



RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
LDRS

2006 JUL -6 AM 9:51

RECEIVED

4/21/06

71FR 20733

17

SUNSI Review Complete

E-RIDS=ADM-03

all=

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Template=ADM-013

R. Ench  
(RLE)



### NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: 06/07/2006

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to discuss the Environmental Scoping Process for Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, License Renewal Application

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other Local Bulletin Board

- |  | <u>Yes</u>               | <u>No</u><br>(Please explain below) | <u>Somewhat</u>                     |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?             | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:**

Thank you for answering these questions.

Dozens of Entergy (Vermont Yankee) employees and their family members were allowed to speak and citizens who had come on their own had to wait until late in the evening and cut their comments short and questions short (earlier in the evening when questions were taken). No one (during the June 7th 7-10pm meeting) from the NRC could explain why the threat of terrorism is not being addressed. No one cared to explain why the storage of "spent" fuel

*Continue Comments on the reverse. ⇨*

**OPTIONAL**

Name E. Stamas Organization none

Telephone No. 413-624-3240 E-Mail \_\_\_\_\_


Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

rods is not a top priority. There appeared to be no plan to upgrade the storage of the fuel rods in a more secure location. When will the issue of what to do with the steadily accumulating nuclear wastes from Vermont Yankee (as well as other nuclear power plants) be addressed? How will it be addressed, at the Federal level? How can we pretend to call our nuclear power plants "safe and clean" (as was done repeatedly at this meeting), if no one even addresses the issue of what ~~are we~~ <sup>we are</sup> doing to "safely and cleanly" store the nuclear waste materials? This issue seems to be excluded from the whole NRC review process. Why? It feels like the NRC is not doing its job.

UNITED STATES  
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
 COMMISSION  
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

CAPE COD MA 025 025

23 JUN 2006 PM 2 T



**BUSINESS REPLY MAIL**  
 FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC  
 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

RICHARD L EMCH JR  
 MAIL STOP 0-11F1  
 OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
 U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
 WASHINGTON DC 20277-2904

