

April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

DOCKETED USNRC

August 3, 2006 (9:24am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

SERVED August 3, 2006

Docket No. 50-271-OLA

The Honorable Stanley C. Rosenberg State Senator The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Senate State House, Room 320 Boston, MA 02133

Dear Mr. Rosenberg:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook



ASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-000

April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

The Honorable Andrea F. Nuciforo, Jr. State Senator The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Senate State House, Room 213-B Boston, MA 02133

Dear Mr. Nuciforo:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook



April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

The Honorable Denis E. Guyer State Representative The Commonwealth of Massachusetts House of Representatives State House, Room 134 Boston, MA 02133

Dear Mr. Guyer:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

to - the - the

Annette L. Vietti-Cook



April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

The Honorable Christopher J. Donelan State Representative The Commonwealth of Massachusetts House of Representatives State House, Room 146 Boston, MA 02133-1054

Dear Mr. Donelan:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

Test week

Annette L. Vietti-Cook



ASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-000

April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

The Honorable Stephen Kulik State Representative The Commonwealth of Massachusetts House of Representatives State House, Room 473F Boston, MA 02133

Dear Mr. Kulik:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

mart Varan- Cork

Annette L. Vietti-Cook



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 6, 2006

SECRETARY

The Honorable Daniel E. Bosley State Representative The Commonwealth of Massachusetts House of Representatives State House, Room 42 Boston, MA 02133

Dear Mr. Bosley:

This is in response to your letter to Chairman Nils J. Diaz dated March 22, 2006, in which you urge the Commission to conduct an Independent Safety Assessment of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff will address the specific concerns referenced in your letter and will reply directly to you.

On March 14, 2006, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued a supplemental scheduling order establishing a September and October time-frame for evidentiary hearings in the <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee</u> proceeding. Under NRC procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Because of that role, the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board Panel or on appeal to the Commission, and it would be inappropriate for any of them to discuss or comment on issues involved in the proceeding.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the parties to the proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STATE HOUSE, BOSTON 02133-1054

CHRISTOPHER J. DONELAN REPRESENTATIVE 2ND FRANKLIN DISTRICT STATE HOUSE, ROOM 146 TEL. (\$17) 722-2230 Rep.ChristopherDonelan@hou.state.ma.us

03/22/06

Dr. Nils J. Diaz, Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738 Committees Public Safety & Homeland Security Higher Education Substance Abuse & Mental Health

> DISTRICT OFFICE: 186 WALNUT HILL ROAD ORANGE, MA 01364 (978) 544-2180

Dear Dr. Diaz.

As the elected State Legislators for the Massachusetts communities that lie within the 10 mile radius of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant and well within the area that could be impacted based on wind and weather patterns, we write to you today to express our continued concern regarding the uprate of the Vermont Yankee Plant.

For two years, we have been on the record asking for an independent safety assessment of this plant. We have asked that this be completed prior to any life extension of the Vernon Plant, and certainly prior to needed approval for the plant to increase power.

Our concerns were highlighted this past summer when the plant went into shutdown because of the failure of a transformer. The failure of this piece of equipment was due to its age. Age is the overriding concern for the entire plant.

This month, the NRC approved the uprate. The Plant increased power by 5% and had to stop because of an unexpected vibration in the steam line. Again, questions as to whether this aged plant can withstand extended life and increased power.

Our concerns are renewed this week because one of the members of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has called for a re-examination of the uprate approval. Concern was expressed over the fact that the uprate has been approved and the process to increase power has already begun, even though appeals are pending and there are issues regarding the inspection that have yet to be resolved.

We have serious concerns about the integrity of this plant and the process that has lead to the approval of the uprate license. When approval is granted while issues are still unresolved, we are given cause to question how truly independent the NRC's engineering assessment was. Our overriding concern is the public safety of the people of Massachusetts and Southern Vermont. With the plant on hold at 105% due to vibration, and a Commissioner of the NRC calling the process into question, we feel the future of this plant and of the residents of Western Massachusetts are placed in needless jeopardy.

We ask again, for an independent safety assessment of The Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Plant. An assessment independent of Entergy Corp. and independent of The Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The public has the right to the assurance that this plant is safe, and the right to demand this process stop if the plant is deemed unsafe for increased power.

We look forward to your response.

Sincerely, Christopher J. Donelan Stan Rosenberg State Representative State Senator Stephen Kulik Andrea Nuciforo State Senator State Representative Daniel Bosley Denis Guyer State Representative State Representative

Cc: Congressman Olver Congressman Neal Senator Kennedy Senator Kerry

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

In the Matter of

ENTERGY NUCLEAR VERMONT YANKEE L.L.C.) and ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.)

Docket No. 50-271-OLA

(Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing LETTERS FROM ANNETTE L. VIETTI-COOK, DATED 04/06/06, TO SIX MASSACHUSETTS STATE SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES RESPONDING TO THEIR 03/22/06 LETTER (ENCLOSED) URGING THE COMMISSION TO CONDUCT AN INDEPENDENT SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION have been served upon the following persons by deposit in the U.S. mail, first class, or through NRC internal distribution.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 Administrative Judge Alex S. Karlin, Chair Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Administrative Judge Anthony J. Baratta Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq. Steven C. Hamrick, Esq. Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop - O-15 D21 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 Administrative Judge Lester S. Rubenstein 4270 E Country Villa Drive Tucson, AZ 85718

Raymond Shadis New England Coalition P.O. Box 98 Edgecomb, ME 04556 Docket No. 50-271-OLA LETTERS FROM ANNETTE L. VIETTI-COOK, DATED 04/06/06, TO SIX MASSACHUSETTS STATE SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES RESPONDING TO THEIR 03/22/06 LETTER (ENCLOSED) URGING THE COMMISSION TO CONDUCT AN INDEPENDENT SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

John M. Fulton, Esq. Assistant General Counsel Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. National Legal Scholars Law Firm 84 East Thetford Rd. Lyme, NH 03768

Jonathan M. Rund, Esq. Law Clerk Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 Sarah Hofmann, Esq. Special Counsel Department of Public Service 112 State Street - Drawer 20 Montpelier, VT 05620-2601

Jay E. Silberg, Esq. Matias F. Travieso-Diaz, Esq. Scott A. Vance, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1128

Terence A. Burke, Esq. Associate General Counsel Entergy Services, Inc. 1340 Echelon Parkway Jackson, MS 39213

Ente J. Jal

Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of August 2006