

PR 1,2,10,19,20,21,25,26,50,51,52,54, et. al.
(71FR12781)

DOCKETED 08/28/06

Comment No. 22

From: "BELL, Russ" <rjb@nei.org>
To: <nvg@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Aug 22, 2006 3:55 PM
Subject: Additional comment/question on Part 52

You have explained to me more than once that the staff did not intend to modify existing Part 50 requirements in the course of mapping/incorporating many of them into Part 52. With this in mind, we have an additional observation about the way the 50.34(h) requirement to evaluate conformance with the SRP has been incorporated into Part 52. 50.34(h) requires that APPLICATIONS contain the SRP conformance evaluation. 52.17(a)(xiii), 52.47(a)(26), 52.79(a)(41) and 52.157(q) all require this evaluation to be part of the SAR.

Was this a purposeful change in application requirements? If so, why does the staff consider it important for the SRP evaluation to be in the SAR? It seems appropriate that this info could reside outside the FSAR, and this would give applicants more flexibility in structuring their applications.

The fix would be to move the requirements to evaluate SRP conformance to 52.17(b), 52.47(b), 52.80 and 52.158.

Please advise. Thanks.

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message.

From: Nanette Gilles
To: Evangeline Ngbea
Date: Fri, Aug 25, 2006 5:39 PM
Subject: Fwd: Additional comment/question on Part 52

Evangeline - Please add the attached comment from Russ Bell at NEI to the comments on the Part 52 propose rule. I have asked Russ if he intended that this comment be submitted as a formal comment on the rule and he said yes.

Nan

CC: Eileen McKenna; Geary Mizuno; Harry Tovmassian; Jerry Wilson; Russ Bell

Mail Envelope Properties (44EF6E29.F8B : 15 : 35500)

Subject: Fwd: Additional comment/question on Part 52
Creation Date: Fri, Aug 25, 2006 5:39 PM
From: Nanette Gilles

Created By: NVG@nrc.gov

Recipients

nei.org
rjb CC (Russ Bell)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
EMM CC (Eileen McKenna)
ESN (Evangeline Ngbea)
GSM CC (Geary Mizuno)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
HST CC (Harry Tovmassian)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
JNW CC (Jerry Wilson)

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route
nei.org
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE Mail	539	Friday, August 25, 2006 5:39 PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard

ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard