From: Daniel Holody

To: David Vito
Date: 2/24/05 7:12AM

Subject: Re: Soliciting input for time to ad-hoc ARB last RI-2003-A-0110 OI case

before you leave today, let me know time and date

>>> David Vito 02/23/05 09:50AM >>>

We would like to ad-hoc ARB this closed OI case after people have had an opportunity to read and evaluate the report. Because of the need to move this along as quickly as possible, we would like to have the ad-hoc ARB as early as the week of March 7, if at all possible (we know that the RIC is that week). Please get back to the Region I Allegation Office (D. VIto, L. Harrison, S. Johnson) as to your availability/preference for the date/time of an ad-hoc ARB to discuss this. Your feedback and support is appreciated.

Moving this forward will allow us to be responsive, in one letter, to the alleger's remaining issues from the original allegation case file, which included the overall SCWE issue at Salem/HC. It will also allow us to be fully responsive, from a FOIA standpoint, to an anticipated FOIA request from the alleger for all available information.

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions

را\ الم From:

Lisamarie Jarriel

To:

David Vito

Date:

2/23/05 7:02PM

Subject:

Re: Soliciting input for time to ad-hoc ARB last RI-2003-A-0110 OI case

by the way, I'll be out on Monday 3/7, but Andrea is in and can cover it.

Lisa

e)

>>> David Vito 02/23/05 09:50AM >>>

We would like to ad-hoc ARB this closed OI case after people have had an opportunity to read and evaluate the report. Because of the need to move this along as quickly as possible, we would like to have the ad-hoc ARB as early as the week of March 7, if at all possible (we know that the RIC is that week). Please get back to the Region I Allegation Office (D. VIto, L. Harrison, S. Johnson) as to your availability/preference for the date/time of an ad-hoc ARB to discuss this. Your feedback and support is appreciated.

Moving this forward will allow us to be responsive, in one letter, to the alleger's remaining issues from the original allegation case file, which included the overall SCWE issue at Salem/HC. It will also allow us to be fully responsive, from a FOIA standpoint, to an anticipated FOIA request from the alleger for all available information.

R

From:

Lisamarie Jarriel 06

To:

**David Vito** 

Date:

2/23/05 7:01PM

Subject:

Re: Soliciting input for time to ad-hoc ARB last RI-2003-A-0110 OI case

Dave,

The communication plan we discussed. . . that includes discussion with the Chairman (?), DEDO (?), other Commissioners (?) <u>before</u> the closure letter goes out? Maybe you should send me the plan. Ellis is asking a lot of questions

LLJ

>>> David Vito 02/23/05 09:50AM >>>

We would like to ad-hoc ARB this closed OI case after people have had an opportunity to read and evaluate the report. Because of the need to move this along as quickly as possible, we would like to have the ad-hoc ARB as early as the week of March 7, if at all possible (we know that the RIC is that week). Please get back to the Region I Allegation Office (D. VIto, L. Harrison, S. Johnson) as to your availability/preference for the date/time of an ad-hoc ARB to discuss this. Your feedback and support is appreciated.

Moving this forward will allow us to be responsive, in one letter, to the alleger's remaining issues from the original allegation case file, which included the overall SCWE issue at Salem/HC. It will also allow us to be fully responsive, from a FOIA standpoint, to an anticipated FOIA request from the alleger for all available information.

P