

Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0110
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
ARB Date: 12/01/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Current Actions on Tech Issues and SCWE

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee? Issue will not be referred to licensee

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito OI Rep. - Neff
RI Counsel - Farrar Others - J White, Holody, Barber, Wingfield, Urban

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

- 1) DRP to evaluate whether SCWE inspection should be conducted. Continue with the joint staff/OI review currently ongoing. (Efforts will be made to interview Shift Managers and CRS over the next month).

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/15/03
Completed: _____

- 2) Review survey results from the recent ECP SCWE surveys, Gallup Q12 survey and Winston and Strawn assessment of SCWE for the purpose of understanding the licensee's response to the alleger's issue brought to the licensee previously. NRC reviewers will provide an assessment of the adequacy of PSEG's SCWE reviews and the legitimacy of PSEG's conclusions. (Review in progress)

Responsible Person: Meyer/Urban
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/5/2003
Completed: _____

- 3) DRP will continue to update the summary of technical issues on weekly basis considering information from additional information from interviews, and information from review of transcripts of completed interviews. DRS has completed review of TARP reports and NRB documentation and will discuss at the next ARB panel. DRP/DRS to assess.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Jackson
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: Ongoing
Completed: _____

- 4) No indications of potential wrongdoing (other than the alleged H&I issues) have been identified to date. Repanel if indications of wrongdoing are identified.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: Bi-Weekly
Completed: _____

- 5) Next periodic ARB

Responsible Person: SAC
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/18/03
Completed: _____

0-88

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: SCWE Review

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: High

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Next ARB will include a discussion of suggestions for binning inputs related to SCWE (e.g., management production vs. safety pressure, non-conservative decision making, union pressures to suppress concerns identification, etc.) And how that will feed into the overall SCWE assessment.

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)