

From: Scott Barber
To: Theodore Wingfield
Date: 12/24/03 2:30PM
Subject: Re: change in scoping document

Regarding the second item.....No, I'm suggesting that we (as qualified NRC inspectors) decide if an issue needs more inspection to see if it's a safety problem or a violation of some sort.

>>> Theodore Wingfield 12/19/03 01:54PM >>>
(Eileen FYI)

Scott,
-Tech issue development item - I agree.
-Recommended inspection activity item - I'm confused ... Are you saying that you want to ask these interviewees what they think we should inspect?

>>> Scott Barber 12/19/03 01:11PM >>>
I think we need to add an item to understand any technical issues that are mentioned to determine if there is a possibility of residual safety problems. We should also note any recommended inspection activity to confirm/refute the existence of safety problems or regulatory issues.

>>> Eileen Neff 12/19/03 11:21AM >>>
Scott/Ted,
I think that adding the last line might be useful-some interviews go in this direction and it will bring out the positive as well as negative aspects for use in the final report. Is there anything you would like to add or change?

pl

01

0-81