From:

Sharon Johnson

To:

Reactors

Date:

1/20/05 10:31AM

Subject:

****SENSITIVE ALLEG MATERIAL****

Attached are the Salem/Hope Creek ARB forms from 1/19/05.

5/6

g:\ora\alleg\panel\20030110arb2201192005.wpd

Responsible Person: Wllson/Teator Closure Documentation:

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Site/F	ation No.: RI-2003-A-0110 acility: <u>Salem/Hope Creek</u> Date: <u>1/19/2005</u>	Branch Chief (AOC): <u>Cobey</u> Acknowledged: Yes Confidentiality Granted: No		
Issue discussed: OI report for Case No. 1-2003-045 transmitted by RI OI letter dated December 28, 2004.				
Ol Conclusion: There is insufficient evidence to prove that the employment actions taken against the Cl were in violation of the employee protection regulation.				
Summary of Information from Report				
1. There is substantial evidence to indicate that the employment actions were the result of other factors. [ARB agreed]				
2. Management inadequate communications and inaction contributed to the CI's perception that the employment actions were the result of raising safety issues. [ARB noted that this was Indicative of past (and recovering) SCWE problems.]				
3. Are management's inadequate communication and inaction subject to NRC enforcement or regulatory action? {ARB conclusionlikely not. Facility is still in SCWE recovery mode. Prior established corrective actions in response to the SCWE issue would appear to be sufficient to address any SCWE issues identified within context of H&I investigation report.}				
ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS				
Attendees: Chair - <u>Uhle</u> Branch Chief (AOC) - <u>Cobey</u> SAC - <u>Vito, Harrison</u> Ol Rep <u>Teator</u> RI Counsel - <u>Farrar</u> Others - <u>Wiebe, Lubinski, Arrighi, Zobler,</u> <u>Montgomery-White, Hilton, Jarriel, Holody, Urban, Kock, Holian</u>				
DISPOSITION ACTIONS:				
1)	As provided, ARB agrees w/OI conclusion that alle engagement in protected activities.	ger was not discriminated against for		
	Responsible Person: Closure Documentation:	ECD: Completed:1/19/05		
2)	OI to complete 1/24/05. OI to inform tech staff and SAC of results after OI had com [Allegation will be re-ARB'd if results on current OI conclusion.]	pleted the investigation report).		

ECD: 1/28/05 Completed:

18

3)	Issue 3-week e-mail (ifficial de la conclusion)			
	Responsible Person:Orban/VitoClosure Documentation:	ECD: <u>2/5/05</u> Completed:		
4).	Develop closure letter to alleger and letter to licensee w/summary of OI investigation results. Place pertinent personnel from other offices on concurrence. Consider including words that reaffirm SCWE conclusions, even though H&I was not substantiated. Also, based on status of looking into one issue which alleger claimed involved his/her protected activity, consult w/management to consider combining closure documents to include discussion of both H&I and			
	Responsible Person: <u>Urban/SAC</u> Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>2/27/05</u> Completed:		
5)	Issue closure letters (unless it is determined that distribution will awaint completed assessment of other wrongdoing issue).			
	Responsible Person: SAC Closure Documentation:	ECD: <u>3/7/05</u> Completed:		
SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT:				
PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing): Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):				
Wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ): What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? When did the potential violation occur? (Assign action to determine date, if unknown)				
Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.				
<u>Distribution:</u> Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)				
	ADD BUILDED ADE DELUCIACED AND	ADDDOVED AT THE ADD		

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB