From:

Robert Bores 27

To: Date: Glenn Meyer

Subject:

4/13/04 10:01AM Fwd: Whistleblower at Salem/Hope Creek

Glenn/Dave.
Please see attached e-mail from Jill Lipoti.

I have not responded to Jill.

bob

CC:

**David Vito** 

0<sup>-H<sup>3</sup></sup>

From:

"Jill Lipoti" < Jill.Lipoti@dep.state.nj.us>

To: Date: <RJB@nrc.gov> 4/2/04 2:28PM

Subject:

Whistleblower at Salem/Hope Creek

Bob:

Now that the whistleblower has gone public, we would like to meet with her. It might be advantageous to have NRC also at the meeting, since whatever she told us, we would have to pass along to you anyway. Does that seem feasible? Otherwise, we will have a quiet meeting (probably just Kent Tosch, Gerry Nicholls and I) and will write up our thoughts afterward and raise them.

Jill

From:

Daniel Holody

To: A. Randolph Blough; Anne Passarelli; Brian Holian; Daniel Orr; David Vito; Diane Screnci; Eileen Neff; Ernest Wilson; George Malone; Gilbert Johnson; Glenn Meyer; Hubert J. Miller; James Wiggins; Jeffrey Teator; Karl Farrar; Marc Ferdas; Mel Gray; Neil Sheehan; Raymond Lorson; Scott Barber; Theodore Wingfield; Tracy Walker

Date:

4/13/04 2:03PM

Subject:

Re: Fwd: Whistleblower at Salem/Hope Creek

already asked Dave earlier today to bring up to Lisa during their monthly conference call starting right now

>>> James Wiggins 04/13/04 01:04PM >>> Make sure we cut OE in on the issue....

## >>> David Vito 04/13/04 10:25AM >>>

Please see the attached. NJ-DEP wants to meet with the alleger now that she has gone public. NJ has asked if we want to participate in the meeting. This is new ground for me. I would like management to weigh in on this. There are positives and negatives to partipating in such a meeting.

CC:

Leanne Harrison; Robert Bores; Sharon Johnson

N

From:

**Robert Bores** 

To:

A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; David Vito; Eileen Neff; Ernest Wilson; Glenn

Meyer; Hubert J. Miller; James Wiggins; Jeffrey Teator; Karl Farrar; Scott Barber

Date:

4/13/04 5:20PM

Subject:

Re: Fwd: Whistleblower at Salem/Hope Creek

I contacted NJ (Kent Tosch, Jill wasn't available) this afternoon to discuss the meeting. Kent said that the individual met with Kent, Jill and Gerry Nicholls yesterday. Kent said NJ asked whether the information had also been given to the NRC and she said it had been. Kent said they made no promises to her. He didn't detect any new information which NJ didn't know about from visits to the site. He said if NJ finds something new NJ would bring it to our attention. He did relate that in response to a question from NJ, she said she thought the NRC actions were excellent in responding to her issues.

ο\

>>> Ernest Wilson 04/13/04 02:39PM >>>

Dave,

From OI's perspective, we do not see any need for our presence at the meeting. Depending on NRC protocol, if appropriate, the staff should probably consider having someone present in case something new (technical issue) arises. This would save turnaround time for the State since they've said the results of their meeting will be provided to the NRC anyway. Just a thought.

**Ernie** 

لأله

>>> David Vito 04/13/04 10:25AM >>>

Please see the attached. NJ-DEP wants to meet with the alleger now that she has gone public. NJ has asked if we want to participate in the meeting. This is new ground for me. I would like management to weigh in on this. There are positives and negatives to partipating in such a meeting.

CC:

Daniel Holody; Leanne Harrison; Sharon Johnson

Jo1