ISE ONLY

SANDIA REPORT

Revision 0 Draft

Evaluation of a BWR Spent Fuel Pool Accident Response to Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Scenarios Using MELCOR 1.8.5

Draft Completed: October 2003

Prepared by KC Wagner^{*} R. O. Gauntt

Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0748

Innovative Technology Solutions Corporation 6000 Uptown Boulevard, NE Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM 87110 Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 215FOIA- 2009-0726





Revision 0 Draft

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2001, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff performed an evaluation of the potential accident risk in a spent fuel pool (SFP) at decommissioning plants [NUREG-1738]. The study was prepared to provide a technical basis for decommissioning rulemaking for permanently shutdown nuclear power plants. The study described a modeling approach of a typical decommissioning plant with design assumptions and industry commitments; the thermal-hydraulic analyses performed to evaluate spent fuel stored in the spent fuel pool at decommissioning plants; the risk assessment of spent fuel pool accidents; the consequence calculations; and the implications for decommissioning regulatory requirements. It was known that some of the assumptions in the accident progression in NUREG-1738 were necessarily conservative, especially the estimation of the fuel damage. Furthermore, the NRC desired to expand the study to include accidents in the spent fuel pool accident research by applying best-estimate computer codes to predict the severe accident progression following various postulated accident initiators. This report presents the results of a series of parametric calculations used to better understand the postulated accident behavior in SFPs.

The MELCOR 1.8.5 severe accident computer code [Gauntt] was used to simulate the SFP accident response. MELCOR includes fuel degradation models for BWR and PWR fuel, radiation, convection, and conduction heat transfer models, air and steam oxidation models, hydrogen burn models, two-phase thermal-hydraulic models, and fission product release and transport models. Hence, it contains the basic models to address phenomena expected during a spent fuel pool accident./

Consequently,

MELCOR separate effect [Wagner, 2003] and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) [Chiffelle, 2003, Ross, 2003, and Suo-Anttila, 2003] calculations were performed to develop a modeling approach for whole SFP severe accident calculations. These studies gave insights that were incorporated into the present study.

Ĕĸ,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.		BACKGROUND	L
	1.1	SFP Accident Scenarios	2
	1.1.1	Complete Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Accident	2
	1.1.2	Report Structure	5
2		SEP GEOMETRY 7	7
۷.	2.1	SFP Geometry	7
	2.2	SFP Assembly Geometry	•
3.		ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY11	L
	3.1	Decay Heat Calculations11	l
	3.2	MELCOR Whole Pool Model	3
	3.2.	I Decay Heat and Radial Coupling	3 2
	3.2.	3 Reactor Building Model	2
	3.2.	4 Summary of Other MELCOR Modeling Assumptions	2
	3.3	Summary of the Scenario Specifications	9
	3.3. 3.3	 Partial Loss-of-Coolant inventory accidents	9
	0.01		-
4		CLUMPLE FELCINS-DE-CLUDIANT INVENTIBY RESPONSE 5.	7
4	4.1	COMPLETE LOSS-DE-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2	COMPLETE LOSS-DE-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3	COMPLETE TO CONTRACT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 ~
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4 4	COMPLETE LOSS-DE-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-COLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-COLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	COMPLETE LOSS-DE-CHOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-COLLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-COOLANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-FORTANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10	COMPLETE LOSS-OF-TOTILANT INVENTORY RESPONSE	2 _

Ex 5



Table of Tables

Table 2.1	Summary of SFP Attributes	.9
Table 2.2	Spent Fuel Pool Rack Data	.9
Table 2.3	Fuel Assembly Data.	10
Table 3.1	Summary of Regional Powers for SFP Calculations.	18
Table 3.2	Summary of MELCOR Modeling Parameters	26
Table 3.3	Summary of MELCOR Sensitivity Coefficients.	27
Table 3.4	Summary of Complete Loss-of Coolant Inventory SFP Calculations	30
Table 3.5	Summary of the Partial Loss-of-Coolant SFP Calculations	31
Table 4.1	Summary of Case C1 Specifications and Results	34
Table 4.2	Summary of Case C2 Specifications and Results	48
Table 4.3	Summary of Case C3 Specifications and Results	56
Table 4.4	Summary of Case C4 Specifications and Results	68
Table 4.5	Summary of Case C5 Specifications and Results	80
Table 4.6	Summary of Case C6 Specifications and Results	92
Table 4.7	Summary of Case C7 Specifications and Results1	04
Table 4.8	Summary of Case C8 Specifications and Results1	16
Table 4.9	Summary of Case C9 Specifications and Results1	24
Table 4.10	Summary of Case C10 Specifications and Results1	32

Evaluation of a BWR Spent Fuel Pool Accident Response to Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Scenarios Using MELCOR 1.8.5	OFFICIAL USE	Revision 0 Draft
· · · · · ·		

Table 5.1	Summary of Case P1 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.2	Summary of Case P2 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.3	Summary of Case P3 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.4	Summary of Case P4 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.5	Summary of Case P5 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.6	Summary of Case P6 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.7	Summary of Case P7 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.8	Summary of Case P8 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.9	Summary of Case P9 Specifications and Results.	
Table 5.10	Summary of Case P10 Specifications and Results.	251

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1.1 Figure 1.1.2	Reactor Building for a Boiling Water Reactor with the Spent Fuel Pool.4 Spent Fuel Pool Rack with BWR Assemblies	
Figure 2.1.1	Spent Fuel Pool Rack Layout8	
Figure 3.1.1	SFP Assembly Decay Heat as a Function of Time	
Figure 3.2.1	Spent Fuel Location Colored by Year Discharged after the Last	
	Discharge to the SFP16	
Figure 3.2.2		Ēx.
Figure 3.2.3		5
Figure 3.2.4	9x9 BWR Fuel Assembly [Boyd].	
Figure 3.2.5	MELCOR Nodalization of SFP.	
Figure 3.2.6	MELCOR Reactor Building Nodalization	_
Figure 3.2.7	25	.k.
Figure 3.2.8	New Parabolic Reaction Kinetics for Zirconium-Air Oxidation	<u>_</u>
Figure 4.1.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
Figure 4.1.2	Midplane Cladding Temperature in the Seven SFP Regions	
Figure 4.1.3		
Figure 4.1.4		k
Figure 4.1.5		-
Figure 4.1.6	,	
Figure 4.1.7		
Figure 4.1.8		
Figure 4.1.9	41	
Figure 4.1.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel	
Figure 4.1.11	· ····································	:5
Figure 4.1.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor43	•
Figure 4.1.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response43	
_Figure 4.1.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance44	<i></i>
Figure 4.1.15		S
Figure 4.1.16	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP45	

OFFICIAL USE

	• •	
Figure 4.1.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction45	
Figure 4.1.18	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	
Figure 4.1.19	7	Ex.
Figure 4.1.20		3
	Rack Base Plate Elevation	
Figure 4 2 1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
Figure 4.2.2	Midnlane Cladding Temperature in the Seven SFP Regions	
Figure 4 2.3	517	
Figure 4.2.4		Ex
Figure 4.2.5		-
Figure 4.2.6		5
Figure 4.2.7		
Figure 4.2.8	53	
Figure 4.2.9		
Figure 4.2.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel	
Figure 4.2.11	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 4.2.12	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	
Figure 4.3.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
Figure 4.3.2		Ex.5
Figure 4.3.3		
Figure 4.3.4	59	
Figure 4.3.5	60	s r
Figure 4.3.6	60	CX.5
Figure 4.3.7	61	
Figure 4.3.8		
Figure 4.3.9		
Figure 4.3.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel	
Figure 4.3.11		Exs
Figure 4.3.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor63	
Figure 4.3.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 4.3.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	
Figure 4.3.15		tx S
Figure 4.3.16	Overall SFP Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Mass,	
Figure 4.3.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 4.3.18	UO ₂ Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation.	
Figure 4.3.19		5.5
Eiguna 4 2 20		Ex.J
Figure 4.3.20	,	
Figure 4.4.1	SED Collenged Wester Level Bernance 70	t
Figure 4.4.1	orr Conapsed water Level Response.	ר
Figure 4.4.2	······································	6
Figure 4.4.3		Ex
Figure 4.4.4		5
Figure 4.4.5] -
	-	

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Page xxii

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft

•		
Figure 116	72]	
Figure 4.4.0	,	Ex
Figure 4.4.7		E
Figure 4.4.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9
Figure 4.4.9		
Figure 4.4.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel.	6.5
Figure 4.4.11		EX.
Figure 4.4.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor75	2
Figure 4.4.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response76	
Figure 4.4.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance76	
Figure 4.4.15		Ex S
Figure 4.4.16	Overall SFP Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Mass	-
Figure 4.4.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 4.4.18	UO ₂ Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	
Figure 4.4.19	1	_
	·	Ex
Figure 4 4 20		5
11gui c 4.4.20	,	
Figure 451	SEP Collanged Water Level Degrange 92	
Figure 4.5.1	SIT Conapsed Water Dever Response.	
Figure 4.5.2		_
Figure 4.5.5		Ex
Figure 4.5.4	Communication (Communication)	
Figure 4.5.5		3
Figure 4.5.0	······································	
Figure 4.5.7		
Figure 4.5.8		
Figure 4.5.9		
Figure 4.5.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel.	ENE
Figure 4.5.11	۰۰۰۰۰	EKJ
Figure 4.5.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor	
Figure 4.5.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 4.5.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	Ent
Figure 4.5.15		Ers
Figure 4.5.16	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP	•
Figure 4.5.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 4.5.18	UO_2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	,
Figure 4.5.19		1
· ·	·	EK
Figure 4.5.20		5
	l	
Figure 4.6.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
Figure 4.6.2		F.
Figure 4.6.3		
Figure 4.6.4		5
Figure 4.6.5		-
Figure 4.6.6	96	

-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

Page xxiii

OFFICIAL USE ONLY Revision 0 Draft

•	•
Figure 4.6.7	
Figure 4.6.8	
Figure 4.6.9	
Figure 4.6.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel
Figure 4.6.11	99 FX 5
Figure 4.6.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
Figure 4.6.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response
Figure 4 6 14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance
Figure 4.6.15	1 ucr rissenisty Energy Bulance
Figure 4 6 16	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Ovide Masses in the SFP 101
Figure 4 6 17	Average Ascembly Orvigen Molar Fraction 107
Figure 4.6.18	IIO. Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation 102
11guic 4.0.17	
Figure 4 6 20	5
11guie 4.0.20	
Figure 4.7.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 4.7.2	
Figure 4.7.3	
-Figure 4.7.4	$107 \mid Ex$
Figure 4.7.5	- 108 5
Figure 4.7.6	
Figure 4.7.7	
Figure 4.7.8	
Figure 4.7.9	
Figure 4.7.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel
Figure 4.7.11	$111 \ \exists E_{\nu} \leq$
Figure 4.7.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
Figure 4.7.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response
Figure 4.7.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance
Figure 4.7.15	
Figure 4.7.16	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP113
Figure 4.7.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction114
Figure 4.7.18	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation
Figure 4.7.19	
	Ex
Figure 4.7.20	. 5
Figure 4.8.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 4.8.2	
Figure 4.8.3	
Figure 4.8.4	
Figure 4.8.5	
Figure 4.8.6	
Figure 4.8.7	

-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

÷

OFFICIAL USE ONLY- Revision 0 Draft

•	
Figure 4.8.8	1217Ex
Figure 4.8.0	122 15
Figure 4.0.5	Total Viscian Draduat Dalage Fraction from the Fuel 122
Figure 4.0.10	DD Defuelle e Deem Terrer austane Deem succes
Figure 4.8.11	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response
Figure 4.8.12	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance123
Figure 4.9.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response126
Figure 4.9.2	
Figure 4.9.3	
Figure 4.9.4	
Figure 4.9.5	
Figure 4.9.6	
Figure 4.9.7	
Figure 4.9.8	
Figure 4.9.9	
Figure 4.9.10	Total Fission Product Release Fraction from the Fuel
Figure 4.9.11	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response131
Figure 4.9.12	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance131
	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 4.10.2	
Figure 4.10.3	
Figure 4.10.4	
Figure 4.10.5	·
Figure 4.10.6	
Figure 4.10.7	
Figure 4.10.8	
Figure 4.10.9	
Figure 4.10.10	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel138
Figure 4.10.11	
Figure 4.10.12	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
Figure 4.10.13	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response140
Figure 4.10.14	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance
Figure 4.10.15	141 EK 5
Figure 4.10.16	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP 141
Figure 4.10.17	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction142
Figure 4.10.18	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation142
Figure 4.10.19	
	tte
Figure 4.10.20	
Figure 5.1.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 5.1.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly
Figure 5.1.3	1497 Ex5
Figure 5.1.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel.
Figure 5.1.5	1507 Ex5
Figure 5.1.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
T Pare 2010	

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Evaluation of a BWR Spent Fuel PoolOFFICIAL USERevision 0Accident Response to Loss-of-CoolantONLYDraftInventory Scenarios Using MELCOR 1.8.5ONLYDraft

Figure 5.1.7 Figure 5.1.8 Figure 5.1.9	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	ExS
Figure 5.1.11 Figure 5.1.12	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 5.1.13		Ex
Figure 5.1.14		5
Figure 5.1.15	Integral Hydrogen Production155	
Figure 5.1.16		Ex
Figure 5.1.17	о 6	5
Figure 5.2.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
Figure 5.2.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly 160	
Figure 5.2.2	161 7	FILE
Figure 5.2.5	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	600
Figure 525	161 14331011 1 10duct Acrease 11011 1 uch	Fre
Figure 526	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor 162	
Figure 5.2.0	Reaction Dunning Deconstantination Pactor	
Figure 5.2.7	Fuel Accembly Energy Bolonco	
Figure 5.2.0	ruer Assembly Energy Datance	FLT
Figure 5.2.9	Summery of Ziroonium and Ziroonium Orido Masses in the SED 164	ر می
Figure 5.2.10	Average Assembly Owngon Moley Prestion	
Figure 5.2.11	Not More Distribution of the End of the Simulation	
Figure 5.2.12	105 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation.	
rigure 5.2.15		Fr
Figure 5.2.14		4
Figure 5.2.15	Integral Hydrogen Production167	
Figure 5.2.16		Èr
Figure 5.2.17	here and the second	3
Figure 5.3.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response172	
Figure 5.3.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly	
Figure 5.3.3	1	ExS
Figure 5.3.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	
Figure 5.3.5		EXS
Figure 5.3.6 .	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor174	•
Figure 5.3.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 5.3.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	
Figure 5.3.9		ELS
Figure 5.3.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP176	<u> </u>
Figure 5.3.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction 177	

.

÷

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Figure 5.3.12	UO ₂ Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation177 \sim	
Figure 5.3.13	, E	Ēĸ
Figure 5.3.14	8	5
Figure 5.3.15	Integral Hydrogen Production179	
Figure 5.3.16		·
Figure 5.3.17		Ex,
Figure 5.3.18		5
Figure 5.3.19		•
L Figure 5 4 1	SEP Collansed Water Level Response	
Figure 5.4.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly	
Figure 5.4.3		x 5
_Figure 5.4.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	. 🗸
Figure 5.4.5		25
Figure 5.4.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor	-
Figure 5.4.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response188	
Figure 5.4.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	
Figure 5.4.9	- ··· ································	x5
Figure 5.4.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP190	
Figure 5.4.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 5.4.12	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	
Figure 5.4.13		
Figure 5.4.14		5
Figure 5.4.15	Integral Hydrogen Production192	
Figure 5.4.16	13 0	Ex
Figure 5.4.17	93	3
Figure 5.5.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response197	
Figure 5.5.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly	_
Figure 5.5.3		× 3
Figure 5.5.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	/
Figure 5.5.5	·	とら
Figure 5.5.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor	
Figure 5.5.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 5.5.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	- ~
Figure 5.5.9	·	72
Figure 5.5.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP201	
Figure 5.5.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 5.5.12	UO ₂ Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Page xxvii

-

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft

Figure 5.5.13	1	_
		ĔΧ
Figure 5.5.14	<u> </u>	5
	3	-
Figure 5.5.15	Integral Hydrogen Production204	
Figure 5.5.16		Fr .
.		Ś
Figure 5.5.17		5
Figure 5.6.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	• .
Figure 5.6.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly	
Figure 5.6.3		Ex5
Figure 5.6.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	
Figure 5.6.5		Ex 5
Figure 5.6.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor	
Figure 5.6.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	
Figure 5.6.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance	
Figure 5.6.9		Exs
Figure 5.6.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP213	
Figure 5.6.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 5.6.12	UO ₂ Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	
Figure 5.6.13	7	
	•	Ex
Figure 5.6.14		~
		2
Figure 5.6.15	Integral Hydrogen Production	
Figure 5.6.16		~
	.6	EX
Figure 5.6.17		5
Figure 5.7.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response	
_Figure 5.7.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly	
Figure 5.7.3		EXE
Figure 5.7.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel	÷ -
Figure 5.7.5		Ek 5
Figure 5.7.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor	
Figure 5.7.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response	•
Figure 5.7.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance224	-
Figure 5.7.9		ExS
Figure 5.7.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP225	
Figure 5.7.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction	
Figure 5.7.12	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation	
Figure 5.7.13		
-		D'
Figure 5.7.14		5
Figure 5.7.15	Integral Hydrogen Production	
	g	

OFFICIAL USE-ONLY-

.

OFFICIAL USE

Figure 5.7.16	TEX
Figure 5.7.17	Structural Damage in the Refueling Room by Hydrogen Burns
Figure 5.8.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 5.8.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly
Figure 5.8.3	······································
Figure 5.8.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel
Figure 5.8.5	
Figure 5.8.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
Figure 5.8.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response
Figure 5.8.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance.
Figure 5.8.0	2357F25
Figure 5.8.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Ovide Masses in the SEP 236
Figure 5.8.10	Average Assembly Oxygen Moler Freetion 236
Figure 5.0.11 Figure 5.9.12	IIO. Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation 227
Figure 5.0.12	
rigure 5.6.15	
Timum 5 0 14	
Figure 5.8.14	5
Eigung 5 9 15	Integral Budragan Braduction
Figure 5.6.15	
rigure 5.6.10	, EX
Figure 5.9.17	0 5
Figure 5.6.17	SED Collonged Water Level Demonstration 242
Figure 5.9.1	SFP Conapsed water Level Response.
Figure 5.9.2	SFP water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly
Figure 5.9.5	Tetal Finsher Burdant Delana G. Ful
Figure 5.9.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel.
Figure 5.9.5	
Figure 5.9.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor
Figure 5.9.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response
Figure 5.9.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance
Figure 5.9.9	
Figure 5.9.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP
Figure 5.9.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction
Figure 5.9.12	UO, Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation.
Figure 5.9.13	G.
Figure 5.9.14	3
	<u>ل</u> '
Figure 5.9.15	Integral Hydrogen Production
Figure 5.9.16	Tr
 Figure 5.9.17	0] 5
Figure 5.10.1	SFP Collapsed Water Level Response
Figure 5.10.2	SFP Water Temperature at the Bottom of the Assembly254
-	

OFFICIAL-USE-ONLY-

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft

Г								
Figure 5.10.3								
Figure 5.10.4	Total Fission Product Release from Fuel							
Figure 5.10.5								
Figure 5.10.6	Reactor Building Decontamination Factor							
Figure 5.10.7	RB Refueling Room Temperature Response256							
_Figure 5.10.8	Fuel Assembly Energy Balance							
Figure 5.10.9								
Figure 5.10.10	Summary of Zirconium and Zirconium-Oxide Masses in the SFP258							
Figure 5.10.11	Average Assembly Oxygen Molar Fraction258							
Figure 5.10.12	UO2 Mass Distribution at the End of the Simulation							
Figure 5.10.13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
	! EX							
Figure 5.10.14	5							
)							
Figure 5.10.15	Integral Hydrogen Production260							
Figure 5.10.16	$\frac{1}{E_{\lambda}}$							
Figure 5.10.17								
•								
	· ·							
•								
•								
·								
·								
•								
	•							

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft

Evaluation of a BWR Spent Fuel Pool Accident Response to Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Scenarios Using MELCOR 1.8.5

1. BACKGROUND

In 2001, the NRC staff performed an evaluation of the potential accident risk in a SFP at decommissioning plants in the United States [NUREG-1738]. The study was prepared to provide a technical basis for decommissioning rulemaking for permanently shutdown nuclear power plants. The study described a modeling approach of a typical decommissioning plant with design assumptions and industry commitments; the thermal-hydraulic analyses performed to evaluate spent fuel stored in the spent fuel pool at decommissioning plants; the risk assessment of spent fuel pool accidents; the consequence calculations; and the implications for decommissioning regulatory requirements. It was known that some of the assumptions in the accident progression in NUREG-1738 were necessarily conservative, especially the estimation of the fuel damage. Furthermore, the NRC desired to expand the study to include accidents in the spent fuel pools of operating power plants. Consequently, the NRC has continued spent fuel pool accident research by applying best-estimate computer codes to predict the severe accident progression following various postulated accident initiators. The scope of the present analysis is to describe the response of a reference Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) SFP to both partial and complete-loss-of-coolant inventory accidents.

The MELCOR 1.8.5 severe accident computer code [Gauntt] was used to simulate the SFP accident response. MELCOR includes fuel degradation models for BWR and PWR fuel, radiation, convection, and conduction heat transfer models, air and steam oxidation models, hydrogen burn models, two-phase thermal-hydraulic models, and fission product release and transport models. The code contains the basic models to address questions and phenomena expected during a spent fuel pool accident.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Ex 5

Fx2

EX

calculations were performed to develop a modeling approach for whole SFP severe accident calculations. These analyses helped guide the development of the whole SFP MELCOR model as well as help characterize many of the uncertainties and variability in the accident response.

Section 1.1 summarizes the two types of SFP accidents considered in the present report. They consist of a complete loss-of-coolant inventory and a partial loss-of-coolant inventory. Due to the varying phenomena, the calculated responses are discussed separately. Then Section 1.2 summarizes the structure of the report.

1.1 SFP Accident Scenarios

1.1.1 Complete Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Accident

USE ONL

Ex S rate (i.e., the convection rate of the gases through the fuel), and heat transfer rate to adjacent assemblies.

An accurate analysis of the SFP response requires consideration of the aforementioned phenomena. As evidenced by the accident description, there is a large range of geometric length scales and modeling requirements. The length scales range from details of the individual assembly heat generation and flow patterns (e.g., also including multi-dimensional flow within an assembly, see [Ross, 2003]), intra-assembly heat transfer, large scale flow patterns above, below, and through the racks, and the refueling building response (e.g., ventilation, heat loss, structural failures, etc.). The relevant physics and phenomena include heat transfer (convection, conduction, and radiation), fluid flow (small scale to large scale), chemical reactions (i.e., oxidation), severe accident fuel degradation behavior, and fission product release and transport.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft



Figure 1.1.1 Reactor Building for a Boiling Water Reactor with the Spent Fuel Pool.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY



Figure 1.1.2 Spent Fuel Pool Rack with BWR Assemblies.

1.1.2 Partial Loss-of-Coolant inventory accident

In the second type of accident, the SFP is partially drained (i.e., due to partial drain or boil-off) and does not include recirculation of hot gases through the racks. Consequently, the gas in the fuel assemblies above the pool level is relatively stagnant (i.e., except for steam flow from boiling). In this condition, steam cooling and/or a level swell from the boiling will keep the fuel rods cool unless the pool level drops too far. Similar to the findings by General Electric in the 1960's, it is expected that steam cooling would be successful until the bulk pool level drops below two thirds of the fuel's height (i.e., the height of the jet pump). However, once the level drops below two thirds of the fuel height, the top of the fuel rods will heat-up and possibly degrade.

As the top of the fuel uncovers, several new phenomena occur in a partial loss-of-coolant inventory accident. First, the convective flows are much smaller than a complete loss-of-coolant inventory accident. In the complete loss-of-coolant inventory accident, there was ample air flow as the assembly heated. However, in a partial loss-of-coolant inventory accident, the fluid in the

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Ex

Б

Ek

5

EXS

1.2 Report Structure

In Section 2, a summary discussion is given on the reference BWR SFP. The modeling approach using MELCOR 1.8.5 is given in Section 3. Section 3 includes a discussion of the decay heat modeling, the thermal radial coupling, the reactor building model, the SFP nodalization, and the code model settings and sensitivity coefficients. The results of complete loss-of-coolant calculations are given in Section 4. An overall summary of their results is given in the Executive Summary. Next, the results from the partial loss-of-coolant cases are given in Section 5. Similarly, a high-level overview is presented in the Executive Summary. Finally, the references are in Section 6.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY__

OFFICIAL USE

Revision 0 Draft

Ex.

2

2. SFP GEOMETRY

2.1 SFP Geometry

OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

Page 7

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Revision 0 Draft

						Ex.
· .						
•						1
	•			.	, •	
		•				•
			· · ·			

OFFICIAL USE ONLY





Table 2.2Spent Fuel Pool Rack Data.



2.2 SFP Assembly Geometry

2-OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Page 9

Ex

2



Table 2.3Fuel Assembly Data.



OFFICIAL USE

Ex 5

3

3. ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

3.1 Decay Heat Calculations

The SFP contains fuel assemblies from separate fuel offloads from the reference BWR $\pounds \times 2$ reactor. Within a particular offload, variations in the assembly burnup and initial uranium loading are also present. The variations in these parameters lead to different levels of heat-producing decay reactions. However, as the fuel ages, the heat produced by the decay reactions decreases.

6. **REFERENCES**

- ANSI/ANS ANSI/ANS-5.1-1994, "Decay Heat Power in Light Water Reactors," ANS Standard, 1994.
- Boyd, C., "Predictions of Spent Fuel Heatup after a Complete Loss of-Coolant Accident," NUREG-1726, July 2000.
- Chiffelle, R., et al., "Analysis of Spent Fuel Pool Flow Patterns Using Computational Fluid Dynamics: Part 1 – Air Cases," Sandia National Laboratories, Informal Report, April 2003.
- Collins, T. E., and Hubbard, G., "Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants," NUREG-1738, February, 2001.
- Gauntt, R. O., et al, "MELCOR Computer Code Manuals, Reference Manual, Version 1.8.5, Vol. 2, Rev. 2", Sandia National Laboratories, NUREG/CR-6119, SAND2000-2417/1.
- Ross, K. W., et al., "Analysis of Spent Fuel Pool Flow Patterns Using Computational Fluid Dynamics: Part 2 – Partial Water Cases," Sandia National Laboratories, Informal Report, April 2003.
- Suo-Anttila, A, J., Wagner, K. C., Gauntt, R. O., "Analysis of Spent Fuel Pool Flow Patterns Using Computational Fluid Dynamics: Supplement - Thermal Plume Response," Sandia National Laboratories, Informal Report, July 2003.
- Wagner, K. C., et al., "Evaluation of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Response to a Complete Loss-of-Coolant Inventory Using MELCOR 1.8.5," Sandia National Laboratories, Informal Report, January 2003 [2003a].
- Wagner, K. C., et al., "MELCOR 1.8.5 Separate Effect Analyses of Spent Fuel Pool Assembly Accident Response," Sandia National Laboratories, Informal Report, June 2003 [2003b].

Release

OFFICIAL-USE-ONLY----