From:

To:

Date:

Blake Welling
Eugene Cobey
4/6/05 5:16PM
Rebuttal Note

Subject:

Attached is a start at some "rebuttal notes" for the discrimination &

フン

Blake

in accurdance with the Freedom of Information

Mail Envelope Properties (4254519B.B70:0:34958)

Subject:

Rebuttal Notes

**Creation Date:** 

4/6/05 5:16PM

From:

Blake Welling

Created By:

BDW@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

kp1\_po.KP\_DO

EWC (Eugene Cobey)

**Post Office** 

kp1\_po.KP\_DO

Route

nrc.gov

**Files** 

Size

Date & Time

**MESSAGE** 

499

04/06/05 05:16PM

Rebuttal Notes for Discrimination and.wpd

6507

04/06/05 05:13PM

**Options** 

**Expiration Date:** 

None

Priority:

Standard

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

**Concealed Subject:** 

No

Security:

Standard

## Rebuttal Notes for Discrimination and Case Results

- The fact that the NRC did not substantiate the discrimination case in no way diminishes
  the importance of the valuable information the individual brought to the NRC regarding
  the work environment at Salem and Hope Creek. The NRC also appreciated the
  individual's willingness to provide information about the work environment at Salem and
  Hope Creek.
- The NRC's investigations were thorough and objective, both in the SCWE review and in the investigation of
- The NRC considered a large amount of information, including what the individual brought to the attention of the NRC.
- In both cases, the NRC concluded that there was insufficient evidence to prove violations of employee protection rights or occurred.
- The NRC's Office of Investigations determined that the employment action taken against the individual was not the result of raising safety concerns. The preponderance of evidence indicated that the action was taken for legitimate business reasons.

70