

July 17, 2006

MEMORANDUM TO: Martin J. Virgilio
Deputy Executive Director for Materials, Research,
State and Compliance Programs
Office of the Executive Director for Operations

Janet R. Schlueter, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs

Jack R. Strosnider, Director
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

Karen D. Cyr, General Counsel

FROM: Aaron T. McCraw, Health Physicist */RA/*
Office of State and Tribal Programs

SUBJECT: JULY 26, 2006 SPECIAL MRB MEETING

A Special Management Review Board (MRB) meeting to discuss the results of periodic meetings with Agreement States has been scheduled for **Wednesday, July 26, 2006, from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. EST, in One White Flint North, Room O-8-B4**. The periodic meeting summary reports of the following States will be discussed: Nevada, North Dakota, and Tennessee. The periodic meeting summary reports for each State are enclosed.

In accordance with Management Directive 5.6, the meeting is open to the public. The agenda for this meeting is enclosed.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (301) 415-1277.

Enclosures:
As stated

cc: Edgar Bailey, TX
Organization of Agreement States
Liaison to the MRB

Management Review Board Members

July 17, 2006

Distribution: DCD (SP01)

DIR RF

CMaupin, STP

JPalotay, STP

SSalomon, STP

Duncan White, RI

LMcLean, RIV

MOrendi, STP

RStruckmeyer, NMSS/IMNS

CMiller, NMSS/IMNS

STreby, OGC

AMauer, OEDO

AKock, OEDO

SUNSI Review Complete

: Publicly Available Non-Publicly Available

: Non-Sensitive Sensitive

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\FileNET\ML061990499.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	STP								
NAME	ATMcCraw:kk								
DATE	7/17/06								

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV
611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400
ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-4005**

March 21, 2006

Karen Beckley
Radiological Health Section
Bureau of Health Protection Services
Nevada State Health Division
1179 Fairview Drive, Suite 102
Carson City, Nevada 87901-5405

Dear Ms. Beckley:

A periodic meeting with Nevada was held on March 2, 2006. The purpose of this meeting was to review and discuss the status of Nevada's Agreement State Program. The NRC was represented by me from NRC's Region IV office, and Mr. John Zabko from the Office of State and Tribal Programs.

I have completed and enclosed a general meeting summary, including any specific actions that will be taken as a result of the meeting.

If you feel that our conclusions do not accurately summarize the meeting discussion, or have any additional remarks about the meeting in general, please contact me at (817) 860-8116 or e-mail MLM1@NRC.GOV to discuss your concerns.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Linda McLean
State Agreements Officer
Region IV

cc:
Janet Schlueter, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs

bcc: (via ADAMS e-mail distribution):
DRathbun, STP
LWert
CCain
VCampbell
JZabko, STP
AMcCraw, STP

SUNSI Review Completed: ADAMS: **Yes** No Initials: _mlm_____

ADAMS: Yes No Initials: _mlm_____

Publicly Available Non-Publicly Available Sensitive Non-Sensitive

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\FileNET\ML061990499.wpd final r:_dnms

RIV:RSAO				
MLMcLean				
03/ /06				

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

T=Telephone

E=E-mail

F=Fax

Nevada Periodic Meeting Summary
Date of Meeting: March 2, 2006

Attendees:

State of Nevada
Karen Beckley, Manager
Larry Boschult
Adrian Howell

NRC
Linda McLean, RIV
John Zabko, STP

The Nevada Agreement State program is administered by the Radiological Health Section (the Section). The Section is located within the Bureau of Health Protection Services, which is part of the State Health Division. The State Health Division reports to the Department of Human Resources. Within the Section, there are two offices (Carson City and Las Vegas). Both offices have the responsibility for the inspection of radioactive materials licenses and response to radioactive materials incidents. In addition, both offices are responsible for machine produced radiation and mammography. Program management and radioactive material licensing is based in the Carson City office.

The last Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Review was conducted during the week of March 15-18, 2005. At the conclusion of the review, the team recommended that Nevada's performance be found satisfactory for all eight performance indicators reviewed. Accordingly, the review team recommended that the Nevada Agreement State program be found adequate to protect public health and safety and compatible with NRC's program, and that the next full review take place in approximately four years. The review team also recommended that the period of monitoring of the State be discontinued.

Status of State's actions to address all open previous IMPEP review findings and/or open recommendations. Below are the IMPEP recommendations:

1. *The review team recommended that the State develop and implement a staffing plan to fill current vacancies, meet growing program needs and maintain long-term program stability.*

Status: Two radiation control specialists' positions have been filled. Both are scheduled for training. One is scheduled for an inspection procedures course, and one is scheduled for the 5-week health physics course. These two positions will provide 1.5 FTE to the materials program. There are 17 staff positions in the program, including one temporary clerical position. Three vacancies still exist in the materials and x-ray programs. The postings for these openings are out. It is recommended that this item remain open.

2. *The review team recommends that the Section revise their inspection procedures and provide training to implement a policy for timely and orderly license termination of licensed materials not in use.*

Status: Currently there are four licensees that are either missing or do not have a current license due to non-payment of fees. The section is continuing their investigation on these licensees. Procedures have been revised to identify delinquent licensees to

Nevada Periodic Meeting Summary

find and to timely terminate delinquent licenses. It is recommended that this item remain open.

3. *The review team recommends that the Section develop, implement and maintain a reliable and comprehensive licensing and inspection database that serves as an effective and efficient planning, tracking and management tool.*

Status: The same database is being used as during the IMPEP; however, the Section has evaluated other States (MA and KS) databases as an option to improve theirs. Money is being set aside to develop new programs. An IT person has been hired in the Health Department and administrative support is being requested to help develop the database.

While the new database is being developed, the Section has developed alternative solutions for the problems with its present database to make it a workable tool. The current database is functioning well enough so that inspections are conducted on time, and the Section is confident of the status of its inspections. It is recommended that this item remain open.

Other topics discussed:

Strengths and/or weaknesses of the State program as identified by the State or NRC including identification of actions that could diminish weaknesses.

Strengths: The Section has experienced personnel and enthusiastic new hires. Necessary overtime has been supported by the Bureau (overtime was approved to prevent inspections from becoming overdue). The Section is fully fee funded; therefore there is money available for training, new equipment, etc.

Weaknesses: Filling vacancies is the most significant weakness for the Section. It has been hard to compete with local businesses due to the State's salary structure.

Status of State Program including:

- a. Staffing and training: This item is discussed under the IMPEP recommendations.

- b. Materials Inspection Program:

Discuss the status of the inspection program including if an inspection backlog exists and the steps being taken to work off backlog. Currently there is no backlog of inspections. However, overtime has been necessary to ensure that inspections are completed on time.

- c. Regulations and Legislative changes:

Discuss status of State's regulations and actions to keep regulations up to date, including the use of legally binding requirements. The State Regulation Status (SRS) Data Sheet report was discussed. The Section has kept up with the regulation changes. There are two regulations that are behind schedule.

Nevada Periodic Meeting Summary

d. Program reorganizations:

Discuss any changes in program organization including program/staff relocations and new appointments. The Section promoted one individual to a new supervisory position in the Carson City office.

e. Changes in Program budget/funding. No changes are expected.

Event Reporting, including follow-up and closure information in NMED. Six events were entered into NMED during this period. No problems were identified.

Response to Incidents and Allegations:

a. Status of allegations and concerns referred by the NRC for action. No allegations were referred to the Section during this period.

b. Significant events and generic implications. Nothing to report.

Information exchange and discussion:

a. Current State initiatives: The Section has purchased and is using tablet computers for inspection activities. They are establishing protocols with other State and Federal organizations to share security issues and for information exchange, and is also developing procedures to integrate radioactive material response/information exchange with them.

b. Emerging technologies: Nothing to report.

c. Large, complicated or unusual authorizations for use of radioactive materials, including, (e.g., major decommissioning and license termination actions). Nothing to report

d. State's mechanisms to evaluate performance (as applicable):

i) *Self audits:* The Section has no formal written self audit program; however, the Section tracks key Program elements to ensure progress in all areas of the Agreement.

ii) *Computer tracking:* This was previously discussed.

iii) *Inspector accompaniments:* The inspector accompaniments for 2005 were completed. The accompaniments for 2006 have been started.

iv) *Other management tools:* The Section Chief conducts monthly Carson City and Las Vegas offices section meetings. Also the Section Chief travels to Las Vegas every other month for face to face meetings. The Section Chief is encouraging continuing education training and team building for the staff. In addition, the Bureau is promoting technology use in the Section with new computers, cell phones etc.

e. NRC current initiatives. Increased controls inspections were discussed. The Section

Nevada Periodic Meeting Summary

sent two new inspectors to the security training instead of qualified inspectors because of a misunderstanding of what was needed. The Section may need to send their qualified inspectors this year once the Section determines the prioritization of inspections. Thirteen increased controls inspections are due over the next three years.

Other topics discussed:

NRC's method of verifying the proper handling of safeguards at the SLO level.

The integration of security clearances amongst the federal government.

The handling of safeguards information.

The Section's status of returning the SS&D program (no decision had been made yet).

Schedule for the next Periodic Meeting: FY 2007

Schedule for the next IMPEP review: FY 2009



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I
475 ALLENDALE ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406-1415

June 5, 2006

Lawrence E. Nanney, Director
Division of Radiological Health
L & C Annex, Third Floor
401 Church Street
Nashville, TN 37243-1532

Dear Mr. Nanney:

A periodic meeting with the Tennessee Division of Radiological Health was held on April 27, 2006. The purpose of the meeting was to review and discuss the status of the Tennessee Agreement State program. The NRC was represented by Andrew Mauer from the NRC's Office of State and Tribal Programs and me. Specific topics and issues of importance discussed at the meeting included the Division's turnover in staff, implementation of increased controls and actions taken in response to the recommendations from the last Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program review.

I have completed and enclosed a general meeting summary, included any specific actions that will be taken as a result of the meeting.

If you feel that our conclusions do not accurately summarize the meeting discussions, or have any additional remarks about the meeting in general, please contact me at (610) 337-5042 or by e-mail at adw@nrc.gov to discuss your concerns.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Duncan White

Duncan White, CHP
Regional State Agreements Officer
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety

Enclosure: As stated

cc:
A. Mauer, STP

L. Nanney
Tennessee Division of Radiological Health

2

DISTRIBUTION

RIDS SPO1
G. Pangburn, RI
F. Costello, RI
R. Trojanowski, RII
D. Rathbun, STP
A. McCraw, STP
O. Siurano, STP

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\FileNET\ML061990499.wpd

SISP Review Complete: DWhite

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it will be released to the Public.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy w/o attach/encl "E" = Copy w/ attach/encl "N" = No copy

OFFICE	DNMS/RI	N	DNMS/RI	DNMS/RI		
NAME	DWhite/ADW					
DATE	06/05/06					

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

AGREEMENT STATE PERIODIC MEETING SUMMARY FOR
TENNESSEE DIVISION OF RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH

DATE OF MEETING: April 27, 2006

ATTENDEES:

Duncan White, RSAO
Andrew Mauer, ASPO
Tracy Carter, Senior Director, Air Resources Group
Eddie Nanney, Director, Division of Radiological Health (DRH)
Debra Shults, Deputy Director, DRH
Johnny Graves, Manager, Licensing, Registration and Planning
Roger Fenner, Health Physics Consultant
Ruben Crosslin, Manager, Technical Services
Mary Helen Short, Administrative Assistant Director
Beth Murphy, Regulations

DISCUSSION:

A. IMPEP Recommendations

There were three recommendations from the last Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review of the Tennessee program that occurred February 23-25, 2004.

1. The review team recommends that the Division promptly adopt the current version of 10 CFR 20.2003. (Section 4.1.2)

Current Status: The State regulations will be effective July 2, 2006, with the current version of 10 CFR 20.2003. DRH will provide a copy of the final version to the NRC for review. It is recommended that this item be verified at the next IMPEP review.

2. The review team recommends that the Division acquire or provide a mechanism for staff to have access to expertise commensurate with the complexity of SS&D casework. (Section 4.2.2)

Current Status: SS&D reviewers have been instructed to bring technical issues requiring outside expertise to the Section Manager. A few issues have been raised since the last IMPEP review and all have been successfully addressed. In one case, the DRH asked the NRC to review the State's evaluation of a vibrational issue involving a fixed gauge. It is recommended that this item be verified at the next IMPEP review.

3. The review team recommends that the Division prepare registration certificates consistent with the current version of NUREG-1556, Volume 3. (Section 4.2.2)

Current Status: The correct format and content for SS&D sheets were discussed with the reviewers. The sheets maintained by the program have been reviewed and corrected for format and content as needed. It is recommended that this item be verified at the next IMPEP review.

B. Program Status

One of the ongoing challenges faced by DRH has been the retention of inspection staff. Since the last IMPEP review, 10 individuals have left the program (eight were involved with Agreement State activities). Despite a Statewide hiring freeze, DRH has been able to routinely get releases for the positions and hire replacements. Of the 10 vacated positions, seven replacements have been hired and DRH is proceeding to fill the other three. An important change over the last two years has been the ability of DRH to get training approved, particularly out-of-state training. The Program is using a combination of in-house training and NRC courses for the new inspectors. Most inspectors first gain experience with x-ray inspections before they perform materials inspections. It takes DRH approximately two years to make the inspector productive for materials inspections. DRH management noted that the Department's senior management has been very supportive of the program and their need to get positions filled and individuals trained. Related to staff retention has been the low pay scales for State employees. The State legislature considered providing some increase in pay for long-term employees, but it did not pass this legislative session.

Another challenge currently facing the program is the high level of effort and participation required of DRH for emergency response activities. In addition to the two commercial nuclear power plants in the State, the Department of Energy's (DOE) annual exercises at three major facilities in the State (ORNL, K-25 and Y-12) have put a strain on DRH resources. Although DRH did note that they have a good working relationship with the DOE's Radiological Assistance Program and are kept informed of DOE's activities in this area, the Division is not funded for these activities. DRH is also supporting emergency response activities being conducted by DOE related to emergency response and antiterrorism efforts. Again, DRH is not funded for these activities.

One of the program's strengths is the number of long-term employees that provide stability to the program, particularly in the area of licensing. DRH management does recognize the need for transition planning to replace those long-term individuals in technical and management positions within the Division in the coming years.

DRH noted a number of items regarding the State's interaction with the NRC. DRH is concerned that the implementation of some recent requests appear not to be well thought out and with little time provided to get the request done. Recognizing that some of these requests were the results of Congressional commitments and inquiries, DRH suggested that NRC should not be rushed and do things the right way, even if that means to push back at Congress. DRH indicated that if NRC chose to push back, the States would support NRC's position. The State also discussed their concerns with the proposed definition of byproduct material and compatibility level under the NARM rulemaking. Finally, DRH management expressed their appreciation of how the STP Director's handled a number of recent conference calls with the States.

Despite the turnover in the inspection staff, the Division indicated that routine inspections are being performed in accordance with Inspection Manual Chapter 2800. There is currently one overdue inspection of a priority two licensee. This inspection has been scheduled for completion. DRH management noted that the Division has a new computer tracking system for

all inspection activities which is available to all field offices. This system has been helpful in ensuring the timely completion of inspections since it provides real-time feedback on the status of any inspection. DRH management indicated that annual inspector accompaniments are being completed in a timely manner.

With regard to the implementation of increased controls, the State has five inspectors who received the security training. The Division recently performed its first increased controls inspection. DRH plans to send additional individuals for security training and increase the number of inspections during the second half of the year. DRH management indicated that the need to perform a significant number of increase controls inspections may have an impact on the routine inspections.

The Region I office has referred six allegations to the State for follow up, including two for a particular facility that required a significant amount of effort on the part of DRH inspection staff. NRC staff determined that the State has taken appropriate action with the referrals. The most recently referred matter is currently being investigated. With regard to events, DRH indicated that there has been no significant events or events with generic implications.

Based on a review of the Nuclear Materials Event Database (NMED), NRC staff noted that there were 60 events in Tennessee since the last IMPEP review. A total of 37 events required reporting to the NRC. It was noted that nearly all of those events were closed in NMED.

DRH reported that the number of pending licensing actions has not changed substantially since the last IMPEP. There are a number of large waste processors located in Tennessee. DRH noted that this industry is currently undergoing consolidation and restructuring which has resulted in a number of licensing amendments and restructuring of financial assurance packages. The Division also noted that they are currently involved with a significant decommissioning action involving ATG. Regarding the SS&D program, there are eight manufacturers in the State, no change since the last IMPEP review. Over the last two years, DRH has issued one new registration sheet, inactivated one sheet, removed one sheet and issued 27 amendments to existing sheets.

There has been no legislative changes since the last review. A legislation proposal to provide notification of local governments of new license applications or new locations of use is not likely to pass. Even if this legislative proposal became law, DRH has already implemented a similar notification policy since last June. Effective July 1, 2006, the State's radon program will be transferred to DRH. Currently, 92.5% of the Division's budget comes from fees that are maintained in a dedicated fund with the balance of the budget coming from general appropriations. Fees were last adjusted in 2001. There has been no significant change in the program's budget the last two years. DRH management briefly discussed the reporting of routine program information to upper management in the Department and the State legislature.

NRC staff described the current status of NRC's security initiatives involving material licensees, status of the Commission regarding the Chairman's term ending and the two Commissioner serving under recess appointments, management changes at the Region and headquarters, and the current status of NRC's activities with regard to the Energy Policy Act.

NRC staff reviewed the most recent State Regulation Status (SRS) Sheet dated April 5, 2005, with DRH staff. In the table below, the current status and the State's next action of RATS ID that were listed as either not done or partially completed are presented.

RATS ID	Status on SRS Sheet	Current Status	State's Next Actions
1994-3	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2004	Under Department review - to be completed in 2006	Provide final version to NRC for review when rule becomes final
1995-6	No activity indicated	DRH currently working on draft regulations	Provide draft version to NRC for review
1996-3	No activity indicated	DRH currently working on draft regulations	Provide draft version to NRC for review
1998-5	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2003	Adopted as final rule effective 1/31/06	Provide final version to NRC for review
1998-6	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2003	Adopted as final rule effective 1/31/06	Provide final version to NRC for review
1999-3	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2003	Adopted as final rule effective 1/31/06	Provide final version to NRC for review
2000-1	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2003	Adopted as final rule effective 1/31/06	Provide final version to NRC for review
2000-2	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2003	Adopted as final rule effective 1/31/06	Provide final version to NRC for review
2001-1	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2004	Submitted for Department legal review - to be completed in 2006	Provide final version to NRC for review
2001-2	NRC reviewed draft regulation in 2004	Submitted for Department legal review - to be completed in 2006	Provide final version to NRC for review
2002-2	No activity indicated	DRH currently working on draft regulations	Provide draft version to NRC for review
2003-1	No activity indicated	DRH will start work on drafting regulations at a later date	Plan to adopt as regulations
2004-1	No activity indicated	DRH will start work on drafting regulations at a later date	Plan to adopt as regulations
2005-1	No activity indicated	DRH will start work on drafting regulations at a later date	Plan to adopt as regulations
2005-2	No activity indicated	DRH currently working on draft regulations	Provide draft version to NRC for review

C. Conclusions

NRC staff concluded that the next IMPEP review should be conducted as scheduled in FY 2008. DRH management was invited to participate when NRC staff presents the results of this periodic meeting to the Management Review Board. No specific actions were identified as a result of this meeting.



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV
611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400
ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-4005**

May 31, 2006

Mr. Kenneth Wangler
Division of Air Quality
Radiation and Air Program
North Dakota Department of Health
918 East Divide Avenue
Bismarck, ND 58501-1947

Dear Mr. Wangler:

A periodic meeting with North Dakota was held on May 2, 2006. The purpose of this meeting was to review and discuss the status of North Dakota's Agreement State Program. The NRC was represented by me and William Maier from the Region IV office and Cardelia Maupin from the Office of State and Tribal Programs.

I have completed and enclosed a general meeting summary, including any specific actions resulting from the discussions.

If you feel that our conclusions do not accurately summarize the meeting discussion, or have any additional remarks about the meeting in general, please contact me at (817) 860-8116 or email mlm1@nrc.gov to discuss your concerns.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Linda McLean
Regional State Agreements Officer

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
Janet Schlueter, Director, STP

North Dakota Department of Health

bcc: (via ADAMS e-mail distribution):

LWert
CCain
VCampbell
WMaier
CMaupin, STP
KSchneider, STP
AMcCraw, STP
MBurgess, NMSS

SUNSI Review Completed: ADAMS: **Yes/** No Initials: mlm

ADAMS: Yes 9No Initials: mlm

Publicly Available 9Non-Publicly Available 9Sensitive Non-Sensitive

DOCUMENT NAME: draft: E:\FileNET\ML061990499.wpd

RIV:RSAO				
LMcLean				
/RA/				
5/ 31 /2006				

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

T=Telephone

E=E-mail

F=Fax

ENCLOSURE

North Dakota Periodic Meeting
Date of Meeting: May 2, 2006

ATTENDEES:

STATE

Ken Wangler, Manager, Radiation and Indoor Air
Terry O'Clair, Director, Division of Air Quality
James Killingbeck, Environmental Scientist, RAM Licensing and Control
Chris Schmaltz, Environmental Scientist, RAM Licensing and Control

NRC

Linda McLean, Regional State Agreements Officer, Region IV
Cardelia Maupin, Agreement State Project Officer, Office of State and Tribal Programs
William Maier, Regional State Liaison Officer, RIV

DISCUSSION:

The last IMPEP Review was the week of April 22 - 25, 2003. The review team found North Dakota's performance to be satisfactory for all performance indicators. The review team recommended that the State program be found adequate and compatible with NRC's program, and that the next full review should be in approximately four years.

The North Dakota Agreement State program is administered by the Radiation Program (the Program), Division of Air Quality, North Dakota Department of Health (the Department). The Department is the designated radiation control agency. The North Dakota Agreement State program regulates 70 specific licenses authorizing Agreement materials.

Program items discussed:

1. *Status of State's actions to address all open previous IMPEP review findings and/or open recommendations.* The review found North Dakota's performance to be satisfactory for all performance indicators. No recommendations were made.
2. *Strengths and/or weaknesses of the State program as identified by the State or NRC including identification of actions that could diminish weaknesses.*

Strengths: The program has an excellent working relationship with the staff; good senior management support for the program; stable management; and good rapport with licensees. The recent relocation of the Department of Health has improved communications since all departments are now co-located.

Weaknesses: One staff member (50% of staff) resigned in the of Summer 2005; the position was filled in December 2005. Consequently, the program is under stress to keep the program from getting behind in inspections and licensing actions. Another significant weakness is the State's salary structure which impacts the ability to retain qualified staff.

3. *Feedback on NRC's program as identified by the State and including identification of any action that should be considered by NRC.*

The State suggested again (RE: 2004 Periodic Meeting summary) that the NRC use more distance education (i.e. video training) similar to the FDA and EPA programs. The State commented on the additional work load due to the increased controls inspection activities, and the large volume of e-mail messages received from STP. Also discussed was the new definition of byproduct material which may cause problems in the future.

4. *Status of State Program including:*

- a. Staffing and training:

- i) *Number of staff in the program and status of their training and qualifications*

- Three of eight department staff members resigned in 2005 or were transferred to another department. One staff was in the radioactive materials program. The program is providing the necessary training to the new staff member so that he can be qualified as soon as possible.

- ii) *Program vacancies:* Two vacancies in another program area.

- iii) *Staff turnover:* Discussed above.

- iv) *Adequacy of FTEs for the materials program:* When fully staffed and trained the program appears to be adequately staffed. However, losing one staff member can cause program problems. It was suggested that the program manager review STP Procedure SA-700 to evaluate the adequacy of the FTEs for the materials program. The evaluation should include the increased controls activities.

- b. Materials Inspection Program:

- Discuss the status of the inspection program including if an inspection backlog exists and the steps being taken to work off backlog:* Currently, the program is overdue on one inspection; however, they expect to have more overdue inspections this year because of the staffing shortage. This is the first time in four years that an inspection is overdue.

- c. Regulations and Legislative changes: All regulations are up-to-date.

- d. Program reorganizations: None pending

- e. Changes in Program budget/funding: A draft rule has been issued requesting increased license fees. License fees fund 75% of the program and 25% comes from general funds.

Another proposed bill in the legislature requests an increase in the department's salary structure. A 2% increase in salaries occurred on April 1, 2006, and a second increase of 4% should occur in July 2006; however, the base level is lower than other State departments. The State said that a letter of support from the NRC could be of value in their pursuit of an increase in pay for the staff.

5. *Event Reporting, including follow-up and closure information in NMED:* There were no reportable events during this review period.

6. *Response to Incidents and Allegations:*

a. Status of allegations and concerns referred by the NRC for action: There were no allegations referred to the State during this review period.

b. Significant events and generic implications: None

7. *Information exchange and discussion:*

a. Current State initiatives: None at this time

b. Emerging technologies: None to report

c. Large, complicated or unusual authorizations for use of radioactive materials, including, (e.g., major decommissioning and license termination actions):

A major decommissioning activity is being discussed. An old Union Carbide site contaminated with uranium coal ash is adjacent to railroad owned property. The site was characterized many years ago. The Department of Energy (DOE) and the State committed to decontaminate the property with DOE funding 90% of the cost and the State 10%. Because fiscal problems, the State pulled out of the transaction. The DOE then took the site off their list. The railroad is wanting to sell their property and is concerned about the contamination. The property is not fenced off and nothing has been done to the land. There is also an old Kerr McGee site in a similar condition.

d. State's mechanisms to evaluate performance (as applicable):

i) *Computer tracking:* The program has a tracking system for licensing actions and inspections. The manager reviews the inspection and licensing data frequently.

ii) *Inspector accompaniments:* All accompaniments have been completed.

8. *Other topics:*

q The State said that a letter of support from the NRC could be of value in their pursuit of an increase in pay for the staff.

The State indicated they had received calls from the U.S. Customs regarding radioactive materials detected at the border of North Dakota and Canada.

NRC discussed the proper handling of Safeguard Information (SGI), including the importance of maintaining a log of the receipt and the final disposition of the material.

The State requested NMED training either in RIV or a nearby State.

9. *Schedule for the next IMPEP review:* FY2007.

**Agenda for Management Review Board Meeting
July 26, 2006, 1:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m., O-8-B4**

1. Announcement of Public Meeting to all attendees and request for identification of any members of the public participating in this meeting.
2. MRB Chair convenes meeting. Introduction of MRB members, Agreement State representatives, and other participants. (OAS Liaison is Edgar Bailey of Texas)
3. Discussion of Periodic Meetings:
 - a. Nevada (March 2, 2006) - ML060860235 - McLean/Zabko
 - b. Tennessee (April 27, 2006) - ML061580070 - White/Mauer
 - c. North Dakota (May 2, 2006) - ML061520048 - McLean/Maupin
4. Establishment of Precedents/Lessons Learned
5. Adjournment

Invitees:	Martin Virgilio, EDO	Duncan White, RI
	Janet Schlueter, STP	Linda McLean, RIV
	Jack Strosnider, NMSS	Aaron McCraw, STP
	Karen Cyr, OGC	Stephen Salomon, STP
	Edgar Bailey, TX	Joshua Palotay, STP
	Karen Beckley, NV	Cardelia Maupin, STP
	Eddie Nanney, TN	Dennis Rathbun, STP
	Debra Shults, TN	Monica Orendi, STP
	Kenneth Wangler, ND	Andrew Mauer, OEDO
	Andrea Kock, OEDO	