FOR INCLUSION ON THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION WEB PAGE, THE FOLLOWING EXAMPLE OF AN APPLICATION WAS PREPARED BY THE NRC STAFF TO FACILITATE USE OF THE CONSOLIDATED LINE ITEM IMPROVEMENT PROCESS (CLIIP). THE MODEL PROVIDES THE EXPECTED LEVEL OF DETAIL AND CONTENT FOR AN APPLICATION TO ADOPT TSTF-374, REVISION 0, REVISION TO TS 5.5.13 AND ASSOCIATED TS BASES FOR DIESEL FUEL OIL USING CLIIP. LICENSEES REMAIN RESPONSIBLE FOR ENSURING THAT THEIR ACTUAL APPLICATION FULFILLS THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGULATIONS.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

SUBJECT: PLANT NAME
DOCKET NO. 50-
APPLICATION FOR TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE TSTF-374, REVISION TO TS 5.5.13 AND ASSOCIATED TS BASES FOR DIESEL FUEL OIL USING CONSOLIDATED LINE ITEM IMPROVEMENT PROCESS

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.90 [LICENSEE] is submitting a request for an amendment to the technical specifications (TS) for [PLANT NAME, UNIT NOS.].

The proposed amendment would modify TS by relocating references to specific American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards for fuel oil testing to licensee-controlled documents and adding alternate criteria to the “clear and bright” acceptance test for new fuel oil.

Enclosure 1 provides a description of the proposed change, the requested confirmation of applicability, and plant-specific verifications. Enclosure 2 provides the existing TS pages marked up to show the proposed change. Enclosure 3 provides revised (clean) TS pages. Enclosure 4 provides a summary of the regulatory commitments made in this submittal. Enclosure 5 provides the existing TS Bases pages marked up to show the proposed change (*for information only*).)

[LICENSEE] requests approval of the proposed license amendment by [DATE], with the amendment being implemented [BY DATE OR WITHIN X DAYS].

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91, a copy of this application, with enclosures, is being provided to the designated [STATE] Official.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that I am authorized by [LICENSEE] to make this request and that the foregoing is true and correct. (Note that request may be notarized in lieu of using this oath or affirmation statement).

If you should have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact [NAME, TELEPHONE NUMBER]

Sincerely,
[Name, Title]

Enclosures:
1. Description and Assessment
2. Proposed Technical Specification Changes
3. Revised Technical Specification Pages
4. Regulatory Commitments

cc: NRC Project Manager
NRC Regional Office
NRC Resident Inspector
State Contact

Description and Assessment

1.0 DESCRIPTION

The proposed amendment would modify technical specifications by relocating references to specific American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards for fuel oil testing to licensee-controlled documents and adding alternate criteria to the "clear and bright" acceptance test for new fuel oil.[1]

The changes are consistent with Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved Industry/Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) TSTF-374 Revision 0. The availability of this TS improvement was published in the *Federal Register* on [DATE] as part of the consolidated line item improvement process (CLIIP).

2.0 ASSESSMENT

2.1 Applicability of TSTF-374, and Published Safety Evaluation

[LICENSEE] has reviewed TSTF-374 (Reference 1), and the NRC model safety evaluation (SE) (Reference 2) as part of the CLIIP. [LICENSEE] has concluded that the information in TSTF-374, as well as the SE prepared by the NRC staff are applicable to [PLANT, UNIT NOS.] and justify this amendment for the incorporation of the changes to the [PLANT] TS. [NOTE: Only those changes proposed in TSTF-374 are addressed in the model SE. The model SE addresses the entire fleet of Combustion Engineering, Babcock & Wilcox, Westinghouse Pressurized Water Reactors, General Electric, and Boiling Water Reactor plants. The plants adopting TSTF-374 must confirm the applicability of the changes to their plant.]

2.2 Optional Changes and Variations

[LICENSEE] is not proposing any variations or deviations from the TS changes described in the TSTF-374 or the NRC staff's model safety evaluation dated [DATE]. [NOTE: The CLIIP does not prevent licensees from requesting an alternate approach or proposing changes without the requested Bases or Bases control program. However, deviations from the approach recommended in this notice may require additional review by the NRC staff and may increase the time and resources needed for the review. Significant variations from the approach, or inclusion of additional changes to the license, will result in staff rejection of the submittal. Instead, licensees desiring significant variations and/or additional changes should submit a LAR that does not claim to adopt TSTF-374.]

3.0 REGULATORY ANALYSIS

3.1 No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

[LICENSEE] has reviewed the proposed no significant hazards consideration determination

---

[1][In conjunction with the proposed change, technical specifications (TS) requirements for a Bases Control Program, consistent with the TS Bases Control Program described in Section 5.5 of the applicable vendor's standard TS (STS), shall be incorporated into the licensee's TS, if not already in the TS.]

(NSHCD) published in the *Federal Register* as part of the CLIIP. [LICENSEE] has concluded that the proposed NSHCD presented in the Federal Register notice is applicable to [PLANT] and is hereby incorporated by reference to satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 50.91(a).

3.2 Verification and Commitments

As discussed in the notice of availability published in the *Federal Register* on [DATE] for this TS improvement, plant-specific verifications were performed as follows:

[LICENSEE] commits to the regulatory commitments in Enclosure 4. In addition, [LICENSEE] has proposed TS Bases consistent with TSTF-374, which provide guidance and details on how to implement the new requirements. Finally, [LICENSEE] has a Bases Control Program consistent with Section 5.5 of the Standard Technical Specifications (STS).

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

The amendment changes requirements with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment adopting TSTF-374, Rev 0, involves no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that TSTF-374, Rev 0, involves no significant hazards considerations, and there has been no public comment on the finding in Federal Register Notice 70 FR 74037, February 22, 2006. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 REFERENCES

1. TSTF-374, Revision 0, “Revision to TS 5.5.13 and Associated TS Bases for Diesel Fuel Oil.”

2. NUMARC 93-01, “Industry Guidance for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants.”

3. TSTF-IG-05-02, Implementation Guidance for TSTF-375, Revision 0, “Revision to TS 5.5.13 and Associated TS Bases for Diesel Fuel Oil.”

PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES (MARK-UP)

Enclosure 2

PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION PAGES

[Clean copies of Licensee specific Technical Specification (TS) pages, corresponding to the TS pages changed by TSTF-374, Rev 0, are to be included in Enclosure 3]

PROPOSED CHANGES TO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES PAGES

Enclosure 5

MEMORANDUM TO: [Name], Chief
Plant Licensing Branch [Letter]
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Timothy J. Kobetz, Chief
Technical Specifications Branch
Division of Inspection and Regional Support

VIA: [Name], Chief
[Tech Branch Name]
[Division]

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION INPUT FOR [PLANT NAME] LICENSE AMENDMENT TO ADOPT TSTF-[NUMBER] AS PART OF THE CONSOLIDATED LINE ITEM IMPROVEMENT PROCESS (CLIIP)

The Technical Specifications Branch (ITSB) has completed its plant-specific safety evaluation (SE) in response to [Licensee's] submittal of [Date], which requested adoption of TSTF-[number] as part of the CLIIP. ITSB found the licensee's submittal to be in agreement with the model application, and therefore acceptable. (*Optional)* [All deviations from the model application were addressed by the licensee. ITSB drafted its SE based on the model provided in the NRC Notice of Availability published on [Date] ([ ] FR [ ]) (*optional)* [with the following modifications: list any modifications or deviations].

This completes ITSB's work on TAC number(s) [number(s)]. Based on previous approvals of CLIIP amendments adopting TSTF-[number], ITSB [does/does not] recommend routing the final amendment package through the Office of General Counsel.

CONTACTS: [Name], DIRS/ITSB
415-xxxx

DISTRIBUTION:
ITSB RF TKobetz
[ITSB Reviewer] EThomas

ADAMS ACCESSION NUM BER: ML

OFC	DIRS/ITSB	DIRS/ITSB/BC
NAME	PHearn	TKobetz
DATE	/ /2006	/ /2006

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ENCLOSURE 2

REVIEW PLAN FOR ADOPTION OF TSTF-374

Responsibility	Action	Timeliness*	
		STS Plants	**Non-STS Plants**
NRC Project Manager	Follow LIC-101 procedures. Develop work plan with ITSB as Lead Review Branch	*Time from receipt of licensee's amendment request*	
		10 days (5 hours charged to TRIM)	10 days (10 hours charged to TRIM)
ITSB Reviewer	Perform acceptance review by comparing licensee's submittal with model LAR on ITSB website. Accept or reject LAR as a TSTF-related submittal based on consistency with TSTF-374 & quality of information presented by the licensee.	*Time from receipt of WPC Green Sheet*	
		10 days (5 hours charged to TRIM)	20 days (10 hours charged to TRIM)
ITSB Reviewer	Draft licensee-specific SE based on model SE from the ITSB website.	*Time following completion of acceptance review*	
		20 days (10 hours charged to TRIM)	40 days (20 hours charged to TRIM)

* Licensees who submit incomplete, less detailed, or applications inconsistent with TSTF-374 can expect longer review times, requests for additional information, or LAR rejection.

Plants using Custom Technical Specifications (CTS) can expect a longer review time, as CTS inhibit NRC's ability to review TSTF-related LARs under this accelerated program.