

Display PM Notification: Condition Report

R4-5A26

19

Notification 10295021 CR SW GW SYSTEM B LINED UP TO DIV I

Status ATCOINOPR NOPT ORAS SGR

Order 4364549

Notification Subject Text Malfunction, breakdown Location data Scheduling info

Reference object

FuncLocation  
Equipment  
Assembly

User data

Mrule code

Responsibilities

Planner group CNS / CNS Planning Dept  
Main WorkCtr DPSM6R / CNS OPS MANAGER W/SELECTED STAFF POSITIONS  
User responsibl  
Reported by JRVEISS Notif date 02/11/2004 04:15:18

Prints the window's content

J-25

02/11/2004 04:15:19 btcuser (BTCUSER)

DESCRIPTION OF CONDITION:

WHILE PERFORMING A VALVE LINE-UP ON THE SERVICE WATER GLAND WATER SYSTEM, SW GLAND WATER SUBSYSTEM B WAS FOUND LINED UP TO SERVICE WATER SYSTEM A. SW-V-28 WAS CLOSED AND SW-V-1479 & 1480 WERE OPEN. IT APPEARS THIS LINEUP WAS NOT PROPERLY RESTORED FOLLOWING MAINTENANCE ON B ZURN STRAINER.

REQUIREMENT NOT MET:

SW GLAND WATER SUBSYSTEM B WAS LEFT MISALIGNED TO SERVICE WATER SUBSYSTEM FOLLOWING RESTORATION OF B ZURN STRAINER.

METHOD OF DISCOVERY:

PERFORMING A VALVE LINE UP ON SERVICE WATER GLAND WATER TO DETERMINE CAUSE OF LOWER GLAND WATER SUPPLY PRESSURE.

IMMEDIATE ACTIONS TAKEN:

RESTORED PROPER SW GLAND WATER LINEUP PER PROCEDUR 2.2.71.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

DETERMINE CAUSE AND CORRECT

LOCATION OF EVIDENCE:

IS-903-SWP ROOM

FUNCTIONAL LOCATION (IF KNOWN):

EQUIPMENT (IF KNOWN):

SERVICE WATER GLAND WATER

REPORTED BY:

JRWEISS

WORK CENTER:

OPS

SUBMITTED BY:

jrweiss

SUPERVISOR:

BRIAN MURPHY

DEFICIENCY TAG:

N/A

IS THIS AN EQUIPMENT ISSUE?

YES, CONTACT THE SENIOR REACTOR OPERATOR (SRO) IN THE WORK  
CONTROL CENTER (WCC) OR THE CONTROL ROOM.

NO

IF THE CONDITION IS EXPECTED TO BE INCLUDED IN THE CORRECTIVE  
ACTION PROGRAM, INDICATE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO EVALUATE THE  
ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN FOR THE CONDITION?

YES

NO

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

02/11/2004 10:17:05 Jerry J. Long (JJLONG)  
SW SUBSYSTEM 'B', DG-2 AND CREFS WERE DECLARED INOPERABLE. LINE UP WAS  
RESTORED AND SW SUBSYSTEM 'B', DG-2 AND CREFS WERE DECLARED OPERABLE.

02/11/2004 08:41:00 Peter J. Donahue (PJDONAH)

OPERATIONS REVIEW OF NOTIFICATION

Is the condition an immediate nuclear or personnel safety concern?

- YES - Immediately notify Shift Manager
- NO

Is the condition reportable or potentially reportable per 10CFR20, 10CFR26.73, 10CFR50.72, 10CFR50.73, or 10CFR73.71 (reference Procedure 2.0.5 and NUREG 1022)?

- YES - Immediately notify Shift Manager
- NO

Does the condition affect or potentially affect any of the SSC(s) described in Attachment 4? Also consider generic concerns and common mode failures, when applicable. For significant programmatic issues, determine if a basis for Reasonable Assurance of Safety per Procedure 0.5.BCO is required.

- YES - Immediately notify Shift Manager
- NO - NOT IN SCOPE

OPERABILITY VERIFICATION

Does the condition call the SSC(s) performance into question?

- NO - Basis:
- YES - Declare SSC(s) inoperable and document a basis for Reasonable Assurance of Safety if TRM or ODAM LCO 3.0.3 is entered
- YES - Document a reasonable expectation of OPERABILITY on the Notification AND Perform INFORMATION GATHERING

Does the condition call the SSC(s) qualification into question?

- NO - Basis:
- YES - Declare SSC(s) inoperable and document a basis for Reasonable Assurance of Safety if TRM or ODAM LCO 3.0.3 is entered
- YES - Document a reasonable expectation of OPERABILITY on the Notification AND Perform INFORMATION GATHERING

Does the condition describe an existing but previously unanalyzed condition or accident?

- NO
- YES - Declare SSC(s) inoperable and document a basis for Reasonable Assurance of Safety if TRM or ODAM LCO 3.0.3 is entered
- YES - Document a reasonable expectation of OPERABILITY on the Notification AND Perform INFORMATION GATHERING

02/12/2004 07:44:08 Coy L. Blair (CLBLAIR)  
PER NUREG 1022 REV 2 "AN LER IS REQUIRED IF A CONDITION EXISTED FOR A  
TIME LONGER THAN PERMITTED BY THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS [I.E.,  
GREATER THAN THE ALLOWED OUTAGE TIME (OR COMPLETION TIME IN ISTS)] EVEN  
IF THE CONDITION WAS NOT DISCOVERED UNTIL AFTER THE ALLOWABLE TIME HAD  
ELAPSED AND THE CONDITION WAS RECITIFIED IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERY.  
THIS GUIDANCE IS CONSISTENT WITH THAT PREVIOUSLY GIVEN. (FOR THE  
PURPOSE OF THIS DISCUSSION, IT IS ASSUMED THAT THERE WAS FIRM EVIDENCE  
THAT A CONDITION PROHIBITED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS EXISTED BEFORE  
DISCOVERY, FOR A TIME LONGER THAN PERMITTED BY TECHNICAL  
SPECIFICATIONS." THE CONDITION DESCRIBED IN THIS NOTIFICATION EXISTED  
FROM 01/21/2004 UNTIL DISCOVERED ON 02/11/2004. THIS CONDITION RESULTED  
IN DG2 AND CREFS EXCEEDING THE ALLOWED 7 DAYS. LER DUE DATE 04/12/2004.