



July 5, 2006  
AET 06-0084

Mr. Jack R. Strosnider  
Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards  
Attention: Document Control Desk  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

**American Centrifuge Plant  
Docket Number 70-7004**

**Submission of Supplemental Information Regarding the Environmental Report for the American Centrifuge Plant (TAC Nos. L32306, L32307, and L32308) – Proprietary Information**

**INFORMATION TRANSMITTED HERewith IS PROTECTED FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AS CONFIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL OR FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS PURSUANT TO 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4)**

Dear Mr. Strosnider:

Pursuant to Reference 1, USEC Inc. (USEC) is submitting a revised supplemental reference depicting the 2006 calendar year cost estimate for the decommissioning of the 7 million separative work unit capacity plant related to a Request for Additional Information for the Environmental Report for the American Centrifuge Plant as Enclosure 1 of this letter. This replaces the supplemental reference previously submitted to the NRC by USEC letter AET 06-0062 dated June 5, 2006.

Enclosure 1 of this letter contains Proprietary Information; therefore, USEC requests that this enclosure be withheld from the public disclosure pursuant to 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) 2.390(a)(4). An affidavit required by 10 CFR 2.390(b)(1)(ii) is provided in Enclosure 2.

Mr. Jack R. Strosnider  
July 5, 2006  
AET 06-0084, Page 2

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Peter J. Miner at (301) 564-3470.

Sincerely,

S. A. Toelle

Steven A. Toelle  
Director, Regulatory Affairs

cc: M. Blevins, NRC HQ  
S. Echols, NRC HQ  
B. Smith, NRC HQ

Enclosures: As Stated

Reference:

1. USEC letter AET 06-0082 dated June 30, 2006 from S.A. Toelle to J.R. Strosnider regarding Submittal of Planned Changes to the License Application and Supporting Documents for the American Centrifuge Plant (TAC Nos. L32306, L32307, and L32308).

**AFFIDAVIT OF STEVEN A. TOELLE  
SUPPORTING APPLICATION TO WITHHOLD FROM  
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE CERTAIN INFORMATION CONTAINED IN  
ENCLOSURE 1 FOR THE AMERICAN CENTRIFUGE PLANT**

I, Steven A. Toelle, of USEC Inc. (USEC), having been duly sworn, do hereby affirm  
and state:

1. I have been authorized by USEC to (a) review the information owned by USEC which is referenced herein relating the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Request for Additional Information for the American Centrifuge Plant and which USEC seeks to have withheld from public disclosure pursuant to section 147 of the *Atomic Energy Act* (AEA), as amended, 42 U.S.C § 2167, and 10 CFR 2.390(a)(3), 2.390(a)(4), 2.390(d)(1) and 9.17(a)(4), and (b) apply for the withholding of such information from public disclosure by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on behalf of USEC.
  
2. Consistent with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390(b)(4) of the Commission's regulations, the following is furnished for consideration by the Commission in determining whether the information sought to be withheld from public disclosure should be withheld.
  - i. The information sought to be withheld from public disclosure is owned and has been held in confidence by USEC.
  - ii. The information is of a type customarily held in confidence by USEC and not customarily disclosed to the public. USEC has a rational basis for determining the types of information customarily held in confidence by it and, in that connection, utilizes a system to determine when and whether to hold certain types of information in confidence. The application of that system and the substance of that system constitute USEC policy and provide the rational basis

required. Under that system, information is held in confidence if it falls in one or more of several types, the release of which might result in the loss of an existing or potential competitive advantage, as follows:

- a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.) where presentation of its use by any of USEC's competitors without license from USEC constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
  - b) It consists of supporting data, including test data, relative to a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.), the application of which data secures a competitive economic advantage (e.g., by optimization or improved marketability).
  - c) Its use by a competitor would reduce their expenditure of resources or improve their competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing a similar product.
  - d) It reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of USEC, its customers or suppliers.
  - e) It reveals aspects of past, present, or future USEC or customer funded development plans and programs of potential commercial value to USEC.
  - f) It contains patentable ideas, for which patent protection may be desirable.
  - g) It reveals information concerning the terms and conditions, work performed, administration, performance under or extension of contracts with its customers or suppliers.
- iii. There are sound policy reasons behind the USEC system which include the following:
- a) The use of such information by USEC gives USEC a competitive advantage over its competitors. It is, therefore, withheld from disclosure to protect the USEC competitive position.

- b) It is information, which is marketable in many ways. The extent to which such information is available to competitors diminishes USEC's ability to sell products and services involving the use of the information.
  - c) Use by our competitors would put USEC at a competitive disadvantage by reducing their expenditure of resources at USEC expense.
  - d) Each component of proprietary information pertinent to a particular competitive advantage is potentially as valuable as the total competitive advantage. If competitors acquire components or proprietary information, any one component may be the key to the entire puzzle, thereby depriving USEC of a competitive advantage.
  - e) Unrestricted disclosure would jeopardize the position of prominence of USEC in the world market, and thereby give a market advantage to the competition of those countries.
  - f) The USEC capacity to invest corporate assets in research and development depends upon the success in obtaining and maintaining a competitive advantage.
- iv. The information is being transmitted to the Commission in confidence and, under the provisions of 10 CFR Section 2.390, it is to be received in confidence by the Commission.
- v. The information sought to be protected is not available in public sources or available information has not been previously employed in the same original manner or method to the best of our knowledge and belief.
3. The proprietary information sought to be withheld is contained in Enclosure 1 to USEC letter AET 06-0084. Specifically, Enclosure 1 contains a revised supplemental reference depicting the 2006 calendar year cost estimate for the decommissioning of the 7 million separative work unit capacity plant related to the Request for Additional Information for the tails contingency costs for

the American Centrifuge Plant. The proprietary information contained in Enclosure 4 replaces the supplemental reference previously submitted to the NRC by USEC letter AET 06-0062 dated June 5, 2006. Public disclosure of this proprietary information is likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of USEC because it may enhance the ability of competitors to position and provide similar products. Moreover, disclosure of the details of the cost estimate may provide insights into USEC's forward pricing rates.

This information is part of that which will enable USEC to:

- Deploy the ACP;
- Ensure adequate funding is available for construction/refurbishment activities for the ACP;  
and
- Ensure adequate decommissioning funding is available should the decision be made to expand capacity to 7 million separative work unit capacity.

Further, this information has substantial commercial value as follows:

- The development of the information described in part is the result of applying many person-hours and the expenditure of thousands of dollars; and
- In order for a competitor of USEC to duplicate this information, a similar process would have to be undertaken and a significant effort and resources would have to be expended.

Further the deponent sayeth not.

Steven A. Toelle, having been duly sworn, hereby confirms that I am the Director, Regulatory Affairs of USEC, that I am authorized on behalf of USEC to review the information attached hereto and to sign and file with the NRC this affidavit and the attachments hereto, and that the statements made and matters set forth herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

S. A. Toelle

Steven A. Toelle

On this 5<sup>th</sup> day of July 2006, the individual signing above personally appeared before me, is known by me to be the person whose name is subscribed to within the instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained. In witness hereof I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

Rita L. Peak-Campbell  
Rita L. Peak-Campbell, Notary Public  
State of Maryland, Montgomery County  
My commission expires December 1, 2009

