

3.7.4 SEISMIC INSTRUMENTATION

REVIEW RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary - The branch responsible for seismology (geoscience) reviews.

Secondary - None

AREAS OF REVIEW

The specific areas of review are as follows:

1. Comparison with guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 1.12

A comparison is made of the proposed seismic instrumentation with the seismic instrumentation guidelines of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.12. In addition, the bases for elements of the program that differ from RG 1.12 are reviewed.

The locations for the installation of seismic instrumentation that will be installed in selected Category I structures and components are reviewed. Also reviewed are the bases for selection of the instrumentation and locations and a discussion of the extent to which the seismic instrumentation will be maintained to enable a rapid determination of the severity of the earthquake.

Rev. 2 - [Month] 2007

USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN

This Standard Review Plan, NUREG-0800, has been prepared to establish criteria that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff responsible for the review of applications to construct and operate nuclear power plants intends to use in evaluating whether an applicant/licensee meets the NRC's regulations. The Standard Review Plan is not a substitute for the NRC's regulations, and compliance with it is not required. However, an applicant is required to identify differences between the design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for its facility and the SRP acceptance criteria and evaluate how the proposed alternatives to the SRP acceptance criteria provide an acceptable method of complying with the NRC regulations.

The standard review plan sections are numbered in accordance with corresponding sections in the Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)." Not all sections of the standard format have a corresponding review plan section. The SRP sections applicable to a combined license application for a new light-water reactor (LWR) will be based on Regulatory Guide 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)," until the SRP itself is updated.

These documents are made available to the public as part of the NRC's policy to inform the nuclear industry and the general public of regulatory procedures and policies. Individual sections of NUREG-0800 will be revised periodically, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information and experience. Comments may be submitted electronically by email to NRR SRP@nrc.gov.

Requests for single copies of draft or active SRP sections (which may be reproduced) should be made to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section, or by fax to (301) 415-2289; or by email to DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov. Electronic copies of this section are available through the NRC's public Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/, or in the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html, under Accession # MLxxxxxxxxx.

2. Comparison with RG 1.166

A comparison is made of (1) the proposed procedures for a timely evaluation after an earthquake of the recorded seismic instrumentation data and (2) for determining whether plant shutdown is required with the post-earthquake guidelines of RG 1.166. Also reviewed are the criteria for evaluation of the ground motion records and for determining the exceedance of the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) ground motion.

- 3. Inspection, Test, Analysis, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC). For design certification (DC) and combined license (COL) reviews, the applicant's proposed information on the ITAAC associated with the structures, systems, and components (SSCs) related to this SRP section is reviewed in accordance with SRP Section 14.3, "Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria Design Certification." The staff recognizes that the review of ITAAC is performed after review of the rest of this portion of the application against acceptance criteria contained in this SRP section. Furthermore, the ITAAC are reviewed to assure that all SSCs in this area of review are identified and addressed as appropriate in accordance with SRP Section 14.3.
- 4. <u>COL Action Items and Certification Requirements and Restrictions.</u> COL action items may be identified in the NRC staff's final safety evaluation report (FSER) for each certified design to identify information that COL applicants must address in the application. Additionally, DCs contain requirements and restrictions (e.g., interface requirements) that COL applicants must address in the application. For COL applications referencing a DC, the review performed under this SRP section includes information provided in response to COL action items and certification requirements and restrictions pertaining to this SRP section, as identified in the FSER for the referenced certified design.

Review Interfaces

The listed SRP sections interface with this section as follows:

None

The specific acceptance criteria and review procedures are contained in the referenced SRP sections.

II. <u>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA</u>

Requirements

Acceptance criteria are based on meeting the relevant requirements of the following Commission regulations:

- 1. 10 CFR Part 20 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S as they relate to meeting the capabilities and performance of the instrumentation system to adequately measure the effects of earthquakes.
- 2. 10 CFR Part 20 requires licensees to make every reasonable effort to maintain radiation exposure as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA).
- 3. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S, requires that suitable instrumentation be provided to promptly evaluate the seismic response of nuclear power plant features important to safety after an earthquake. Appendix S also requires shutdown of the nuclear power plant if vibratory ground motion exceeding that of the OBE occurs.

- 4. 10 CFR 52.47(b)(1), as it relates to ITAAC (for design certification) sufficient to assure that the SSCs in this area of review will operate in accordance with the certification
- 5. 10 CFR 52.80(a), as it relates to ITAAC (for combined licenses) sufficient to assure that the SSCs in this area of review have been constructed and will be operated in conformity with the license, the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's rules and regulations

SRP Acceptance Criteria

Specific SRP acceptance criteria acceptable to meet the relevant requirements of the NRC's regulations identified above are as follows for review described in Subsection I of this SRP section. The SRP is not a substitute for the NRC's regulations, and compliance with it is not required. However, an applicant is required to identify differences between the design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for its facility and the SRP acceptance criteria and evaluate how the proposed alternatives to the SRP acceptance criteria provide acceptable methods of compliance with the NRC regulations.

The type, locations, operability, characteristics, installation, actuation, remote indication, and maintenance of seismic instrumentation should meet the guidance discussed below. Where an applicant proposes specific details different from these, acceptability should be based upon meeting applicable regulations, as stated above, consistent with current proven technologies and intended use of the recorded information.

1. Comparison with RG 1.12

The seismic instrumentation program is considered to be acceptable if it is in accordance with guidance provided in RG 1.12. The bases for elements of the proposed seismic instrumentation program that differ from RG 1.12 must be provided. This guide recommends installation of solid-state digital time-history accelerographs at appropriate locations in order to provide time history data on the seismic response of the free-field, containment structure, and other Seismic Category I structures.

The COL, DC, and construction permit (CP) applicants should provide solid-state digital instrumentation that will enable the processing of data at the plant site within 4 hours of the seismic event. A triaxial time-history accelerograph should be provided at each of the locations specified in RG 1.12. Triggering of the free-field or any foundation-level accelerograph should be annunciated in the control room. In addition, applicants should provide a rationale for the placement of instrumentation which is consistent with maintaining occupational radiation exposures ALARA for the location.

With regard to operability and installation, applicants should demonstrate that the seismic instrumentation will be operable during all modes of plant operation, including periods of plant shutdown. In addition, the applicant's maintenance and repair procedures should provide for keeping the maximum number of instruments in service during plant operation and shutdown. Instruments should be designed and installed so that the mounting is rigid and oriented so that the horizontal components are parallel to the orthogonal axes assumed for the seismic analysis. Also, protections against accidental impacts should be provided.

With regard to capabilities and characteristics, the seismic instrumentation should include each of the specifications identified in RG 1.12. This includes provisions for inservice testing, a remote alarm to indicate actuation, recording capabilities, sufficient dynamic range and sampling rate, and a low and adjustable actuating level or trigger.

In addition, both vertical and horizontal input vibratory ground motion should actuate the same time-history accelerograph.

2. Comparison with RG 1.166

The seismic instrumentation program is considered to be acceptable if it contains pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake actions in accordance with RG 1.166. The bases for elements of the proposed seismic instrumentation program that differ from RG 1.166 must be provided. This guide provides guidance for a timely evaluation after an earthquake of the recorded seismic instrumentation data and for determining whether plant shutdown is required.

The COL, DC, and CP applicants should provide a description of both pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake actions in order to make a rapid determination of the degree of severity of the seismic event. The data from the seismic instrumentation, coupled with information obtained from a plant walkdown, should be used to make the initial determination of whether the plant must be shut down.

With regard to the necessary baseline data, information related to seismic instrumentation, including instrument calibration, should be kept at the plant. The applicant's program should also describe the necessary actions, such as selecting equipment and structures for inspections and the content of the baseline inspections, that are to be taken immediately after an earthquake, as described in RG 1.166.

With regard to the evaluation of ground motion records, the applicant's program should describe data identification (i.e., record collection log), data collection, and record evaluation procedures. Shutdown of the nuclear power plant is required if the vibratory ground motion experienced exceeds that of the OBE. A criterion for determining exceedance of the OBE is provided in the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) document EPRI NP-5930, "A Criterion for Determining Exceedance of the Operating Basis Earthquake." This criterion is based on a threshold response spectrum ordinate check and a cumulative absolute velocity (CAV) check. The ground motion evaluation should consist of a check on the response spectrum and CAV and a check on the operability of the instrumentation as described in RG 1.166. This evaluation should take place within 4 hours of the earthquake.

Technical Rationale

The technical rationale for application of these requirements and/or SRP acceptance criteria to the areas of review addressed by this SRP section discussed in the following paragraphs:

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S, requires that suitable instrumentation be provided to promptly evaluate the seismic response of nuclear power plant features important to safety after an earthquake. Appendix S also requires shutdown of the nuclear power plant if vibratory ground motion exceeding that of the OBE occurs.

The seismic instrumentation program with installation of solid-state digital time-history accelerographs at appropriate locations will provide time history data on the seismic response of the free-field, containment structure, and other Seismic Category I structures, as well as maintaining occupational radiation exposures ALARA for the location as required by 10 CFR Part 20.

III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

The reviewer will select and emphasize material from the procedures described below, as may be appropriate for a particular case.

For each area of review specified in subsection I of this SRP section, the review procedure is identified below. These review procedures are based on the identified SRP acceptance criteria. For deviations from these specific acceptance criteria, the staff should review the applicant's evaluation of how the proposed alternatives to the SRP criteria provide an acceptable method of complying with the relevant NRC requirements identified in subsection II.

1. Comparison with RG 1.12

The seismic instrumentation program is checked to ensure that the instrumentation is in accordance with the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.12. Any differences between the proposed and the regulatory guide seismic instrumentation, which have not been adequately justified, are identified and the applicant is informed of the need for additional technical justification.

The locations and descriptions of the seismic instrumentation are reviewed to determine that these are in accordance with the acceptance criteria of Subsection II of this SRP section. If the instrumentation provided is judged to be insufficient, the need for additional instrumentation is transmitted to the applicant.

The program is checked to verify that the triggering of the free-field or any foundation-level accelerograph is annunciated in the control room. If there is no provision for both audio and visual signals in the applicant's seismic instrumentation plan, the applicant is so informed with a request for compliance. The program is checked to ensure that the provisions for in-service testing, remote alarm to indicate actuation, recording capabilities, sufficient dynamic range and sampling rate with a low and adjustable actuating level or trigger are in accordance with RG 1.166.

2. Comparison with RG 1.166

The seismic instrumentation program is checked to ensure that the pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake actions in accordance with RG 1.166. Any differences between the proposed and the regulatory guide seismic instrumentation, which have not been adequately justified, are identified and the applicant is informed of the need for additional technical justification.

The pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake actions are checked to verify that a rapid determination of the degree of severity of the seismic event can be accurately made. The data from the seismic instrumentation coupled with information obtained from a plant walkdown should be used to make the initial determination of whether the plant must be shut down. Any deficiency in the required information is identified and the applicant is requested to provide further information.

3. For reviews of DC and COL applications under 10 CFR Part 52, the reviewer should follow the above procedures to verify that the design set forth in the safety analysis report, and if applicable, site interface requirements meet the acceptance criteria. For DC applications, the reviewer should identify necessary COL action items. With respect to COL applications, the scope of the review is dependent on whether the COL applicant references a DC, an ESP or other NRC-approved material, applications, and/or reports.

After this review, SRP Section 14.3 should be followed for the review of Tier I information for the design, including the postulated site parameters, interface criteria, and ITAAC.

IV. EVALUATION FINDINGS

The reviewer verifies that the applicant has provided sufficient information and that the review and calculations (if applicable) support conclusions of the following type to be included in the staff's safety evaluation report. The reviewer also states the bases for those conclusions.

The staff concludes that the seismic instrumentation system provided for the plant is acceptable and meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and Appendix S to 10 CFR Part 50. This conclusion is based on the following:

The applicant has met the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S by providing the instrumentation that is capable of promptly measuring the severity of a seismic event and by providing a program that allows for the data from the seismic instrumentation, coupled with information obtained from a plant walkdown, to be used to make the initial determination of whether the plant must be shut down. The applicant has met the requirements of 10 CFR 20 by providing seismic instrumentation at locations which are consistent with maintaining occupational radiation exposures ALARA for the location. The seismic instrumentation program complies with RG 1.12 and 1.166.

For DC and COL reviews, the findings will also summarize (to the extent that the review is not discussed in other SER sections) the staff's evaluation of the ITAAC, including design acceptance criteria, as applicable, and interface requirements and combined license action items relevant to this SRP section.

V. IMPLEMENTATION

The following is intended to provide guidance to applicants and licensees regarding the NRC staff's plans for using this SRP section.

The staff will use this SRP section in performing safety evaluations of DC applications and license applications submitted by applicants pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50 or 10 CFR Part 52. Except when the applicant proposes an acceptable alternative method for complying with specified portions of the Commission's regulations, the staff will use the method described herein to evaluate conformance with Commission regulations.

The provisions of this SRP section apply to reviews of applications docketed six months or more after the date of issuance of this SRP section, unless superceded by a later revision.

Implementation schedules for conformance to parts of the method discussed herein are contained in the referenced regulatory guides.²⁷

VI. REFERENCES

- 1. 10 CFR Part 20, "Codes and Standards."
- 2. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix S, "Earthquake Engineering Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 4. Regulatory Guide 1.12, "Nuclear Power Plant Instrumentation for Earthquakes."

5.	Regulatory Guide 1.166, "Pre-Earthquake Planning and Immediate Nuclear Power Plant Operator Post-Earthquake Actions."
6.	Electric Power Research Institute, "A Criterion for Determining Exceedance of the Operating Basis Earthquake," EPRI NP-5930, Palo Alto, California, July 1988.
	PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT
The information collections contained in the Standard Review Plan are covered by the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50 and 10 CFR Part 52, and were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0011 and 3150-0151.	

PUBLIC PROTECTION NOTIFICATION

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information

collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

5.

SRP Section 3.7.4

Description of Changes

This SRP section affirms the technical accuracy and adequacy of the guidance previously provided in (Draft) Revision 2, dated June 1996 of this SRP. See ADAMS accession number ML052070322.

In addition this SRP section was administratively updated in accordance with NRR Office Instruction, LIC-200, Revision 1, "Standard Review Plan (SRP) Process." The revision also adds standard paragraphs to extend application of the updated SRP section to prospective submittals by applicants pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52.

The technical changes are incorporated in Revision 2, dated [Month] 2007:

Review Responsibilities - Reflects changes in review branches resulting from reorganization and branch consolidation. Change is reflected throughout the SRP.

I. AREAS OF REVIEW

This section has been updated to reflect Revision 2 of the Regulatory Guide 1.12 and addition of Regulatory Guide 1.166, published in March 1997.

II. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Added Appendix S to 10 CFR Part 50 and reference to 10 CFR Part 20.

III. REVIEW PROCEDURES

This section has been updated to reflect Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.12 and addition of Regulatory Guide 1.166, published in March 1997.

IV. EVALUATION FINDINGS

Added Appendix S to 10 CFR Part 50 and reference to 10 CFR Part 20.

V. <u>IMPLEMENTATION</u>

No changes.

VI. REFERENCES

Minor Updates.