Nos. 402–409)**

**CRGR Meeting No. 402 (June 14, 2005)**

David B. Matthews  
(NRR/ADRA/DNRL)  
Mark A. Cunningham (RES/DFERR)

Proposed Final Rule, "Amendment to 10 CFR 50.55a, 'Codes and Standards' Incorporation by Reference of ASME BPV Code Cases and the associated regulatory guides; RG 1.84, "Design, Fabrication, and Materials Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section III," issued August 2005; RG 1.147, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section XI, Division 1," issued August 2005; RG 1.193, "ASME Code Cases Not Approved for Use," issued August 2005 (ADAMS Accession No. ML051320111)

Presenters: Harry S. Tovmassian (NRR), Wallace E. Norris (RES)

**CRGR Meeting No. 403 (September 13, 2005)**

Frank P. Gilepsie (NRR/ADRO/DRL)

Proposed interim staff guidance process for license renewal (ADAMS Accession No. ML052310174)

Presenters: Stephen T. Hoffman (NRR), Linh N. Tran (NRR)

**CRGR Meeting No. 404 (September 27, 2005)**

John A. Grobe (NRR/ADES/DCI)

Proposed generic letter, "Steam Generator Tube Integrity and Associated Technical Specifications" (ADAMS Accession No. ML050840367)

Presenter: Kenneth J. Karwoski, (NRR)



**CRGR Meeting No. 405 (November 8, 2005)**

Michael E. Mayfield  
(NRR/ADES/DE)

Proposed generic letter , “Grid Reliability and the Impact on Plant Risk and the Operability of Offsite Power” (ADAMS Accession No. ML052440389)

Presenters: Ronaldo V. Jenkins (NRR), Amritpal (Paul) S. Gill (NRR)

**CRGR Meeting No. 406 (November 29, 2005)**

James E. Lyons (NRR/ADRA/DRA)

Proposed generic letter, “Generic Letter on Impact of Potentially Degraded Hemyc and MT Fire Barriers on Compliance with Approved Fire Protection Programs” (ADAMS Accession No. ML052840172)

Presenters: Angela P. Lavretta (NRR), Daniel M. Frumkin (NRR)

James E. Lyons (NRR/ADRA/DRA)

Proposed RIS 2005-30, “Clarification of Post Fire Safe Shutdown Circuit Regulatory Requirements” (ADAMS Accession No. ML052770154)

Presenter: Robert F. Radlinski (NRR)

**CRGR Meeting No. 407 (December 13, 2005)**

Mark A. Cunningham (RES/DFERR)

Proposed RG 1.152, Revision 2, “Criteria for Use of Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants” (ADAMS Accession No. ML051920407)

Presenter: Satish K. Aggarwal (RES)

**CRGR Meeting No. 408 (April 25, 2006)**

James E. Lyons (NRR/ADRA/DRA)

Proposed generic letter, “Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Circuit Analysis Spurious Actuations” (ADAMS Accession No. ML060800076)

Presenter: Robert J. Wolfgang (NRR)



**CRGR Meeting No. 409 (May 9, 2006)**

Michael E. Mayfield  
(NRR/ADES/DE)

Proposed generic letter, "Inaccessible or  
Underground Cable Failures that Disable Accident  
Mitigation Systems" (ADAMS Accession No.  
ML534103430)

Presenter: Thomas Koshy (NRR)

**TOPICS REVIEWED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE  
COMMITTEE TO REVIEW GENERIC REQUIREMENTS  
BETWEEN JUNE 1, 2005, AND MAY 31, 2006**

Document Type	Topic	Decision on Formal CRGR Review
RIS	RIS 2005-17 (Draft), "Clarification of Requirements for Application of the ASME Code Symbol Stamp on Safety-related Components" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051160005)	Waived June 24, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-13, "NRC Incident Response and the National Response Plan" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051590482)	Waived June 30, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-XX, "NRC Staff Position on the Requirements of 10 CFR 50.36, 'Technical Specifications,' With Respect to Limiting Safety System Settings (LSSS) During Periodic Testing and Calibration of Instrument Channels" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051810077)	Waived July 25, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-20 (Draft), "Revision to Guidance Formerly Contained in Generic Letter 91-18, 'Information to Licensees Regarding Two NRC Inspection Manual Sections on Resolution of Degraded and Nonconforming Conditions and on Operability'" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052020424)	Waived July 27, 2005
RIS	RIS 2002-15, Revision 1, "NRC Approval of Commercial Data Encryption Systems for the Electronic Transmission of Safeguards Information" (ADAMS Accession No. ML050460031)	Waived August 24, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-19, "Preparation and Scheduling of Operator Licensing Examinations" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052080128)	Waived August 25, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-16, "Issuance of NRC Management Directive 8.17, 'Licensee Complaints Against NRC Employees'" (ADAMS Accession No. ML050100329)	Waived August 25, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-29, "Anticipated Transients That Could Develop Into More Serious Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051890212)	Waived September 2, 2005

<b>Document Type</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision on Formal CRGR Review</b>
RIS	RIS 2005-26, "Control of Sensitive Unclassified Nonsafeguards Information Related to Nuclear Power Reactors" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051430228)	Waived September 2, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-28, "Scope of For-Cause Fitness-For-Duty Testing Required by 10 CFR 26.24(a)(3)" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052230003)	Waived September 2, 2005
RIS	RIS 2006-02, "Good Practices for Licensee Performance During Emergency Preparedness Component of Force-on-Force Exercises" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052970294)	Waived October 3, 2005
RIS	Revised RIS 2005-28, "Scope of For-Cause Fitness-For-Duty Testing Required by 10 CFR 26.24(a)(3)" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052230003)	Waived October 3, 2005
RIS	RIS 2005-XX, "Endorsement of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Guidance 'Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness Programs Hostile Action Related Events'" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053290370)	Waived December 21, 2005
RIS	RIS 2006-03, "Guidance on Requesting an Exemption from Biennial Emergency Preparedness Exercise Requirements" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053390039)	Waived January 24, 2006
RIS	RIS 2004-15, "Supplement 1 Emergency Preparedness Issues: POST-9/11" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053000046)	Waived February 6, 2006
RIS	RIS 2006-04, "Experience with Implementation of Alternative Source Terms" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053460347)	Waived February 8, 2006
RIS	Updated RIS 2004-15, "Supplement 1 Emergency Preparedness Issues: POST-9/11" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053000046)	Waived March 10, 2006
RIS	RIS 2006-XX, "Revised Review and Transmittal Process for Accident Sequence Precursor Analyses" (ADAMS Accession No. ML060900007)	Waived May 16, 2006

<b>Document Type</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision on Formal CRGR Review</b>
RIS	RIS 2006-07, "Changes to the Safety System Unavailability Performance Indicators" (ADAMS Accession No. ML060170470)	Waived May 30, 2006
Draft Generic Letter	Proposed GL 2005-03, "Impact of Potentially Degraded HEMYC/MT Fire Barrier Materials on Compliance with Approved Fire Protection Programs" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051440863)	Deferred June 14, 2005
Draft Generic Letter	Proposed GL 2005-XX, "Inaccessible or Underground Cable Failures that Disable Accident Mitigation Systems" (ADAMS Accession No. ML050910342)	Deferred June 14, 2005
Draft Generic Letter	Proposed GL 2005-XX, "Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Circuit Analysis Spurious Actuations" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051590473)	Deferred July 13, 2005
Draft Revision to Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed Revision to SRP Section 6.5.2, "Containment Spray as a Fission Product Cleanup System" (ADAMS Accession No. ML050980288)	Waived July 25, 2005
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed DG-1128, "Criteria for Accident Monitoring Instrumentation for Nuclear Power Plants" (Proposed Revision 4 of RG 1.97) (ADAMS Accession No. ML051870354)	Deferred July 27, 2005
Draft Revisions to Regulatory Guides and Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed Revision 3 of RG 1.82, "Water Sources for Long-Term Recirculation Cooling Following a Loss-of-Coolant Accident," and RG 1.1, "Net Positive Suction Head for Emergency Core Cooling and Containment Heat Removal Pumps (November 1970)," and Proposed Revision 4 of SRP Section 6.2.2, "Containment Heat Removal"(ADAMS Accession No. ML051610471)	Deferred July 28, 2005
Draft Revision to Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed Revision to SRP Section 6.2.1.3, "Mass and Energy Release Analysis for Postulated Loss-of-Coolant Accidents" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051540304)	Waived August 8, 2005
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed DG-8028, "Control of Access to High and Very High Radiation Areas in Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052140607)	Waived August 11, 2005

<b>Document Type</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision on Formal CRGR Review</b>
Final Revision to Regulatory Guide	Proposed Revision 1 of RG 3.71, "Nuclear Criticality Safety Standards for Fuels and Materials Facilities" (ADAMS Accession No. ML051990087)	Waived September 6, 2005
Final Regulatory Guide	Proposed Final RG 1.204, "Guidelines for Lightning Protection for Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052350680)	Waived September 6, 2005
Draft Rule	Proposed Rule, "Clarification of NRC Civil Penalty Authority Over Contractors and Subcontractors Who Discriminate Against Employees for Engaging in Protected Activities" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052910071)	Deferred October 25, 2005
Draft Regulatory Guide and Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed DG-1120, "Transient and Accident Analysis Methods," and SRP Chapter 15, Section 15.0.2, "Review of Transients and Accident Methods" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052930162)	Waived October 26, 2005
Draft Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed SRP Section 14.2.1, "Generic Guidelines for Extended Power Uprate Testing Programs" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052790180)	Waived November 2, 2005
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed RG 1.201, "Guidelines for Categorizing Structures, Systems, and Components in Nuclear Power Plants According to Their Safety Significance" (for trial use) (ADAMS Accession No. ML052910106)	Deferred November 2, 2005
Draft Revision to Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed Revision of SRP Section 12.5, "Operational Radiation Protection Program" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052930073)	Waived November 16, 2005
Draft Revision to Standard Review Plan Sections	Proposed Revision of SRP Sections 13.2.1, "Reactor Operator Training," 13.2.2, "Training for Nonlicensed Plant Staff," and 13.5.2.1, "Operating and Emergency Operating Procedures" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052130417)	Waived December 15, 2005

Document Type	Topic	Decision on Formal CRGR Review
Draft Revision to Standard Review Plan Section	Proposed Revision to SRP Section 17.5, "Quality Assurance Program Description—Design Certification, Early Site Permit and New License Applicants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053250316)	Waived December 15, 2005
Draft Policy and Procedure Letter	Proposed Draft Revision of NMSS Policy and Procedures Letter, P&P 1-82, "10 CFR Part 70 Backfit Guidance" (ADAMS Accession No. ML052280047)	Waived January 4, 2006
Draft Procedure Letter	Procedure for Managing Security-Related Backfits (ADAMS Accession No. ML053430210)	Waived January 30, 2006
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed DG-1133, "Design, Fabrication, and Materials Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section III, Division 1" (Proposed Revision 34 to RG 1.84) (ADAMS Accession No. ML060090482) and Proposed DG-1134, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section XI, Division 1" (Proposed Revision 15 of RG 1.147) (ADAMS Accession No. ML060090495) (See also ADAMS Accession No. ML052410257.)	Deferred February 3, 2006
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed DG-1135, "ASME Code Cases Not Approved for Use" (Proposed Revision 2 of RG 1.193) (ADAMS Accession No. ML060090499) (See also ADAMS Accession No. ML052410257.)	Waived February 3, 2006
Draft Rule	Proposed Rulemaking to Amend 10 CFR Parts 19, 20, and 50, "Collecting and Reporting Occupational Dose Records, Labeling Containers, and Redefining the Quantity Total Effective Dose Equivalent" (ADAMS Accession No. ML060090137)	Deferred March 23, 2006
Final Regulatory Guide	Proposed Revision 2 of RG 1.92, "Combining Modal Responses and Spatial Components in Seismic Response Analysis"	Waived April 28, 2006

Document Type	Topic	Decision on Formal CRGR Review
Draft Regulatory Guide and Standard Review Plan Sections	Proposed Revision of RG 1.76, "Design Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants," and SRP Sections 2.3.1, "Regional Climatology," and 3.5.1.4, "Missiles Generated by Tornado and Extreme Winds" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053110365)	Deferred January 18, 2006
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed DG-1144, "Guidelines for Evaluating Fatigue Analyses Incorporating the Life Reduction of Metal Components Due to the Effects of the Light-Water Reactor Environment for New Reactors" (ADAMS Accession No. ML053530186)	Deferred May 30, 2006
Draft Regulatory Guide	Proposed Revision 4 of RG 1.97, "Criteria for Accident Monitoring Instrumentation for Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML061360224)	Waived May 31, 2006