

From: Leigh Trocine ^{o/c}
 To: Stephen Lewis ^{o/c}
 Date: Mon, Feb 28, 2005 5:01 PM
 Subject: Re: [REDACTED]

Steve,

I've got a copy of the OI report and exhibits. It's on top of the safe in my office, and the AITS cover sheet references Salem instead of Hope Creek. That's why we missed it at first glance. Did OGC's copy ever arrive? I hope this helps.

Leigh

P.S. You can always get OI reports from OI if need be.

>>> Stephen Lewis ^{o/c} 02/28/05 03:22PM >>>

Dave:

I am responding to an e-mail of 2/23/05 regarding the desire to close out this open allegation during the week of March 7th. The problem is that I do not have a copy of the completed OI report referenced in the Subject Line. Nor does Marian Zabler in OGC have it. Leigh Trocine is the assigned OE specialist, but she does not have a copy either.

It may be that Lisamarie and Andrea do have copies, but they were not in the Office today. Could you please check at your end as to when and to whom it was sent? That would be much appreciated.

Steve Lewis, OGC, 301 415-1684

CC: Andrea Kock; David Vito; Janice Moore; Lisamarie Jarriel; Marian Zabler; Russell Arrighi

5-14

From: Lisamarie Jarriel ^{CV}
To: David Vito ^{DV}
Date: 2/23/05 7:00PM
Subject: Re: Soliciting input for time to ad-hoc ARB last RI-2003-A-0110 OI case

Dave,

The communication plan we discussed. . . that includes discussion with the Chairman (?), DEDO (?), other Commissioners (?) before the closure letter goes out? Maybe you should send me the plan. Ellis is asking a lot of questions

LLJ

^{R1}

>>> David Vito 02/23/05 09:50AM >>>



TC

We would like to ad-hoc ARB this closed OI case after people have had an opportunity to read and evaluate the report. Because of the need to move this along as quickly as possible, we would like to have the ad-hoc ARB as early as the week of March 7, if at all possible (we know that the RIC is that week). Please get back to the Region I Allegation Office (D. Vito, L. Harrison, S. Johnson) as to your availability/preference for the date/time of an ad-hoc ARB to discuss this. Your feedback and support is appreciated.

TC

Moving this forward will allow us to be responsive, in one letter, to the allegeder's remaining issues from the original allegation case file, which included the overall SCWE issue at Salem/HC. It will also allow us to be fully responsive, from a FOIA standpoint, to an anticipated FOIA request from the allegeder for all available information.