#### UNITED STATES



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER 61 FORSYTH STREET, SW, SUITE 23T85 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931

August 2, 2006

Duke Energy Corporation ATTN: Mr. Bruce H. Hamilton Vice President Oconee Site 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672

# SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION - NRC EXAMINATION REPORT 05000269/2006301, 05000270/2006301, AND 05000287/2006301

Dear Mr. Hamilton:

During the period June 19 - 28, 2006, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) administered operating examinations to employees of your company who had applied for licenses to operate the Oconee Nuclear Power Station. At the conclusion of the examination, the examiners discussed the examination questions and preliminary findings with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report. The written examination was administered by your staff on June 30, 2006.

Four Reactor Operator (RO) and six Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) applicants passed both the written examination and operating test. Two SRO applicants failed the written examination. There were no post examination comments submitted. A Simulation Facility Report is included in this report as Enclosure 2.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact me at (404) 562-4647.

Sincerely,

### /RA/

James H. Moorman, III, Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

Docket Nos.: 50-269, 50-270, 50-287 License Nos.: DPR-38, DPR-47, DPR-55

Enclosures: (See next page)

#### DEC

Enclosures: 1. Report Details 2. Simulation Facility Report

cc w/encls: B. G. Davenport Compliance Manager (ONS) Duke Energy Corporation Electronic Mail Distribution

Lisa Vaughn Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Mail Code EC 07H Charlotte, NC 28202

Timika Shafeek-Horton Assistant General Counsel Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street-EC07H Charlotte, NC 28202

David A. Repka Winston & Strawn LLP Electronic Mail Distribution

Beverly Hall, Acting Director Division of Radiation Protection N. C. Department of Environmental Health & Natural Resources Electronic Mail Distribution

Henry J. Porter, Assistant DirectorDiv. of Radioactive Waste Mgmt.S. C. Department of Health and Environmental ControlElectronic Mail Distribution

Distribution w/encls: L. Olshan, NRR C. Evans (Part 72 Only) L. Slack, RII EICS OE Mail (email address if applicable) RIDSNRRDIRS PUBLIC R. Mike Gandy
Division of Radioactive Waste Mgmt.
S. C. Department of Health and Environmental Control
Electronic Mail Distribution

County Supervisor of Oconee County 415 S. Pine Street Walhalla, SC 29691-2145

Lyle Graber, LIS NUS Corporation Electronic Mail Distribution

R. L. Gill, Jr., Manager Nuclear Regulatory Issues and Industry Affairs Duke Energy Corporation 526 S. Church Street Charlotte, NC 28201-0006

Charles Brinkman Director, Washington Operations Westinghouse Electric Company 12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

Dean M. Hubbard Training Manager Oconee Nuclear Station 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672-0752 August 2, 2006

Duke Energy Corporation ATTN: Mr. Bruce H. Hamilton Vice President Oconee Site 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672

#### OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION - NRC EXAMINATION REPORT SUBJECT: 05000269/2006301, 05000270/2006301, AND 05000287/2006301

Dear Mr. Hamilton:

During the period June 19 - 28, 2006, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) administered operating examinations to employees of your company who had applied for licenses to operate the Oconee Nuclear Power Station. At the conclusion of the examination, the examiners discussed the examination questions and preliminary findings with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report. The written examination was administered by your staff on June 30, 2006.

Four Reactor Operator (RO) and six Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) applicants passed both the written examination and operating test. Two SRO applicants failed the written examination. There were no post examination comments submitted. A Simulation Facility Report is included in this report as Enclosure 2.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact me at (404) 562-4647.

Sincerely, /RA/ James H. Moorman, III, Chief **Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety** 

YES

NO

NO

YES

NO

Docket Nos.: 50-269, 50-270, 50-287 License Nos.: DPR-38, DPR-47, DPR-55

Enclosures: (See next page)

YES

NO

X PUBLICLY AVAILABLE		NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE				X NON-SENSIT	X NON-SENSITIVE	
ADAMS: <u>X</u> Yes	ACCESSION NUM	BER:	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
OFFICE	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRS	RII:DRP			
SIGNATURE	/RA/	/RA By MBates for/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/			
NAME	MBates	RAiello	SRose	JMoorman	MErnstes			
DATE	7/28/06	7/31/06	7/31/06	8/1/06	8/1/06			

YES

E-MAIL COPY? YES NO NO OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ADAMS\Cache\ML0621501220.wpd

NO

YES

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# **REGION II**

Docket Nos.:	50-269, 50-270, 50-287
License Nos.:	DPR-38, DPR-47, DPR-55
Report No.:	05000269/2006301, 05000270/2006301, 05000287/2006301
Licensee:	Duke Energy Corporation
Facility:	Oconee Nuclear Station
Location:	7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672
Dates:	Operating Tests - June 19-28, 2006 Written Examination - June 30, 2006
Examiners:	M. Bates, Chief, Senior Operations Examiner R. Aiello, Senior Operations Engineer S. Rose, Senior Operations Engineer
Approved by:	James H. Moorman, III, Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

ER 05000269/2006301, 05000270/2006301, 05000287/2006301; 6/19-28/2006,6/30/2006; Oconee Nuclear Station; Licensed Operator Examinations.

The NRC examiners conducted operator licensing initial examinations in accordance with the guidance in NUREG-1021, Revision 9, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors." This examination implemented the operator licensing requirements of 10 CFR §55.41, §55.43, and §55.45.

The NRC administered the operating tests during the period of June 19 - 28, 2006. Members of the Oconee Nuclear Station training staff administered the written examination on June 30, 2006. The written examinations were developed by the NRC, and the operating tests were developed by the Oconee Nuclear Station training staff.

Four Reactor Operators (RO) and six Senior Reactor Operators (SRO) passed both the operating test and written examination. Two SRO applicants failed the written examination overall, and one of these two applicants also failed the SRO portion of that examination. Each applicant who passed the operating test and written examination with an overall score greater than 82% and SRO-only score greater than 74%, as applicable, was issued an operator license commensurate with the level of examination administered. Four SRO applicants passed the operating test, but passed the written examination with overall scores between 80% and 82%. Each of these applicants was issued a letter stating that they passed the examination and issuance of their license has been delayed pending any written examination appeals that may impact the licensing decision for their application.

There were no post examination comments.

No findings of significance were identified.

# **Report Details**

## 4. OTHER ACTIVITIES

#### 4OA5 Operator Licensing Initial Examinations

#### a. Inspection Scope

The NRC developed the written examinations and the licensee developed the operating tests in accordance with NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Revision 9. The NRC examination team reviewed the proposed examinations. Examination changes agreed upon between the NRC and the licensee were made according to NUREG-1021 and incorporated into the final version of the examination materials.

The examiners reviewed the licensee's examination security measures while preparing and administering the examinations to ensure examination security and integrity complied with 10 CFR 55.49, "Integrity of examinations and tests."

The examiners evaluated four RO and eight SRO applicants who were being assessed under the guidelines specified in NUREG-1021. The examiners administered the operating tests during the period of June 19-28, 2006. Members of the Oconee Nuclear Station training staff administered the written examination on June 30, 2006. The evaluations of the applicants and review of documentation were performed to determine if the applicants, who applied for licenses to operate the Oconee Nuclear Station, met requirements specified in 10 CFR 55, "Operators' Licenses."

#### b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

The NRC determined that the licensee's examination submittal for the operating test was within the range of acceptability expected for a proposed examination.

Four Reactor Operators (RO) and six Senior Reactor Operators (SRO) passed both the operating test and written examination. Two SRO applicants failed the written examination overall, and one of these two applicants also failed the SRO portion of that examination. Each applicant who passed the operating test and written examination with an overall score greater than 82% and SRO-only score greater than 74%, as applicable, was issued an operator license commensurate with the level of examination administered. Four SRO applicants passed the operating test, but passed the written examination with overall scores between 80% and 82%. Each of these applicants was issued a letter stating that they passed the examination and issuance of their license has been delayed pending any written examination appeals that may impact the licensing decision for their application.

The combined RO and SRO written examinations, with knowledge and abilities (K/As), question references/answers and examination references, may be accessed in the ADAMS system (ADAMS Accession Numbers ML062010367 and ML062010364).

The examination team observed generic weaknesses during the dynamic simulator portion of the operating test. These weaknesses were related to diagnosing a sheared shaft on a high pressure injection pump and diagnosing a failed open pressurizer power operated relief valve. Copies of all individual examination reports were sent to the facility Training Manager for evaluation and determination of appropriate remedial training.

### 40A6 Meetings

### Exit Meeting Summary

On June 29, 2006, the examination team discussed generic issues with Mr. Dave Baxter and members of his staff. The inspectors asked the licensee whether any materials examined during the inspection should be considered proprietary. No proprietary information was identified.

# PARTIAL LIST OF PERSONS CONTACTED

### Licensee personnel

- D. Baxter, Station Manager
- N. Constance, Operations Training Manager
- J. Collins, Operations Shift Manager
- J. Steely, Initial Training Supervisor
- R. Robinson, Operations
- J. Weast, Regulatory Compliance
- J. Smith, Regulatory Compliance
- C. Gray, Regulatory Compliance
- K. Schaaf, Training Instructor

### NRC personnel

D. Rich, Senior Resident Inspector

# SIMULATOR FIDELITY REPORT

Facility Licensee: Oconee Nuclear Station

Facility Docket Nos.: 05000269/05000270/05000287

Operating Tests Administered: June 19 - 28, 2006

This form is to be used only to report observations. These observations do not constitute audit or inspection findings and, without further verification and review in accordance with IP 71111.11, are not indicative of noncompliance with 10 CFR 55.46. No licensee action is required in response to these observations.

No simulator fidelity or configuration items were identified.