

From: Andrea Kock ^{OK}
To: David Vito
Date: 3/7/05 12:19PM
Subject: [REDACTED]

okay, then I'll leave it up to her if she wants to participate. Since there is no SCWE issue and no policy-setting issue with this one, I don't plan on attending.....

-Andrea K.

^{RI}
>>> David Vito 03/07/05 11:03AM >>>

Lisa has asked to be involved in previous discussions re: Dr. K., based on her involvement in the SCWE review, so we wanted to invite her again since this will constitute closure of the initial set of issues.

^{OK}
>>> Andrea Kock 03/07/05 10:19AM >>>

If that's the case, I don't see a need for Lisa or my participation since we don't review the OI report; you'll have the Enforcement specialist on the line... and unless there's a policy question where this case is different in some way and you need guidance, I don't think it's necessary for us to participate. Of course, if you want us there for some reason I'm not seeing, I'm here to help! I just don't see a need for another person to review the OI report....

Let me know....

-Andrea K.

^{OK}
>>> David Vito 03/07/05 08:55AM >>>

I believe the intent is to get all parties who would be asked to review this info as part of a "3-week e-mail" cycle in on the ARB, with the intent of bypassing the 3-week waiting period. I think management wants to get drafts of the closure letters available by the end of this week

^{OK}
>>> Andrea Kock 03/07/05 08:46AM >>>

Dave: I don't have a copy of the report, but will hunt around in OE to get a copy if you need our participation. Do you need me and/or Lisa to sit in? What is unique about the case that necessitates HQ participation? (I ask just so I can focus on what you need our guidance on) and, when is the ARB?

Thanks

-Andrea K.

8-18