August 21, 2006

ORGANIZATION: Nuclear Energy Institute

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE LICENSE RENEWAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL AND MEETING HELD BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE LICENSE RENEWAL TASK FORCE

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) License Renewal Task Force held a public telephone conference call and meeting on July 27, 2006, to discuss generic license renewal topics, lessons learned from the audit process, and license renewal process improvements. Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the conference call participants. Enclosure 2 contains the agenda for the conference call. A summary of the discussions follows:

1. Response to NEI letter re. 10 CFR 54.37(b)

NEI submitted a letter dated March 8, 2006, regarding implementation of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 54.37(b) and the applicability of the backfit rule (10 CFR 50.109) (ADAMS Accession No. ML060750262). A meeting was held on April 26, 2006 (ADAMS Accession No. ML061810299), to discuss the NEI letter and the NRC staff's position. The staff indicated that its goal is to respond to NEI's letter by August 31, 2006.

2. Endorsement of severe accident mitigation alternatives (SAMA) guidance

The staff summarized the discussion of the SAMA review guidance from the June 28, 2006, NEI meeting and asked if a follow-up meeting is needed. NEI responded that a follow-up meeting was not needed and that the SAMA review guidance was adequate. NEI will work to educate future applicants on lessons learned with respect to the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim) and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (Vermont Yankee) license renewal applications (LRAs) and the associated requests for additional information.

3. Response to NEI letter on acceptance reviews

This topic was discussed in the June 28, 2006, meeting between the NRC staff and NEI. The staff agreed with the key points made in NEI's June 16, 2006, letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML061670254) and, as agreed, the summary of the June 28, 2006, meeting (ADAMS Accession No. ML062340020) will document the staff's response to NEI's letter.

4. Lessons learned from recent safety review audits

Audits and public exit meetings were performed for the Pilgrim and Vermont Yankee LRAs. The audits included review of scoping and screening methodology, aging management programs (AMPs), aging management reviews, and time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs). Staff observations from these audits are as follow:

- A. It is highly beneficial to make the audit question and answer data base publicly available. The public is interested in knowing what topics are being discussed between the NRC and the applicants.
- B. The scope of the audit exit meetings does not appear to always be what the public expects. Members of the public wanted to hear more discussion of issues and any controversy between the NRC staff and the applicant, not just the result of the audits. NRC will assess how it conducts these meetings in the future.
- C. Applicant's senior management involvement in the audits helps assure the success of the audit and the public meeting.
- D. Neither the Pilgrim or Vermont Yankee LRAs contained a commitment to provide an AMP for electrical cable connections as described in XI.E6 of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned Report (NUREG-1801). The need for this program has been discussed on a generic basis in past meetings and telephone calls between the staff and NEI. NEI is preparing a position paper on this subject that will be submitted in the future.
- E. Pilgrim and Vermont Yankee are the first two LRAs for which the audit team had primary responsibility for reviewing TLAAs. The staff found that this change in the process was beneficial. Through the onsite audits, the staff can better understand the original plant design calculations, including fatigue analyses and any updates or revisions.
- 5. Public participation

No members of the public participated in the meeting.

/RA/

Stephen T. Hoffman, Senior Project Manager License Renewal Branch B Division of License Renewal Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Enclosures: As Stated

cc w/encls: See next page

4. Lessons learned from recent safety review audits

Audits and public exit meetings were performed for the Pilgrim and Vermont Yankee LRAs. The audits included review of scoping and screening methodology, aging management programs (AMPs), aging management reviews, and time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs). Staff observations from these audits are as follow:

- A. It is highly beneficial to make the audit question and answer data base publicly available. The public is interested in knowing what topics are being discussed between the NRC and the applicants.
- B. The scope of the audit exit meetings does not appear to always be what the public expects. Members of the public wanted to hear more discussion of issues and any controversy between the NRC staff and the applicant, not just the result of the audits. NRC will assess how it conducts these meetings in the future.
- C. Applicant's senior management involvement in the audits helps assure the success of the audit and the public meeting.
- D. Neither the Pilgrim or Vermont Yankee LRAs contained a commitment to provide an AMP for electrical cable connections as described in XI.E6 of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned Report (NUREG-1801). The need for this program has been discussed on a generic basis in past meetings and telephone calls between the staff and NEI. NEI is preparing a position paper on this subject that will be submitted in the future.
- E. Pilgrim and Vermont Yankee are the first two LRAs for which the audit team had primary responsibility for reviewing TLAAs. The staff found that this change in the process was beneficial. Through the onsite audits, the staff can better understand the original plant design calculations, including fatigue analyses and any updates or revisions.
- 5. Public participation

No members of the public participated in the meeting.

/RA/

Stephen T. Hoffman, Senior Project Manager License Renewal Branch B Division of License Renewal Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Enclosures: As Stated

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page Adams Accession No.: ML062340160 DOCUMENT NAME:E:\Filenet\ML062340160.wpd

OFFICE	LA:RLRA:DLR	PM:RLRB:DLR	BC:RLRC:DLR	BC:RLRB:DLR
NAME	IKing	SHoffman	KChang	JZimmerman
DATE	8/ 14 /06	8/ 14 /06	8/ 14 /06	8/ 21 /06

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS FOR THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL AND MEETING HELD BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

July 27, 2006

PARTICIPANTS P.T. Kuo Jacob Zimmerman Louise Lund Rani Franovich Ken Chang Stephen Hoffman Linh Tran Hans Ashar Jim Davis Robert Sun Roy Matthew Kiyoto Tanabe Kaihwa Hsu Yoira Diaz Sanabria James Ross Mike Detamore John Oddo Roger Rucker Steve Dort Alan Cox Eric Blocher Paul Crawley Ken Brune Chalmer Myer Fred Polaski Ken Albright Gene Eckholt	AFFILIATIONS U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC NRC	
Fred Polaski	Exelon	
0		
Paul Aiken	Dominion	
Tom Snow	Dominion	
Joe Pairitz Kristen Mason	Monticello Fort Calhoun	
Bill Horin	Winston and Strawn	
Vince Zabielski	Morgan Lewis	
Deann Raleigh	LIS, Scientech	

Teleconference Agenda Between the U.S. NRC Staff and the Nuclear Energy Institute

TopicLead1. Response to NEI letter re. 10 CFR 54.37(b)NRC2. Endorsement of severe accident mitigation alternatives guidanceNRC3. Response to NEI letter on acceptance reviewsNRC4. Lessons learned from recent safety review auditsNRC/NEI5. Public participationAll

July 27, 2006

NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Project No. 690

cc: Mr. Joe Bartell U.S. Department of Energy NE-42 Washington, DC 20585

Ms. Christine S. Salembier, Commissioner State Liaison Officer Department of Public Service 112 State St., Drawer 20 Montipelier, VT 05620-2601

Mr. James Ross Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Frederick W. Polaski Manager License Renewal Exelon Corporation 200 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Peter A. Mazzaferro Site Project Manager - License Renewal Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. David Lochbaum Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H St., NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Clifford I. Custer Project Manager, License Renewal FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company P.O. Box 4 Route 168 (Mail Stop SIM-2) Shippingport, PA 15077 Mr. Paul Gunter, Director Reactor Watchdog Project Nuclear Information & Resource Service 6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 340 Takoma Park, MD 20912

Mr. Hugh Jackson Public Citizen's Critical Mass Energy & Environment Program 215 Pennsylvania Ave., SE Washington, DC 20003

Mary Olson Nuclear Information & Resource Service Southeast Office P.O. Box 7586 Asheville, NC 28802

Talmage B. Clements Manager - License Renewal Progress Energy P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, NC 27602

Mr. Garry G. Young Manager, License Renewal Services 1448 SR 333, N-GSB-45 Russellville, AR 72802

Patrick Burke License Renewal Project Manager Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Nuclear Management Company, LLC 2807 West County Road 75 Monticello, MN 55362-9637

Robert A. Vincent Licensing Lead - License Renewal Project Palisades Nuclear Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043

Summary of Meeting from Stephen Hoffman dated August 21, 2006

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE LICENSE RENEWAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL AND MEETING HELD BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE LICENSE RENEWAL TASK FORCE

HARD COPY

DLR R/F

E-MAIL:

JFair RWeisman AMurphy **RPettis** GGalletti CLi GBagchi SSmith (srs3) SDuraiswamy YL (Renee) Li RidsNrrDIr RidsNrrDIrRIra RidsNrrDIrRIrb RidsNrrDe RidsNrrDci RidsNrreEemb RidsNrrDeEeeb RidsNrrDeEqva RidsNrrDss RidsNrrDnrl RidsOgcMailCenter RidsNrrAdes **DLR Staff** _____

CJulian MModes JVora LKozak