G:\alleg\panel\20030110arb15.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0110 Branch Chief (AOC): Barber Site/Facility: Salem/HC Acknowledged: Yes X No N/A Confidentiality Granted: Yes No ARB Date: April 30, 2004 Issue Discussed: Periodic review of Salem/HC SCWE allegation. Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee? Yes **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS:** Attendees: Chair: Blough Br. Chief (AOC): Barber SAC: Vito, Harrison Wilson, Neff RI Counsel: Others: Ol Rep: Crlenjak **Disposition Actions:** (List actions for processing and closure. Note responsible person(s), form of action closure document(s), and estimated completion dates.) 1. OI has started interview process on discrimination case. Interviews are scheduled. ECD: Responsible Person: Panel Closure Documentation: Completed: 4/30/04 2. OI has completed interview process on SCWE issue. Final documentation is in progress. Wilson ECD: Responsible Person: Closure Documentation: Completed: 4/30/04 Consult with Regional Counsel regarding any obligations regarding our knowledge of discrepancies with information provided by Winston and Strawn regarding 3. knowledge of alleger raising nuclear safety concerns. Provide documented response to SAC and OI for the file. Responsible Person: Farrar ECD: 5/14/04 Closure Documentation: Completed: 4. Branch 3 to consult with OI (Wilson) how to complete Hope Creek and senior management parts of SCWE report. ECD: Responsible Person: Barber 5/7/04 Closure Documentation: Completed: 5. Repanel for updates. Responsible Person: Panel ECD: 5/20/04 @ 2:00 p.m. Closure Documentation: Completed: information in this record was deleted

in accordance with the Freedom of Information

2005-190

Act, exemptions 20

FOIA.

Responsible Person: ECD: Closure Documentation: Completed: ECD: Responsible Person: Closure Documentation: Completed: Responsible Person: ECD: Closure Documentation: Completed: Responsible Person: ECD: Closure Documentation: Completed: SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT Provide narrative assessment of safety significance; should be commensurate with estimated action plan completion dates. PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION Low Normal High If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g. no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing): Steam leak issue Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION
(only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?

When did the potential violation occur?

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)
Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB