

From: Lisamarie Jarriel *DL*  
To: David Vito  
Date: 3/29/05 11:10AM  
Subject: Re: \*\* SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL \*\*

Call me.

Is it possible for the resident to do a simple search in their CAP around the date in question and see if there is evidence that one was even written or many were written and combined in one?

Can you confirm that our investigators even asked about issue documentation (I get that the interviewees didn't raise it, but did the interviewers?).

Then we could say,

[REDACTED] *EXS*

Or tell me to take a flying leap. I'm probably being a nit.

Lisa

*DL*  
>>> David Vito 03/29/05 08:20AM >>>

I had the same question and had to ask the OI investigator and other SCWE review interviewers about this last week. I knew that a (single) Notification had been written about the incident itself, but didn't see evidence of a flurry of additional Notifications. In the initial formal interview and during other discussions

[REDACTED] *7C*

In my discussions w/OI and the SCWE interviewers last week, I could not obtain a definitive answer as to how these additional Notifications (if they indeed were written) manifested themselves within an internal process. What I was definitely told was that right after the event, management did realize and acknowledge the multiple concerns voiced by [REDACTED] *7C*

[REDACTED] was also told that nearly all of the cognizant/affected [REDACTED] were interviewed during the course of the SCWE review, and that none of them complained about how the incident was (or wasn't) documented, or indicated that they had lodged a concern, in whatever fashion, that was blown off. From that information, I crafted the paragraph for Rick Urban to include in the letter (below). At this point, I'm not sure what further benefit we could gain by stopping the presses and doing a more in depth review of this piece. I would welcome any suggestions you may have. *R*

"Regarding your comments about the documentation of [REDACTED] concerns with respect to this event, we note that the large majority of the [REDACTED] cognizant of this event were interviewed by OI investigators and/or NRC Region I technical staff either as part of [REDACTED] or during the Salem SCWE review. During the course of the [REDACTED] interviews, no one took issue with the documentation of concerns related to the event. It was obvious from the interviews that [REDACTED] had concerns about the actions of the [REDACTED], and that management was aware of their concerns. *7C*

information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions *5, 7C*  
FOIA- *2005-194*

*5-49*

[REDACTED]

>>> Lisamarie Jarriel 03/28/05 06:23PM >>>

Dave,

I reviewed the closure letter for RI-2003-A-0110 and have a question. I asked a few people yesterday and although everyone was very helpful no one could answer it.

[REDACTED]

Thanks, hope you enjoyed the beach.

Lisa

P.S. The briefing with Ellis and Luis went well.

CC: Leanne Harrison

From: Lisamarie Jarriel <sup>DL</sup>  
To: David Vito  
Date: 3/28/05 6:23PM  
Subject: \*\* SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL \*\*

Dave,

I reviewed the closure letter for RI-2003-A-0110 and have a question. I asked a few people yesterday and although everyone was very helpful no one could answer it.

Thanks, hope you enjoyed the beach.

Lisa

P.S. The briefing with Ellis and Luis went well.

CC: Leanne Harrison